What FP Can Learn From Static Introspection

Aditya Siram October 18, 2019

Outline

What?

- Static introspection
 - Reflection at compile time
 - Types, record field names, etc.
 - Does this compile?
 - Conditionally generate code
- Compile Time Function Evaluation
 - Term level language is available at compile time
 - For/while loops, functions, assignment
- Put them together!

Why?

- Typed functional languages need this!
- Performance
 - Play the optimizer/JIT compiler
 - No silver bullet
- Type level programming boring!
 - Accessible, maintainable, possible!
- Type level querying!
 - Library level, project specific tooling!
- Customizable compiler feedback
 - Control over error messages
 - Library specific user experience

What?

- Examples in Nim and D
 - ullet Imperative languages in the C++/Ada tradition
- Real World Examples!
- Learn not adopt!

Compile Time Evaluation In Nim

```
proc say_hello(s:string): string =
  when nimVM:
    "Hello to " & s & " at compile time!"
  else:
    "Hello to " & s & " at runtime!"
static:
  echo say_hello("Lambda World Cadiz")
echo say_hello("Lambda World Cadiz")
```

Compile Time Evaluation In Nim

```
$ nim c hello.nim
Hello to Lambda World Cadiz at compile time!
$ ./hello
Hello to Lambda World Cadiz at runtime!
```

```
import std.stdio;
struct S
  int anInt;
  string aString;
};
pragma(msg, __traits(allMembers,S));
void main() {}
```

```
$ dmd has_member.d
tuple("anInt", "aString")
```

```
import std.stdio;
struct S
  int anInt;
  string aString;
};
pragma(msg, typeof(__traits(getMember, S, "anInt")));
void main() {}
```

```
$ dmd has_member.d
int
```

```
import std.stdio;
struct S
  int anInt;
  string aString;
};
pragma(msg, typeof(__traits(getMember, S, "foo")));
void main() {}
```

```
$ dmd members.d
members.d(9): Error: no property foo for type S
_error_
```

```
import std.stdio;
class C
  int anInt;
  string aString;
};
pragma(msg, __traits(allMembers,C));
void main() {}
```

• Performance advantages of CTFE!

Reading (21,000 line) CSV at compile time in Nim

```
proc readCsv(s:string): seq[seq[string]] =
  var p: CsvParser
  p.open(newStringStream(s),"input")
  while p.readRow():
    result.add(p.row)

const parsed = readCsv(staticRead("large.csv"))
```

- 10 second compile time
- Lookup is instant

- Not always worth it!
- 22MB binary vs. 2.4MB CSV
- Only 1.5 seconds to compile time for runtime parsing

```
# const parsed = readCsv(staticRead("large.csv"))
let parsed = readCsv(readFile("large.csv"))
```

- Initial runtime parse < 1 second
 - Compile time processing is much slower!

- D's std.regex
- Runtime regex

```
auto r = r'' \dots ";
```

Compile time regex

```
auto r = ctRegex!(`...`);
```

• Highly specialized compile time generated engine

• Test regex (primality tester)

```
^(11+?)\1+
```

- "1111..."
- No match if # of '1's is prime
- Abigail (Perl)
- Backtracks a ton
- 104729 (10,000th prime)

- Both took 2.5 minutes
- Almost no difference in performance. :(
- Performance is hard ...
 - CTFE is not a silver bullet
 - Compile times vs. one time runtime hit
 - Increased Binary sizes
- Really need to measure

- The real MVP is common code and quickly toggle
- Measurement is possible
 - At worst you've lost a few days of work . . .
 - And you have a runtime library
- Would you attempt this another typed FP language?
 - Compile time regex in Haskell?
 - Could you throw it away?

- Fast lookups!
- Look up fields in a domain specific way

• Object in Nim

type

01 = object
 oluser_id : int
 ollds : seq[int]
 olage: int
 oluser_address : string

• Gather the fields <u>and</u> types

```
proc gatherFields(t:typedesc): seq[(string,string)] =
  var o : t
  for n,v in fieldPairs(o):
    result.add((n,$v.type))
```

- Big deal!
 - Language REPL is enough
 - GHCi, ':i'

• Of type 'seq[int]'

Object
 type
 01 = object
 oluser_id : int
 olids : seq[int]
 olage: int
 oluser_address : string
 I know there's some kind of "ids" like field

```
    Filter it!

  static:
    let o1 = gatherFields(01)
    echo o1.filterIt(it[0].toLower.contains("ids")
                  and it[1] == $seq[int])

    Output

  $ nim c fieldPairs
  @[("o1Ids", "seq[int]")]
```

- Make domain specific tooling
 - Fits your project!
- Tiny (throwaway) tool that does one thing
 - For one specific instance
- Need a lot of work to get this in an IDE

- Datatype diffing
 - What fields were added/removed between two versions of a datatype?
- Hugely important
- Especially when serialization becomes involved

Another object

```
type
  02 = object
    id : int
    ids : seq[int]
    age: int
    address : string
    email: string
```

• First object

type
 01 = object
 oluser_id : int
 ollds : seq[int]
 olage: int
 oluser_address : string

• Massage the fields

```
static:
  let o1 = gatherFields(01)
 var o1stripped : seq[(string,string)]
 for f in o1:
    var s = f[0].toLower
    s.removePrefix("o1")
    s.removePrefix("user")
    s.removePrefix("_")
    o1stripped.add((s,f[1]))
```

Do the diff!

```
static:
...
let o2 = gatherFields(02)
echo o1Stripped.toHashSet - o2.toHashSet
echo o2.toHashSet - o1Stripped.toHashSet
```

Output

```
$ nim c fieldPairs
{}
{("email", "string")}
```

• 'O2' added an 'email' field

- Reliably do datatype migration
 - Same as database migration!
- Testable and human inspectable
- Crucial to {de}serializing
 - Especially when backwards compatibility is important

- Compile time JSON parsing
- Demo!

- Reflect on the structure of sample data
- Find inconsistencies!

```
{
...
"grades" : [100,80,50,33.3]
}
```

- Generate API reports
- Communicate with frontend team
 - "What type does this map to?"

- We can do better!
- Compile time type reflection!
- Demo!

• Ring any bells?

- Not in F#
 - Generate a type from a composite of samples
- Quickly manage external API specs

Type Safe Printf

- Static introspection for a type safe printf!
- Demo!

Type Safe Printf

- Domain specific, user customizable holes!
- Closes the feedback loop between the computer and user
- Why Google?

Problems

"A good science fiction story should be to predict not the automobile but the traffic jam." - Frederick Pohl

Problems

- Performance
 - Please measure . . .
- Loss Of Modularity
 - Horribly coupled types
 - Refactorable only in theory
- "God" object
- Parametricity
 - This function now has infinite implementations

```
id :: a -> a
```

- "Sealed" types with explicit unsealing?
- Tracked by the type system?
- IDE support
 - What should the IDE fill in for the '_'.
 proc p(a : T) =

Cripples any predictive ability

Conclusion

- ... but it's still worth exploring
- Need type level reflection
- Flexible interfaces
- Type safe string formats
 - printf
 - type safe URIs
- Ability to directly query your codebase
 - Better and more flexible software
- Granular tooling support
 - At the library/module level