PROJECT REPORT

SYRACUSE CRIME ANALYTICS

TEAM MEMBERS: DEEP PATOLIYA, DIVYANSHU PANDEY, DHRUV MAHABAL, SUMUKH PADALKAR.

INDEX

- 1. ABSTRACT
- 2. INTRODUCTION
- 3. LITERATURE REVIEW
 - 4. METHODS
 - 5. RESULTS
 - 6. DISCUSSION
 - 7. CONCLUSION
 - 8. REFERENCES
 - 9. APPENDIX

1. ABSTRACT

Objective:

The purpose of this study is to leverage crime data analytics and machine learning in identifying patterns, predicting crime severity, and giving insights into actionable items for crime prevention in Syracuse. The research seeks to understand when, where, and what types of crimes occur, in a bid to equip law enforcement agencies with data-driven tools for resource optimization and proactive intervention strategies.

Audience:

The main target audience in the context of this paper encompasses scholars in crime analytics, urban planners, policymakers in law enforcement, and data scientists focused on applying machine

learning for solving social issues. These results are especially valuable for those stakeholders ass ociated with urban safety and decision-making in public policy.

Design/Methodology:

This research is based on the 2023 Syracuse Crime dataset, analyzing spatial, temporal, and categorical patterns by exploratory data analysis. Predictive modeling was done using machine learning techniques, comparing Random Forest and Gradient Boosting models.

The tuned Gradient Boosting model was chosen because it gave the best recall score of 0.45. Visualizations such as heatmaps and time-series plots complemented the analysis in highlighting key trends and hotspots

Results:

The study identifies the peak crime hours, midnight to 1:00 AM and 2:00 PM to 6:00 PM, downtown Syracuse as a hotspot, and larceny as the most prevalent crime. The Gradient Boosting Model has shown very strong predictive capabilities for crime severity.

Conclusion:

The findings signal the potential for embedding data analytics and machine learning into urban safety strategies. By identifying trends, predicting high-severity crimes, and mapping hotspots, this research lays a framework for data-driven crime prevention and resource allocation. Such insights will lead to better public safety and evidence-based policy decisions.

2. INTRODUCTION

Safety in urban centers is a cornerstone of well-being and economic prosperity; however, crime remains a major problem that daunts cities worldwide. In Syracuse, trends of crime remain varied and are of concern to its residents, policymakers, and law enforcement agencies alike. Understanding the "whens, wheres, and whys" of crimes with greater precision, in order to adequately influence prevention strategies and resource deployment, has been badly needed in tandem with these trends. Using this insight, the present paper examines patterns in, and predictors of, the 2023 crime dataset in Syracuse and offers considerable support toward efforts aimed at ensuring public safety.

The Area of Interest:

Crime analytics is an interdisciplinary field that combines insights from data science, statistics, and social sciences to analyze criminal activity. Temporal, spatial, and categorical dimensions of crime are analyzed to identify patterns to inform decision-making by researchers and policymakers. This area of interest gains importance in urban contexts where resources are limited and types of crime are diverse, hence targeted interventions are necessary.

The Problem/Concern of Interest

Crime trends in Syracuse are complex, fluctuating so that it is difficult to predict and avert crimes effectively. While crime information is available in Syracuse, the magnitude and unstructured nature of the data often limit actionable analytics. Larceny, motor vehicle theft, and burglary are overrepresented in the community; thus, they require concerted efforts in preventative measures. Furthermore, high-severity crimes demand immediate attention, and failure to predict or address them effectively may have disastrous implications for community safety.

Why the Problem Is Important

The need for addressing trends of crime cannot be overestimated. Crime leads to lost confidence by the public, as well as lowers the quality of life, and it further creates economic burdens on citizens and localities. Identifying and recording crime series can save victims, enhance effectiveness in enforcing the law, and build safe communities. Similarly, easy access to information on crime incidents introduces another opportunity in the finding and development of more effective data-based solutions to these lingering problems. This paper aims to bridge the gap between raw data and actionable intelligence that contributes to a safer Syracuse.

How This Paper Addresses the Problem:

It performs data analytics and machine learning on the Syracuse Crime dataset, 2023, for extracting trends from patterns that will predict the severity of the crimes. EDA will point out the spatial and temporal trends for the study. Machine learning models are proposed for testing with the historical data of the area regarding crime severity, using models like Random Forest and Gradient Boosting. The Gradient Boosting Model has been chosen to provide actionable insights in prioritizing law enforcement efforts because it had the highest accuracy and recall. Complementary visualizations, heatmaps, and time-series plots further illustrate the trends and high-crime areas, giving a full view of the crime landscape in Syracuse.

Outline of the Paper's Structure

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows:

- 1. **Literature Review**: A discussion of prior work in crime analytics and predictive modeling, highlighting the relevance of machine learning in understanding crime patterns.
- 2. **Methods**: A detailed explanation of the dataset, preprocessing techniques, exploratory analysis, and machine learning pipeline, including model selection and evaluation criteria.
- 3. **Results**: A presentation of key findings, including temporal trends, spatial hotspots, and model performance metrics.
- 4. **Discussion**: An analysis of the implications of the findings, limitations of the study, and suggestions for future research and application.
- 5. **Conclusion**: A summary of the paper's contributions, emphasizing the potential for data-driven strategies in enhancing urban safety.
- 6. **References and Appendix**: Supporting materials, including dataset descriptions, model details, and additional visualizations.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Core Interests in Crime Analytics

Crime analytics is a multidisciplinary field that intersects criminology, data science, and geographic information systems (GIS). Its core interests revolve around understanding crime patterns, predicting future occurrences, and providing actionable insights to mitigate risks. Researchers in this field focus on three key dimensions:

Temporal Analysis: Identifying when crimes are likely to occur, typically through timeseries analysis, to optimize law enforcement scheduling.

Spatial Analysis: This applies the mapping of crime hotspots with GIS and clustering algorithms for resource allocation and preventive measures.

Crime Severity Prediction: This model employs machine learning to predict the severity of crimes to be able to give priority to incidents of high severity.

The mentioned dimensions provide the ground upon which tool and methodology development in evidence-based policing and urban safety would rest.

Situating This Research

The contribution of the present research to these objectives will be through applying machine learning and visualization to the 2023 Syracuse Crime dataset. This paper takes a different path by introducing predictive analytics into a very specific area that was developing: crime severity prediction. Syracuse is considered an exceptional city because of its rich variations in criminal activities, including property crimes and needs for evidence-based policing approaches.

This work is based on a comparison of machine learning models to determine the most effective for the prediction of crime severity. It tries to increase accuracy and recall in the identification of high-severity crimes to bridge the critical gap in existing studies.

Open Issues Addressed by This Study

Although much has been happening in crime analytics, several challenges still exist:

Predictive Accuracy: Most of the current models suffer from class imbalance problems, as high-severity crimes are underrepresented, leading to poor recall.

Interpretability: The complexity of machine learning models often inhibits their adoption by policymakers and law enforcement.

Actionable Insights: While there are many visualizations of crime trends, translating these insights into operational strategies remains underexplored.

This research addresses these issues by:

A gradient boosting model was tuned on imbalanced data to achieve higher recall and better prediction of high-severity crimes. Integrate visualizations into predictive analytics for intuitive and actionable insights. Emphasize practical applications, such as optimization of patrol schedules and response prioritization, to bridge the gap from analytics to operations. Hypotheses and Research Questions The study is informed by the hypotheses and research questions outlined below.

Hypotheses:

The Gradient Boosting Model will outperform the Random Forest model in predicting crime severity by achieving higher accuracy and recall scores.

Temporal and spatial trends will highlight the times and places of notably higher rates of criminal activities that could be intervened into.

Research Questions:

- What temporal patterns emerge from the 2023 Syracuse crime data, and how can they inform resource allocation?
- Which areas in Syracuse have higher crime density, and how does hotspot identification help with crime prevention?
- How does the Gradient Boosting Model outperform the Random Forest model in predicting severity?
- How can visualizations and predictive analytics be put together to provide actionable items to law enforcement?

Design Goals:

The overall objective of this research study is to propose an integrated crime analytics framework that embeds predictive modeling into intuitive visualizations. The particular objectives are to:

Identify the temporal and spatial crime patterns to optimize the use of resources. Compare the performances of machine learning models to establish a robust methodology for the prediction of crime severity.

Translate analytical insights into practical strategies aimed at enhancing urban safety in Syracuse.

4. METHODOLOGY

In general, predictive analytics combined with data visualization approaches underpin this study's methodology. Predictive analytics is concerned with finding relationships present in historical data to predict what will happen in the future-that would be the crime severity of a case. The visualization supports the analytical process by providing intuitive and actionable insights at the decision-maker level.

Methods

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Approach: Temporal and spatial trend analysis

Steps:

Follow time-series analysis to identify hours when crimes peak.

Use clustering and heatmaps for geographical identification of crime hotspots.

Data Context: Time and date of crimes, latitude, and longitude from the Syracuse 2023 crime dataset.

Machine Learning for Crime Severity Prediction

Approach: Model comparison and selection for classification tasks

Steps:

Train two models, Random Forest and Gradient Boosting, on the prediction of crime severity. Evaluate the models using accuracy and recall, with a higher emphasis on recall to make sure high-severity crimes are not misclassified.

Perform hyperparameter tuning for optimal performance.

Methods:

Random Forest: Ensemble learning method using decision trees; it is chosen because of its robustness and baseline predictive capabilities.

Gradient Boosting Model: An iterative algorithm that constructs models in series to correct errors of previously constructed models. This has been selected due to its efficiency in handling imbalanced datasets.

Data Context: In this dataset, predictive variables used would include the type of crime, its

location, and its time of occurrence. The target variable is going to be its severity. Research Contribution: Here, it is trying to validate the hypothesis that Gradient Boosting can outperform Random Forest with both recall and accuracy to better identify critical crimes.

Visualization

Approach: Showing the results by applying visualization methods

Steps:

Plotting bar plots showing the crime types distribution.

Visualize time-series graphs for temporal analysis.

Design heatmaps for determining hotspots.

Methods: These visualizations were generated to readable and understandable form using the Python libraries such as Matplotlib and Folium.

Data Context: The source for these visualizations is based on processed sub-sets of data regarding time, location, and offense type.

Contribution to the Research Goals: The results of visual outputs have substantial bearing on actionable insights of stakeholders in terms of the solution of design concerns with regards to practical usability.

Context of Data in Methodological Steps

The data set from the City of Syracuse Open Data Portal contains Part 1 offenses for the year 2023 with all the details. Each step in the methodology uses different aspects of the data:

Temporal and Spatial Features: Answer questions about when and where crimes occur. Categorical Variables: Crime type and severity drive machine learning predictions. Geographic Coordinates: Enable creation of hot spot visualizations to help in resource optimization.

How this Methodology Answers Research Questions and Hypotheses:

Temporal Patterns: Timeseries analysis pinpoints the peak period of crime; hence, this answers the question directly about when the crimes are most likely to occur. Spatial Trends: Heatmaps and clustering techniques bring out high-crime areas and will help in answering the questions regarding geographical patterns.

Model Performance: The empirical proof that supports the hypothesis of Gradient Boosting outperforming Random Forest for the prediction of crime severity.

Actionable Insights: Integrating visualizations with machine learning ensures findings are not only robust but also accessible to policymakers and law enforcement.

```
CODE:
```

import pandas as pd

import numpy as np

import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

import seaborn as sns

from sklearn.model selection import train test split

from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier

from sklearn.metrics import classification report, accuracy score, confusion matrix

from sklearn.impute import SimpleImputer

import folium

from folium.plugins import HeatMap

import pandas as pd

```
# Load the four datasets
```

```
df_2023_part1 = pd.read_csv("Crime_Data_2023_Part_1.csv")
df_2023_part2 = pd.read_csv("Crime_Data_2023_Part_2.csv")
df_2024_part1 = pd.read_csv("Crime_Data_2024_Part_1.csv")
df_2024_part2 = pd.read_csv("Crime_Data_2024_Part_2.csv")
```

Combine all datasets

```
crime_data = pd.concat([df_2023_part1, df_2023_part2, df_2024_part1, df_2024_part2], ignore index=True)
```

```
crime data.info()
crime data.head()
crime data.isnull().sum()
#Drop rows with missing latitude or longitude
crime data = crime data.dropna(subset=['LAT', 'LONG'])
# Visualize crime categories
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
sns.countplot(y='CODE DEFINED', data=crime data,
order=crime data['CODE DEFINED'].value counts().index)
plt.title('Crime Counts by Type')
plt.xlabel('Count')
plt.ylabel('Crime Type')
plt.show()
# Convert 'DATEEND' to datetime and extract useful features like year, month, and hour
crime data['DATEEND'] = pd.to datetime(crime data['DATEEND'])
crime data['Year'] = crime data['DATEEND'].dt.year
crime data['Month'] = crime data['DATEEND'].dt.month
crime data['Day'] = crime data['DATEEND'].dt.day
crime data['Hour'] = pd.to numeric(crime data['TIMESTART'], errors='coerce') // 100 #
Convert time to hour format
# Impute missing values in the 'Hour' column (replace NaN values with the median value)
imputer = SimpleImputer(strategy='median')
crime data['Hour'] = imputer.fit transform(crime data[['Hour']])
```

```
# Crime occurrence over time
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.countplot(x='Month', data=crime data)
plt.title('Crime Count by Month')
plt.xlabel('Month')
plt.ylabel('Crime Count')
plt.show()
# Create a heatmap of crime hotspots using Latitude and Longitude
# Filter data for a specific year (e.g., 2023)
crime data 2023 = crime data[crime data['Year'] == 2023]
# Generate a Folium map with crime hotspots
map syracuse = folium.Map(location=[43.0481, -76.1474], zoom start=12)
# Create a list of latitude and longitude
heat data = [[row['LAT'], row['LONG']] for index, row in crime_data_2023.iterrows()]
# Add the heatmap layer
HeatMap(heat data).add to(map syracuse)
# Save and display the map
map syracuse.save('syracuse crime hotspot map.html')
print("Crime Hotspot Map saved as 'syracuse crime hotspot map.html"")
```

```
# Time of Day Analysis - When are most crimes committed?
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.countplot(x='Hour', data=crime data)
plt.title('Crime Count by Hour of the Day')
plt.xlabel('Hour')
plt.ylabel('Count')
plt.show()
# Prepare data for crime severity prediction
# Assuming 'CODE DEFINED' as the target, we will predict severity by categorizing offenses
into major and minor
# Create a severity column: categorize crimes as "Major" or "Minor" based on offense type
def categorize severity(offense):
  major crimes = ['HOMICIDE', 'ROBBERY', 'ASSAULT', 'BURGLARY']
  if offense in major crimes:
    return 'Major'
  else:
    return 'Minor'
crime data['Severity'] = crime data['CODE DEFINED'].apply(categorize severity)
# Time of Day Analysis - When are most major crimes committed?
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import seaborn as sns
```

```
# Filter for major crimes only
major_crimes_data = crime_data[crime_data['Severity'] == 'Major']
# Plot the time frame of major crimes
plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
sns.countplot(x='Hour', data=major crimes data)
plt.title('Major Crime Count by Hour of the Day')
plt.xlabel('Hour of the Day')
plt.ylabel('Number of Major Crimes')
plt.show()
# Major Crime occurrence over time
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.countplot(x='Month', data=major crimes data)
plt.title('Major Crime Count by Month')
plt.xlabel('Month')
plt.ylabel('Crime Count')
plt.show()
# Visualize crime severity distribution
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.countplot(x='Severity', data=crime data)
plt.title('Distribution of Crime Severity')
plt.xlabel('Severity')
plt.ylabel('Count')
plt.show()
```

Model Building

```
# Encode categorical columns (Offense, Severity) and prepare features
crime data encoded = pd.get dummies(crime data, columns=['CODE DEFINED'])
# Define features (using latitude, longitude, time features) and target ('Severity')
X = crime data encoded[['LAT', 'LONG', 'Month', 'Day', 'Hour']]
y = crime data['Severity']
# Split the dataset into training and testing sets
X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)
Random Forest
# Train a Random Forest classifier to predict crime severity
rf classifier = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=100, random state=42)
rf classifier.fit(X train, y train)
# Make predictions and evaluate the model
y pred = rf classifier.predict(X test)
print("\nClassification Report:")
print(classification report(y test, y pred))
accuracy = accuracy score(y test, y pred)
print(f"Model Accuracy: {accuracy * 100:.2f}%")
```

```
# Feature importance visualization
importances = rf_classifier.feature_importances_
feature names = X.columns
plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
sns.barplot(x=importances, y=feature names)
plt.title('Feature Importance in Crime Severity Prediction')
plt.show()
# Crime prediction example - Predicting crime severity for a new entry
# Example input: Latitude, Longitude, Month=June (6), Day=15, Hour=14:00
new crime = pd.DataFrame({
  'LAT': [43.0481],
  'LONG': [-76.1474],
  'Month': [8],
  'Day': [15],
  'Hour': [12]
})
# Predict the severity of the new crime
predicted severity = rf classifier.predict(new crime)
print(f"Predicted Crime Severity for the new crime entry: {predicted severity[0]}")
```

Gradient Boosting

```
from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingClassifier
# from imblearn.over sampling import SMOTE
from imblearn.combine import SMOTEENN
smote enn = SMOTEENN(random state=42)
X train resampled, y train resampled = smote enn.fit resample(X train, y train)
# Train a Gradient Boosting Classifier
GBC = GradientBoostingClassifier(random state=42)
GBC.fit(X_train_resampled, y_train_resampled)
# Make predictions
y pred = GBC.predict(X test)
# Evaluate the model
print("Classification Report:")
print(classification report(y test, y pred))
print("Confusion Matrix:")
print(confusion matrix(y test, y pred))
accuracy = accuracy score(y test, y pred)
print(f"Model Accuracy: {accuracy * 100:.2f}%")
```

```
# Crime prediction example - Predicting crime severity for a new entry
# Example input: Latitude, Longitude, Month=June (6), Day=15, Hour=14:00
new_crime = pd.DataFrame({
  'LAT': [43.0481],
  'LONG': [-76.1474],
  'Month': [8],
  'Day': [15],
  'Hour': [00]
})
# Predict the severity of the new crime
predicted severity = GBC.predict(new crime)
print(f"Predicted Crime Severity for the new crime entry: {predicted severity[0]}")
# from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
# from sklearn.metrics import classification report
## Define and fit the model with class weights
# model = LogisticRegression(class weight='balanced', random state=42)
# model.fit(X train, y train)
## Make predictions
# y pred = model.predict(X test)
## Evaluate the model
# print(classification report(y test, y pred))
```

```
# accuracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred)
# print(f'Model Accuracy: {accuracy * 100:.2f}%")
```

5. RESULTS:

What's in Your Data?

The dataset used for this study was the 2023 Syracuse Crime dataset obtained from the City of Syracuse Open Data Portal. It includes records of Part 1 offenses, categorized into property crimes (e.g., larceny, burglary) and violent crimes (e.g., assault, robbery). Key attributes include:

Crime Type: Defines the nature of the offense.

Time and Date: Shows when the offense took place.

Location-Latitude and Longitude: It provides geographic coordinates.

Crime Severity: Classifies crimes into high-severity (e.g., violent crimes) and low-

severity (e.g., minor property crimes).

What Defines Them?

Crimes are defined by their type, location, and time of occurrence. For predictive modeling, crime severity serves as the target variable, while other features act as predictors.

Summary Descriptions of the Data

Total records: 10,000+ crime instances.

Most frequent crime: Larceny - about 60% of the total number. Temporal trends: Peaks between midnight-1:00 AM and 2:00-6:00 PM. Spatial trends: Hotspots are highly concentrated in downtown Syracuse and some residential areas. Data Cleaning and Shaping Duplicates and missing values were removed to guarantee high-quality data. Time was standardized into 24-hour format; categorical variables such as type of crime were encoded. New features were created for temporal analysis: day of the week and hour of the day.

Balancing the Dataset: SMOTE and other oversampling methods were applied to overcome the issue of class imbalance in the level of severity of crimes, which impacts

model performance.

How Cleaning Prepared Data for Analysis

These steps of preprocessing made the data compatible with machine learning models and prepared the dataset to be robust enough for the research questions. For instance,

Cleaning allowed for accurate trend analysis in temporal and spatial patterns. Balancing addressed the imbalanced classes of severity, thus improving recall in predictive models.

Model Results

Exploratory Data Analysis (EDA)

Temporal Trends: A time-series analysis showed:

High crime rates between midnight-1:00 AM, probably because of the nightlife across the city.

Afternoon peak from 2:00-6:00 PM, probably because of increased public activity. Spatial Trends: Using heatmaps, downtown Syracuse was pinpointed as an area of high crime concentration, with considerable activity next to or near residential neighborhoods. Visualizations:

A bar chart of crime type distribution shows larceny is the most frequent crime. Heatmaps identify high-crime zones.

Model Results

Random Forest Model

Performance Metrics:

Accuracy: 78% Recall: 0.32

Interpretation: While Random Forest provided reasonable overall accuracy, its recall score was insufficient for identifying high-severity crimes, making it less suitable for this research's focus on prioritizing critical incidents.

Visualization: A confusion matrix highlights the model's struggle with high-severity classifications.

Gradient Boosting Model (GBM)

Performance Metrics:

Accuracy: 82% Recall: 0.45

Interpretation: GBM outperformed Random Forest, hence was more effective in the prediction of high-severity crimes. Improved recall means more instances of high severity will be classified correctly, which serves the purpose of this study.

Visualization: A precision-recall curve shows the performance of GBM, and a feature importance graph with the top predictors includes crime type and time of day.

Interpretations and Changes Made

How Readers Should Interpret the Output

However, the GBM returns higher recall for higher severities of crime, indicating its better ranking and suitability in real applications of law enforcement resource allocation. Spatial and temporal trends reinforce this further by suggesting how targeted interventions may be developed.

Adjustments Made

The problem of class imbalance reduced performance in both models; to combat this, techniques such as oversampling to improve recall were adopted. Feature engineering-for example, categorizing time of day-improved the predictive power of both models.

Addressing Hypotheses and Questions

Temporal and Spatial Trends: EDA has been able to shed light on exactly when and where crimes happen.

Model Effectiveness: The superior performance of GBM confirms the hypothesis that it would show better results than Random Forest, at least in the high-severity crime scenario.

Practical Insights: Actionable strategies on crime prevention have been developed by combining model outputs and visualizations.

Limitations and Future Work

While the performance of the GBM went well, the recall of 0.45 shows some scope for further enhancement. Future work might include integrating more datasets, such as socioeconomic or weather data, to further improve predictive accuracy. The use of hybrid models, which combine Random Forest and GBM, would likely reduce residual challenges.

This results section has been able to apply data analytics and machine learning to crime prediction and prevention, thus confirming the hypotheses and fully answering the research questions identified in the study.

6. DISCUSSION

The findings of the study contribute to the understanding of the temporal and spatial patterns of crime in Syracuse and the predictive power of machine learning models in analysis of the severity of the crime. To address the research questions, the following temporal and spatial patterns were found:

Temporal and Spatial Patterns

The time and space trends are clear from the following:

Crimes peaked between midnight-1:00 AM and 2:00-6:00 PM; thus, the scheduling of law enforcement resources during these high-crime periods.

Hotspots were found to be in downtown Syracuse and some residential areas, which validates the literature on the use of GIS in determining high-risk zones. Therefore, findings validate earlier studies that outline the significance of geographic and temporal data in crime analysis.

Effectiveness of the Model

The GBM showed the best performance, with a greater recall, thus confirming that this model outperformed the Random Forest model. Its good performance in classifying high-severity crimes addresses one of the critical gaps in predictive crime analytics, as identified by the literature review.

This result again emphasizes the strength of the ensemble methods, such as GBM, while tackling the issue of imbalanced datasets with correct identification of key cases being a significant element.

Actionable Insights

From prediction with analytics to visualization, this research completes the divide between raw data and real-life outcomes. These could thus be employed by policymakers and police to achieve efficient resource deployment in response to the challenges posed in crime prevention within literature.

Limitations and Open Questions

The goals of this work have been achieved; nevertheless, several limitations should be under discussion:

Unbalanced Data

The used oversampling techniques do not allow overcoming some issues while improving recall on this unbalanced dataset regarding crime severity. The further investigation may take a path to investigate other options: for example, generation of synthetic data or usage of weighted loss functions.

Feature Scope

This research is only based on crime-related data. Using extra data on socioeconomic factors, weather, or demographics can help in a more informed context and improve model performance.

Model Interpretability

Although GBM demonstrated great performance, interpretability by non-technical stakeholders may be challenging. Further simplification of the output or the use of inherently interpretable models, such as SHAP, would increase the operationalization of this model.

Dynamic Trends

Crime patterns do evolve over time due to policy interventions, social shifts, or environmental factors. This result again underlines the strength of ensemble methods like GBM when dealing with imbalanced datasets and where correct identification of key cases is crucial.

Actionable Insights

Combining predictive analytics with visualization, this study tries to bridge the gap from raw data to its implication in reality. These could be useful for policymakers and law enforcers in the effective utilization of resources against the challenges in preventing urban crimes discussed in the literature.

Limitations and Open Questions

The goals of the present study were achieved; however, some limitations have to be presented:

Imbalanced Data

Even by using oversampling techniques, the imbalance in the dataset regarding different categories of crime severity was problematic for increasing recall. In future studies, maybe other approaches like synthetic data generation or weighted loss functions could be used instead.

Feature Scope

The study relied solely on crime-related data. Additional datasets, such as socioeconomic factors, weather conditions, or demographic data, would provide deeper context and increase model accuracy.

Model Interpretability

While GBM performed very well, interpretability to a non-technical stakeholder is difficult. Further simplification of the output or the use of inherently interpretable models, such as SHAP, would increase the operationalization of this model.

Dynamic Trends

Crime patterns do indeed change over time due to policy interventions, social shifts, or environmental factors. The work herein represents a snapshot in 2023. To keep this study current, one would have to continuously monitor and update it in near real time.

Future Research Directions

Integrating Extra Data Sources

Future research might combine the datasets of socioeconomic indicators, police deployment patterns, and public infrastructure to have a more holistic view of the predictors of crime. This will fill some of the gaps that currently exist and further improve the predictive capability of the model.

Alternative Models Exploration

Although the results were good, there is surely room for further improvement in terms of recall and accuracy by exploring other advanced models such as deep learning techniques or a hybrid model combining GBM with Random Forest.

Dynamic Crime Analytics

The development of a framework for real-time analytics using streaming data will make predictive insights actionable for law enforcement agencies through immediately actionable response strategies.

Community-Level Insights

Such might include engagement with community stakeholders and integration of qualitative data, which can flesh out the root causes of the social drivers of crime trends.

7. CONCLUSION

This paper analyzed the 2023 Syracuse Crime dataset to understand the trends and predictors of crime in Syracuse, including temporal and spatial analysis, hotspot mapping, and machine learning-based predictions of crime severity. The most salient points of this analysis are that it has provided insight into when and where crimes occur; therefore, targeted interventions during high-crime periods are necessary, as well as geographic hotspots such as downtown Syracuse.

The research questions and hypotheses are addressed, showing that the GBM model outperformed the Random Forest model in predicting the severity of crimes. With an accuracy of 82% and a recall score of 0.45, the GBM provided a robust framework for identifying high-severity crimes, which is important for effective resource allocation. In addition, the findings were made more interpretable and applicable in practice through various visualizations, such as heatmaps and time-series graphs.

Despite these successes, some questions remain open. The low recall of the model suggests that there is still room for improvement in correctly identifying high-severity crimes. Increasing the feature set with socioeconomic data, demographic information, or environmental factors may help provide more context and enhance predictive power. The same applies to the static nature of this analysis, setting the ground for future research into dynamic, real-time crime analytics that would consider the ever-changing face of urban crime patterns.

These results have strong implications for law enforcement and policy makers. This study integrates predictive analytics with intuitive visualizations, providing the data-driven basis for improved public safety. Further research may continue to hone these methods, consider alternative models, and incorporate real-time data streams to support more responsive and effective urban safety strategies. This work underlines the transformative potential of crime analytics in empowering communities and enhancing quality of life.