

## 1. Methodology: How to Evaluate

Since there already is a `chat_history.jsonl` logging every interaction, the evaluation strategy should be a mix of **Automated Log Analysis** and **Human Review**.

### A. Evaluating Groundedness (Curriculum Adherence)

- **The Out-of-Scope Audit:**
  - **Method:** Randomly sample 50 interactions where the AI flagged `STATUS: OUT_OF_SYLLABUS`.
  - **Check:** Was it actually out of scope? (e.g., Vector Spaces = Correct rejection). Or was it a retrieval failure (e.g., "Limits" = False rejection)?
  - **Metric: False Rejection Rate.** (Target: < 5%).
- **Citation Accuracy:**
  - **Method:** For in-scope questions, checking if the retrieved chunks (from `context_str` in logs) actually contain the answer provided.
  - **Metric: Hallucination Rate** (frequency of answers not supported by retrieved chunks).

### B. Evaluating Helpfulness (Pedagogical Effectiveness)

- **The Phase Transition Analysis:**
  - **Method:** Analyze conversation chains in your logs.
  - **Check:** Did the AI successfully move from **Phase 1** (Concept) to **Phase 2** (Working)? Did the student ask for the working?
  - **Metric: Conversion Rate** (% of sessions that move beyond the first interaction).
- **The Stuck Ratio:**
  - **Method:** Count how many times a student repeats the same question or says "I don't understand" (Je ne comprends pas).
  - **Metric: Friction Score.**

### C. Evaluating Linguistic Accessibility

- **Readability Scoring:**
  - **Method:** Run the text of Mistral's responses through a French readability formula (like Kandel & Moles).
  - **Check:** Is the complexity level appropriate for a Benin high school/university student (Licence 1)?
  - **Metric: Average Sentence Length** and **Complexity Score.**

## 2. Recommended Research Outcomes (Feasible KPIs)

Outcome Category	Research Question	Measurable Metric (KPI)
1. Groundedness	"Does the AI stick strictly to the Benin Syllabus?"	<b>95% Adherence Rate:</b> Percentage of responses explicitly grounded in the provided PDFs (Module 1 & 2).
2. Engagement	"Do students find the Reflective Questioning engaging?"	<b>Average Turns Per Session:</b> Target > 3 turns
3. Knowledge Transfer	"Does the 'Local Context' help understanding?"	<b>Context Relevance Score:</b> (Rated by students via a simple "Thumbs Up/Down" in the UI) specifically on Benin examples.
4. Safety	"Does the AI prevent Homework Cheating?"	<b>Withholding Rate:</b> % of times the AI successfully refused to give the final answer in the first turn.