

CS2800 Homework 8 Extra Problems

Definitions

Below are definitions that will be used in the problem statements. `fact`, `app`, etc. are omitted here since they are given in the homework.

```
;; choose : nat x nat -> nat
;; Binomial choose function
(defun choose (n k)
  (/ (fact n) (* (fact k) (fact (- n k)))))

;; posp : any -> Boolean
;; T iff given a positive integer
(defun posp (n)
  (not (zp n)))
```

Problems

Prove the following theorems. If you use induction, clearly indicate what functions were used to generate the induction schemes.

1.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{and } (\text{posp } n) \\ & \quad (\text{posp } k) \\ & \quad (< k n)) \\ & \quad (\text{equal } (+ (\text{choose } (- n 1) (- k 1)) (\text{choose } (- n 1) k)) \\ & \quad (\text{choose } n k))) \end{aligned}$$

The above is not a theorem without the hypotheses. Why not?

2.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{and } (\text{natp } n) \\ & \quad (\text{natp } k) \\ & \quad (<= k n)) \\ & \quad (\text{natp } (\text{choose } n k))) \end{aligned}$$
3.
$$(\text{booleanp } (\text{in } a X))$$
4.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{and } (\text{not } (= a b)) \\ & \quad (\text{in } a (\text{rem-el } b X))) \\ & \quad (\text{in } a X)) \end{aligned}$$
5.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{and } (\text{not } (= a b)) \\ & \quad (\text{in } a X)) \\ & \quad (\text{in } a (\text{rem-el } b X))) \end{aligned}$$
6.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{not } (= a b)) \\ & \quad (= (\text{in } a (\text{rem-el } b X)) \\ & \quad (\text{in } a X))) \end{aligned}$$
7.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{in } a X) \\ & \quad (\text{not } (\text{in } a (\text{diff } Y X)))) \end{aligned}$$
8.
$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{implies } (\text{consp } X) \\ & \quad (\text{not } (=< X (\text{diff } Y X)))) \end{aligned}$$

Solutions

1. We solve this with equational reasoning.

Context:

```
-----
(1) (posp n)
(2) (posp k)
(3) (< k n)
-----

(+ (choose (- n 1) (- k 1)) (choose (- n 1) k))
= {def. choose}
(+ (/ (fact (- n 1))
      (* (fact (- k 1)) (fact (- (- n 1) (- k 1)))))
  (/ (fact (- n 1))
      (* (fact k) (fact (- (- n 1) k)))))
= {arithmetic. a/b = (a*c)/(b*c), c!=0, (2), (3)}
(+ (/ (* k (fact (- n 1)))
      (* k (fact (- k 1)) (fact (- n k)))))
  (/ (* (- n k) (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (- n k) (fact k) (fact (- (- n 1) k)))))
= {def. fact, (2) commutativity of *, (a-1)-b=(a-b)-1}
(+ (/ (* k (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (fact k) (fact (- n k)))))
  (/ (* (- n k) (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (fact k) (- n k) (fact (- (- n k) 1)))))
= {def. fact, (3)}
(+ (/ (* k (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (fact k) (fact (- n k)))))
  (/ (* (- n k) (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (fact k) (fact (- n k)))))
= {arithmetic. a/c + b/c = (a+b)/c}
(/ (+ (* k (fact (- n 1)))
      (* (- n k) (fact (- n 1)))))
  (* (fact k) (fact (- n k))))
= {arithmetic. distributivity, canceling}
(/ (* n (fact (- n 1)))
  (* (fact k) (fact (- n k))))
= {def. fact, (1)}
(/ (fact n)
  (* (fact k) (fact (- n k))))
= {def. choose}
(choose n k)
Q.E.D.
```

2. We prove this with induction on n , given the result of 3. in the lab questions (call this fact-nat lemma). In this case, we have the induction hypothesis stated twice, giving two substitutions for the free variable k .

Induction scheme:

```
(and (implies (and (natp k)
                  (zp n)
                  (<= k n))
          (natp (choose n k)))
  (implies (and (not (zp n))
                (natp k)
                (<= k n)
                (implies (and (natp (- n 1))
                              (natp k)
                              (<= k (- n 1)))
                        (natp (choose (- n 1) k)))
                (implies (and (natp (- n 1))
                              (natp (- k 1))
                              (<= (- k 1) (- n 1)))
                        (natp (choose (- n 1) (- k 1))))))
    (natp (choose n k))))
```

Base Case:

Context:

```
(1) (natp k)
(2) (zp n)
(3) (<= k n)
(4) (zp k)  {(1) (2) (3)}
```

```
= {def. choose, def. fact, (2), (4)}
  (natp 1)
= {1 is a natural}
  T
```

Induction Step:

Context:

```
-----
(1) (not (zp n))
(2) (natp k)
(3) (<= k n)
(4) (implies (and (natp (- n 1))
                  (natp k)
                  (<= k (- n 1)))
              (natp (choose (- n 1) k)))
(5) (implies (and (natp (- n 1))
                  (natp (- k 1))
                  (<= (- k 1) (- n 1)))
              (natp (choose (- n 1) (- k 1))))
(6) (natp n) {1}
(7) (< 0 n) {1}
(8) (natp (- n 1)) {6, 7}
(9) (<= (- k 1) (- n 1)) {3, arithmetic}
(A) (implies (<= k (- n 1))
              (natp (choose (- n 1) k))) {2, 4, 8}
(B) (implies (natp (- k 1))
              (natp (choose (- n 1) (- k 1)))) {5, 8, 9}
(C) (= (natp (- k 1)) (posp k)) {2}
(D) (= (<= k (- n 1)) (< k n)) {2}
-----
```

[Case Split]

```
  C1. (= k n)
      (natp (choose n k))
= {def. choose, (C1)}
  (natp (/ (fact n) (* (fact n) (fact (- n n)))))
= {def. fact, fact-nat lemma}
  (natp 1)
= {1 is a natural}
  T
```

C2. (not (= k n))

[Case Split]

```
  C3. (= k 0)
      (natp (choose n k))
= {def. choose, (C3)}
  (natp (/ (fact n) (* (fact 0) (fact (- n 0)))))
= {def. fact, fact-nat lemma}
  (natp 1)
= {1 is a natural}
  T
```

```

C4. (not (= k 0))
(natp (choose n k))
= {Theorem 1, (C4), def. posp, (1), (2), (3)}
(natp (+ (choose (- n 1) (- k 1)) (choose (- n 1) k)))
= {Arithmetic}
(and (natp (choose (- n 1) (- k 1)))
      (natp (choose (- n 1) k)))
= {(C4), (2), (B)}
(and T
      (natp (choose (- n 1) k)))
= {(3), (C2), (A)}
(and T
      T)
= {Propositional Logic}
T
Q.E.D.

```

```

Induct on (true-listp X) with scheme
(and (implies (endp X)
              (booleanp (in a X)))
     (implies (and (consp X)
                   (booleanp (in a (cdr X))))
              (booleanp (in a X))))

Base case:
Context:
-----
(1) (endp X)
-----
      (booleanp (in a X))
= {def. in, (1)}
  (booleanp nil)
3. = {def. booleanp}
   T

Induction Step:
Context:
-----
(1) (consp X)
(2) (booleanp (in a (cdr X)))
-----
      (booleanp (in a X))
= {def. in, (1)}
  (booleanp (or (= a (car X))
                (in a (cdr X))))
= {= is boolean, (2), or of booleans is boolean}
  T
Q.E.D.

```

```

We induct on (true-listp X) with scheme
(and (implies (and (endp X)
                    (not (= a b))
                    (in a (rem-el b X))))
      (in a X))
(implies (and (consp X)
              (not (= a b))
              (in a (rem-el b X))
              (implies (and (not (= a b))
                            (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))))
                        (in a (cdr X))))
      (in a X)))
4. Base Case:
Context:
-----
(1) (endp X)
(2) (not (= a b))
(3) (in a (rem-el b X))
(4) (in a nil) {1, 3, def. rem-el}
(5) nil {4, def. in}
-----
      (in a X)
= {Propositional Logic}
  T

```


Induction Step:

Context:

```
-----  
(1) (consp X)  
(2) (in a (rem-el b X))  
(3) (not (= a b))  
(4) (implies (and (not (= a b))  
                  (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))))  
             (in a (cdr X)))  
(5) (implies (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))  
             (in a (cdr X))) {3, 4}  
-----
```

```
(in a X)  
= {def. in, (1)}  
  (or (= a (car X))  
      (in a (cdr X)))  
[Case Split]
```

Context:

```
-----  
(C1) (= b (car X))  
(6) (in a (rem-el (cdr X)) {1, 2, C1, def. rem-el}  
(7) (in a (cdr X)) {5, 6}  
-----
```

```
(or (= a (car X))  
    (in a (cdr X)))  
= {(3), (7), Propositional Logic}  
T
```

Context:

```
-----  
(C2) (not (= b (car X)))  
(6) (in a (cons (car X) (rem-el b (cdr X)))) {1, 2, C2, def. rem-el}  
(7) (or (= a (car X))  
      (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))) {6, def. in}  
-----
```

```

[Case Split]
Context:
-----
(C3) (= a (car X))
-----
      (or (= a (car X))
          (in a (cdr X)))
= {(C3), Propositional Logic}
  T
Context:
-----
(C4) (not (= a (car X)))
(8) (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))) {C4, 7}
(9) (in a (cdr X)) {5, 8}
-----
      (or (= a (car X))
          (in a (cdr X)))
= {(9), Propositional Logic}
  T
Q.E.D.

```

```

We induct on (true-listp X) with scheme
(and (implies (and (endp X)
                    (not (= a b))
                    (in a X))
            (in a (rem-el b X)))
      (implies (and (consp X)
                    (not (= a b))
                    (in a X)
                    (implies (and (not (= a b))
                                  (in a (cdr X)))
                              (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))))
            (in a (rem-el b X))))
5.
Base Case:
Context:
-----
(1) (endp X)
(2) (not (= a b))
(3) (in a X)
(4) nil {def. in, 1}
-----
      (in a (rem-el b X))
= {Propositional Logic}
  T

```

Induction step:

Context:

```
-----
(1) (consp X)
(2) (not (= a b))
(3) (in a X)
(4) (implies (and (not (= a b))
                  (in a (cdr X)))
             (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))))
(5) (implies (in a (cdr X))
             (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))) {2}
-----
```

[Case Split]

Context:

```
-----
(C1) (= a (car X))
-----
      (in a (rem-el b X))
= {def. rem-el, (1), (2)}
  (in a (cons (car X) (rem-el b (cdr X))))
= {def. in, car/cons axiom, (C1)}
  (or (= a a)
      (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))))
= {reflexivity axiom}
  T
Context:
-----
(C2) (not (= a (car X)))
(6) (in a (cdr X)) {C2, 3}
(7) (in a (rem-el b (cdr X))) {5, 6}
-----
      (in a (rem-el b X))
= {def. rem-el, (1)}
  (in a (if (= b (car X))
            (rem-el b (cdr X))
            (cons (car X) (rem-el b (cdr X)))))
= {if lifting}
  (if (= b (car X))
      (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))
      (in a (cons (car X) (rem-el b (cdr X)))))
= {def. in, car/cons axiom, (2)}
  (if (= b (car X))
      (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))
      (or nil (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))))
= {propositional logic}
  (in a (rem-el b (cdr X)))
= {(7)}
  T
Q.E.D.
```

```

(implies (not (= a b))
  (= (in a (rem-el b X))
    (in a X)))
Context:
-----
(1) (not (= a b))
-----
6.  (= (in a (rem-el b X))
    (in a X))
= {in is boolean, produces two subgoals:}
  SG1: (implies (in a (rem-el b X))
    (in a X))
  SG2: (implies (in a X)
    (in a (rem-el b X)))
Each are discharged by the previously proved theorems with (1)
Q.E.D.

```

```

(implies (in a X)
  (not (in a (diff Y X))))

Induct on (true-listp X) with scheme
(and (implies (and (endp X)
  (in a X)
  (not (in a (diff Y X))))
  (implies (and (consp X)
  (in a X)
  (not (in a (diff Y (cdr X))))
  (not (in a (diff Y X))))))

```

Base Case:

Context:

```

(1) (endp X)
(2) (in a X)
(3) nil {1, 2, def. in}
-----

```

```

  (not (in a (diff Y X)))
= {Propositional Logic}
  T

```

7. Induction Step

Context:

```

(1) (consp X)
(2) (in a X)
(3) (not (in a (diff Y (cdr X))))
-----

```

```

  (not (in a (diff Y X)))
= {def. diff, (1)}
  (not (in a
    (rem-el (car X) (diff Y (cdr X)))))

```

[Case Split]

```

  C1. (= a (car X))
= {(C1), Q5}
  T

```

```

  C2. (not (= a (car X)))
= {Above theorem, C2}
  (not (in a (diff Y (cdr X))))
= {(3)}
  T

```

Q.E.D.

```

Context:
-----
(1) (consp X)
-----
      (not (= < X (diff Y X)))
= {def. =, (1)}
      (not (and (in (car X) (diff Y X))
                (= < (cdr X) (diff Y X))))
8. = {Propositional logic}
      (or (not (in (car X) (diff Y X)))
          (not (= < (cdr X) (diff Y X))))
= {Above theorem, (in (car X) X) = t}
      (or t
          (not (= < (cdr X) (diff Y X))))
= {Propositional logic}
      T
Q.E.D.

```