FEEDPulse

My feedback | **Group feedback** | **Peer feedback**

Group 1 ⌃

Aljader, Mohammed M. - Hilgert, Mikolaj M.A. - Leusden, Mees M. van - Ovcharov, Ivan I.G. - Şindrilaru, Andreea A.D. - Tymofieienko, Bohdan B.S.

Legend:
- Teacher ratings
- Group ratings

Checkpoint 5   Outcome of Retrospective Sprint E   17-01-2022   🙂

Şindrilaru, Andreea A.D.   a day ago
What have we done for this sprint?
- Front End:
1) Changed the front-end framework from rechart.js to Chart.js
2) Fixed minor bugs.
3) Finished the front-end of the final product.

- Back End:
1) Added data resampling.
2) Improved the functions used for filtering the graphs for the front-end.
3) Minor changes and some bugs fixed.
4) Graph filtering now works with machine ids and displays the data from the last month.

- Data Layer:
1) Bugs fixed.
2) Adding the final graphs.
3) Identification of growing CSV files.

What went well?
- Collaborative group work
- Working well with separating tasks between our group
- Individual and group meetings when necessary
- Arrival on time
- Communication with product owner
- Internal group communication

Write a summary of what you discussed with your teacher...

Post Feedback

Checkpoint 4   Outcome of Retrospective Sprint D   15-12-2021   😊 😄

Tymofieienko, Bohdan B.S.   a month ago
What have we done for this sprint?
- Front End:
1) Dashboard showing four different charts in multiple visualization ways.
2) Charts are responsive, correct and display data retrieved from an API.

- Back End:
1) Getting of relevant data from the database and transforming it to JSON for the frontend.
2) Initial build of time frame selection for data.
3) Unit tests.

- Data Layer:
1) Traversal and finding of CSV files.
2) Keeping track of traversed files.
2) Aggregation and preparation of data for multiple charts.
3) Database design for multiple charts.
4) Temporary storage optimization.

What went well?
- Collaborative group work
- Working well with separating tasks between our group
- Individual and group meetings when necessary
- Arrival on time
- Better communication with product owner if comparing to sprint C.

Even better if:
- Consult more with product owner on the sprint planning before the start of the sprint.

Checkpoint 3   Outcome of Retrospective Sprint C   24-11-2021   😊 😃

Hilgert, Mikolaj M.A.   2 months ago

What have we done for this sprint?

- Front End:

1) Dashboard showing one type of graph.

2) Graph shows data that is got using an API call to the backend.

- Back End:

1) Getting of relevant data from the database and transforming it to JSON for the frontend.

2) Initial build of time frame selection for data.

- Data Layer:

1) Traversal and finding of CSV files.

2) Aggregation and preparation of data for one graph.

3) Writing of prepared data to database.

What went well?

- Collaborative group work

- Working well with separating tasks between our group

- Individual and group meetings when necessary

- Arrival on time

Even better if:

- Using the agile methodology accordingly to the tasks.

- Using JIRA properly so that it can be used to generate a accurate burndown chart that doesn't generate a broken chart.

- When presenting not talk over one another.

Checkpoint 2   Outcome of Retrospective Sprint B   03-11-2021

Ovcharov, Ivan I.G.   3 months ago

What have we done for this sprint?
- Front End Dashboard and UI
- Front End - Using Firebase mock dataset
- Front End - Discussing connection between the Back End layer

- Back End - Creating base classes and data services
- Back End - Implementing a fake data storage for testing different API points
- Back End - Connection between the other two layers

- Data Layer - Setting up apache airflow and docker
- Data Layer - Scripts to read/upload csv files into the relation database
- Data Layer - Using the ETL methodology

What went well?
- Collaborative group work
- Working well with separating tasks between our group
- Individual and group meetings when necessary
- Arrival on time

Even better if:
- Using the agile methodology accordingly to the tasks
- Better user stories/sprint contents
- Better time management

Checkpoint 1   Outcome of Retrospective Sprint 1   06-10-2021

Leusden, Mees M. van   3 months ago
What have we done for this sprint?
 Mockups
 Project Backlog
 Demo
 Documentation
 Goals for the new sprint
 Software design

What Went Well:
- Collaborative group work
- Conceptualizing main ideas
- Group meetings and discussions on decisions

Even Better If:
-Arrival on time
-Better task division and planning
-Time spreading for work