

# EE-556 Homework 1

Edoardo Debenedetti

October 26, 2019

## Problem 1 - Geometric properties of the objective function $f$

Assuming  $\mu = 0$ , the objective function  $f$  is the smooth Hinge loss function, defined as:

$$f(x) = \ell_{sh}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \quad (1)$$

where

$$\ell_{sh} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (2)$$

and

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) & b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2}(1 - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}))^2 & 0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1 \\ 0 & 1 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

### (a) Gradient of $f$

*Proof.* Since the gradient is a linear operator:

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \nabla \ell_{sh} + \nabla \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 \quad (4)$$

We can first compute  $\nabla \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 &= \frac{\lambda}{2} \nabla \|\mathbf{x}\|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{2} \nabla \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla |x_i|^2 = \\
&= \frac{\lambda}{2} 2\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Now, let us compute  $\nabla \ell_{sh}$ :

$$\nabla \ell_{sh} = \nabla \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}) \tag{6}$$

Where  $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$  is the gradient of (3)

$$\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \nabla \left[ \frac{1}{2} - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \right] & b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0 \\ \nabla \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}))^2 \right] & 0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1 \\ \nabla 0 & 1 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

The case where  $1 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x})$  is trivial, since

$$\nabla 0 = 0 \tag{8}$$

In the case where  $b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0$ :

$$\nabla \left[ \frac{1}{2} - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \right] = \nabla - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) = -b_i \mathbf{a}_i \tag{9}$$

Next, in the case where  $0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \left[ \frac{1}{2} (1 - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}))^2 \right] &= -\frac{1}{2} 2b_i \mathbf{a}_i (1 - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x})) = \\
&= -b_i \mathbf{a}_i (1 - b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x})) = b_i \mathbf{a}_i (b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) - 1)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Finally, combining (8), (9) and (10), we get:

$$\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} -b_i \mathbf{a}_i & b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0 \\ b_i \mathbf{a}_i (b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) - 1) & 0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1 \\ 0 & 1 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Now, let us define, as in the problem statement,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} := [b_1 \mathbf{a}_1, \dots, b_n \mathbf{a}_n]^T$ , and  $\mathbf{I}_L, \mathbf{I}_Q$  as the diagonal  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $\mathbf{I}_L(i, i) = 1$  if  $b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0$  and  $\mathbf{I}_Q(i, i) = 1$  if  $0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ , and 0 otherwise.

We can observe that  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}$  is the matrix whose  $i$ -th column is  $b_i \mathbf{a}_i$ . Instead,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_L$ 's  $i$ -th columns will be non-zero only in the case where  $b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0$ . Then it is possible to represent this case of  $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$  where  $b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0$  as

$$-\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_L \mathbf{1} \quad (12)$$

since multiplying  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_L$  by  $\mathbf{1}$  will give as result the vector containing the sum of the elements of each column, which means the element-wise sum of the different  $j$ -th components of the  $i$ -th gradients relative to each  $g_i(\mathbf{x})$ . Each  $j$ -th component can be written as

$$\sum_{i \in \{i | b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) < 0\}}^n a_{i,j} b_j$$

In a similar fashion,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_Q$  is the matrix whose  $i$ -th column is  $\mathbf{a}_i b_i$  only if  $i$  is such that  $0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}$  is the vector such that  $[\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x}]_n = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \mathbf{a}_i \mathbf{x}$ . Consequently,  $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{I}_Q [\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x} - 1]$  is the vector whose  $j$ -th component is

$$[\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_Q [\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x} - 1]]_j = \sum_{i \in \{i | 0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1\}}^n b_j a_{i,j} (b_j(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) - 1)$$

if  $0 \leq b_i(\mathbf{a}_i^T \mathbf{x}) \leq 1$ . Then, with

$$\frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_Q [\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x} - 1] \quad (13)$$

we can represent the components of  $\nabla g_i(\mathbf{x})$  in the aforementioned case.

Combining (12) and (13), it is proven that

$$\nabla \ell_{sh} = \frac{1}{n} (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_Q [\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x} - 1] - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_L \mathbf{1}) \quad (14)$$

Finally, combining (5) and (14) we get the final result

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_Q [\tilde{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{x} - 1] - \frac{1}{n} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T \mathbf{I}_L \mathbf{1} \quad (15)$$

□

**(b) Hessian of  $f$**

*Proof.* Cool proof

□

**(c) Strong convexity of  $f$**

*Proof.* (Type your proof here.)

□