The second-order geometry of short-and-sparse blind deconvolution

Yenson Lau

March 22, 2019

Abstract

These notes describe a set of experiments for interrogating the curvature of nonconvex objective functions for short-and-sparse blind deconvolution (SaS-BD) over the course of optimization.

1 Introduction

In SaS-BD, we observe a length-m signal

$$\boldsymbol{y} = \iota \boldsymbol{a}_0 \circledast \boldsymbol{x}_0 + \boldsymbol{w},$$

the cyclic convolution between a *short* kernel $a_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{p_0-1}$, zero-padded to length m by $\iota : \mathbb{R}^{m \times p_0}$, and a *sparse* activation map $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, with possible additive noise w. Our goal is to recover a_0 and x_0 up to some scaling or cyclic shift, as

$$\iota \boldsymbol{a}_0 \circledast \boldsymbol{x}_0 \equiv \alpha s_l[\iota \boldsymbol{a}_0] \circledast \alpha^{-1} s_{-l}[\boldsymbol{x}_0]$$

for any $\alpha \in \{\mathbb{R} \setminus 0\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We refer to these as the scaling and shift symmetries respectively. A natural approach is to begin by formulating SaS-BD as a nonconvex optimization problem,

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}, \boldsymbol{x}} \left[\Psi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}) \doteq \psi(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{y}) + \lambda \rho(\boldsymbol{x}) \right], \tag{1.1}$$

which minimizes a reconstruction error between y and $a \otimes x$, plus a sparse penalty ρ on x. For example, the *bilinear-lasso* (BL) formulation combines a squared error loss with an ℓ_1 -norm penalty,

$$\Psi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \| \iota \boldsymbol{a} \circledast \boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y} \|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \| \boldsymbol{x} \|_{1}.$$
 (BL)

The *dropped-quadratic* formulation (DQ) can be obtained as an approximation to (BL) when the circulant matrix C_a of a, satisfies $C_a^T C_a \simeq I$ over the course of optimization,

$$\Psi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{x}\|_{2}^{2} - \langle \iota \boldsymbol{a} \circledast \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{y}\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda \rho(\boldsymbol{x}),$$
 (DQ)

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} (x_i^2 + \delta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

1.1 Regional landscape geometry

The generic effect of x_0 on the objective landscape can be marginalized via minimization,

$$(1.1) \equiv \min_{\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{S}^{p-1}} \left[\varphi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{a}) \doteq \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} \Psi_{\lambda}(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{x}) \right]. \tag{1.3}$$

The landscape of φ_{λ} is then primarily driven by shift symmetries on the sphere. Indeed, each cyclic shift of a_0 recoverable through the variable a creates a local minimizer on φ_{λ} , which in turn influences the behavior of φ_{λ} for regions of the sphere in the vicinity of *multiple shifts*. This effect appears to be qualitatively *independent of the specific formulation* used for SaS-BD, but is simpler to express in the (DQ) formulation [KLZW19]. Suppose a is near the subspace

$$S_{\tau} \doteq \left\{ \boldsymbol{a} : \iota \boldsymbol{a} \in \operatorname{span}\left(\left\{s_{l}[\iota \boldsymbol{a}_{0}]\right\}_{l \in \tau}\right) \right\} \cap \mathbb{S}^{p-1}, \tag{1.4}$$

for a few shifts $\tau \subset \{0,\ldots,p-p_0+1\}$. Specifically, this means $\iota \boldsymbol{a} \simeq \sum_{l \in \tau} \alpha_l s_l[\iota \boldsymbol{a}_0]$ is approximately the superposition of a few shifts from \boldsymbol{a}_0 , the support of each contained in [p]. Furthermore, let l_1 and l_2 be the shifts corresponding to first and largest magnitude coefficients in this span. Then the following properties obtain:

Strong convexity near single shifts. If $\left|\alpha_{l_2}\right|/\left|\alpha_{l_1}\right|\simeq 0$

Negative curvature at balanced points. If $|\alpha_{l_2}|/|\alpha_{l_1}| \simeq 1$

Retraction to subspace.

- 1.2 Sparsity-coherence tradeoff
- 1.3 Experimental contributions

2 Experimental outline

References

[KLZW19] Han-Wen Kuo, Yenson Lau, Yuqian Zhang, and John Wright. Geometry and symmetry in short-and-sparse deconvolution. arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.00256, 63(7):4497–4520, 2019.