

CECL INTRODUCTION AND IMPLEMENTATION

With Wintrust Financial Corporation perspective



FEBRUARY 24, 2019

DEEPAK KUMAR TIWARI
Financial Mathematics Graduate Student

CECL: Current Expected Credit Losses

- Requires to be implemented by institution issuing credit, including banks, savings
 institutions, credit unions and holding companies filing under GAAP accounting
 standards. The CECL standards will be effective starting Dec. 15, 2019, for public business
 entities that are US SEC filers.
- Change the way institutions have been estimating Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses
- Estimate expected loss over the life of the loans using historical data, current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts
- Lesser the data more would be the assumptions to estimate expected loss. More will be the data, more supportable would be the forecasts and lesser would be questions from regulators.
- The loss would be calculated on a loan level amortized cost calculation basis
 Amortized cost = Current Balance +/- deferred fees/costs +/- Premium/Discounts +
 Accrued Interests
- **Risk-Based pools** can be made to determine the allowance for losses in loans having similar risk parameters. Most important Risk factors are:
 - 1. FICO Score
 - 2. Debt to Income Ratio
 - 3. Loan to Value Ratio
 - 4. Disposable Income
 - 5. Employment type
 - 6. Property type
 - 7.Loan Purpose
 - 8. Geographic Locations
- Already matured or closed loans can be segregated based on above risk profiles and see how loans behaved in the past
- Adjust those results based on various **qualitative and quantitative factors**. These factors can be:
 - 1.Unemployment Rate
 - 2.Consumer Price Index
 - 3.Interest Rate (Yield curve)
 - 4. House Price Index
 - 5.Gross Domestic Product
 - 6.Per Capita Income
 - 7.Taxes

These factors are forecasted by many industries or organizations so, often CECL company wouldn't require forecasting these data.

 Most Convenient and exact ways to compute allowance under CECL can be Vintage and PD/LGD model

For Wintrust: All numbers in thousands and as of September 2018

- Total Assts=30142731=Liabilities (26962909) +Equity (3179822)
- Total Securities
 - **1.Total available for sale securities:** Amortized Cost= 2260750, Fair Value= 2164985 Best way to find allowance for these securities is by Discounted Cash Flow Method
 - **2. Total held to maturity securities:** Amortized Cost=966438, Fair Value= 911597 Best way to calculate the allowance for these securities are PD*LGD*EAD Method
- Total Loan Portfolio=23123951
 - 1.Total Performing loans (includes TDR's) = 22996724
 - 1.1. Current = 22834140
 - 1.2. 30-59 days due = 109363
 - 1.3. 60-89 days due = 42344
 - 1.4. 90+ days (including PCI) = 10877
 - 2. Total Non-Performing loans=127227
 - 2.1. Nonaccrual loans (No interest, after 90 days usually) = 114844
 - 2.2. 90+ days due (excluding PCI) =12383
- PCI Loans (Purchase of credit impaired or deteriorated loans): UPB=325091, Fair value= 304726
- Total Impaired Loans=132522
 - 1. Impaired Loans where the allowance for loan loss is not required= 49173
 - 2. Impaired Loans where the allowance for loan loss is required=83349
 - 3.Impaired Loans Principal Balance along with the allowance for it
 - 3.1. Unpaid Principal Balance = 145561
 - 3. 2. Allowance for Impaired Loans = 14365

Impaired Loans are nonaccrual loans and a bank can start charging interests again only when a borrower makes payment again else principal up to charge off time would be recognized as a loss

• TDRs (Troubled Debt Restructuring) = 66219

Troubled Debt Restructuring are loans where a lender hasn't received several payments on a loan and agrees to restructure the loans as per financial situation of borrower because of economic or legal reasons which otherwise wouldn't have happened

• Allowance for credit losses calculation

Current Allowance for Loan losses at the period end= Allowance of loan losses from past year + Unfunded commitment from past year + Provision for credit losses for the current year - Net Charge-offs for the current year (total charge off - total recovery) + /- other adjustments

$$137905 + 24 + 24431 - (23129 - 10627) - 102 = 149756$$

2. Current Allowance for credit losses at the period end = Current Allowance for Loan losses at the period end + Current Unfunded lending commitment not in the balance sheet

149756 + 1245 = 151001

- Total Allowance for credit in terms of percentage of Total Assets: (151001/30142731) *100 = 0.5%
- Under CECL this allowance percentage would go up considerably because of consideration of losses over the life of the loans which can be 6-8 years approximately depending on current market conditions and future microeconomic and macroeconomic factors
- Wintrust would want this allowance percentage to be least and as exact as possible because this amount of assets can be used for different purposes like paying off debt, fixed costs associated with operations, lending or investments but CECL would need them to keep that amount as a reserve

Wintrust's Process to Charge-off and Setting Reserve

- 1. The company operates a credit risk rating system under which our credit management personnel assign a credit risk rating (1 to 10 rating) to each loan at the time of origination and review loans on a regular basis
- 2. Credit risk ratings are determined by evaluating several factors including a borrower's financial strength, cash flow coverage, collateral protection, and guarantees.
- 3. The Company's Problem Loan Reporting system automatically includes all loans with credit risk ratings of 6 through 9
- 4. Once Management determine loan has a risk of 6 or worse, all credit and collateral review is done to see if a borrower is eligible for TDR (Troubled Debt Restructuring)
- 5. Once credit review is done, outstanding loan balance can be deemed as collectible and impairment reserve may be set aside
- 6. Once the loan is uncollectible, the rating is further downgraded to 8-9 and the unpaid amount is charged-off
- 7. If loans are continuously assigned risk rating of 8-9 for a certain duration for the outstanding balance, then through analysis is done if additional charge-offs are needed and take steps to minimize loses.
- 8. Losses can be minimized by recovering by foreclosing the collateral, taking legal steps or others

1. Most common Method to find Allowance for loan losses: Vintage Model

- 1. Vintage Model calculates losses for similar risky loans based on origination date and their historical performances
- 2. Works with loans which are homogeneous and follow some predictive behavior
- 3. Loans with similar risk parameters or type are segmented according to origination years
- 4.Can be applied to a portfolio of loans where underwriting standard, behavior and loan terms are the same.
- 5. Loss amount is calculated based on some linear rate or other based on conditions and expectations
- 6. Steps to find loss allowance in vintage loans
 - Suppose its 2016 and we want to forecast loss allowance for next 5 years till 2021.
 - We will stratify loans based on loan type like ARM and Fixed according to origination years
 - We will come up with the way how we expect to receive the payment. Below is the table
 with loan amounts in thousands originated in each year and how payment is expected to
 be received.

Vintage	L	Principal Collections											
Year	Originations	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	
2011	40,000	6,667	6,125	6,548	6,978	7,152	6,530	-	-	-	-	-	
2012	42,400	-	7,067	6,941	7,397	7,581	6,922	6,493	-	-		-	
2013	44,944	-	-	7,491	7,840	8,036	7,337	6,882	7,357	-	-	-	
2014	47,641	-	-	-	7,940	8,518	7,778	7,295	7,799	8,311	-	-	
2015	50,499	-	-	-	-	8,417	8,244	7,733	8,267	8,810	9,029	-	
2016	53,529	-		-		-	8,922	8,197	8,763	9,338	9,571	8,739	
	Totals	6,667	13,192	20,980	30,155	39,704	45,734	36,599	32,186	26,459	18,600	8,739	
	Period End												
	Loan Balances	33,333	62,542	86,506	103,991	114,787	122,582	85,983	53,798	27,339	8,739	-	

- Suppose we have a history of loans till 2016 and we want to forecast a loss for loans originated in the year 2017. Above is the payment schedule for loans originated in a particular year. Like 40000 loans in 2011, 42400 loans in 2012 and so on.
- In 2011, of these 40000 loans bank expect to receive 6667 in 1st year, 6125 in 2nd year, 6548 in 3rd year and so on. Similarly, in 2016, out of 53529 8922 is expected to be received in the 1st year, 8197 in 2nd year and so on.
- Below are the charge offs. These are loans in which payments have not been made for 180 days usually. And Bank recognizes them as the loss and doesn't expect to receive. It goes as charge offs on the Balance sheet.

Charge-offs	:

Commercial LHFI	(131)	(167)	(287)	(117)	(128)
Consumer LHFI	(322)	(324)	(304)	(353)	(479)
Total charge-offs	(453)	(491)	(591)	(470)	(607)

• Among 6667 amounts expected 52 were recognized as charge off. Similarly, in 2nd year 84 were recognized as charge offs and 36 in 6th year. We have this known data for 2011 loans. But we have only 5 years known charge offs for 2012 loans, 4 years for 2012 loans and so on and only 1 year known charge offs for 2016 loans and none known for 2017 loans.

Origination		Charge-offs by Origination Year (\$)												
Year	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total	
2011	52	84	112	124	64	36	-	-	-	-	-	-	472	1.
2012	-	51	85	114	127	64	38	-	-	-	-	-	479	1.
2013	-	-	49	85	117	130	59	39	-	-	-	-	480	1.
2014	-	-	-	48	86	119	107	61	42	-	-	-	463	0.
2015		-	-	-	45	86	90	112	66	47		-	446	0.
2016	-	-	-	-	-	43	63	94	120	73	56	-	450	0.
Totals	52	135	246	372	439	478	357	306	228	120	56		2,789	

- Total charge offs for 2011 loans is 472 which is 1.18% of the total of 40000 loans originated in 2011. We can do some kind of regression to find how loans have been behaving over years and project charge offs over years for a loan which we don't have data yet.
- An alternative way is to use qualitative factor also known as Q-factor to predict loss amounts. These Q-factors can be interest rate, unemployment rate, Consumer Price Index, GDP etc. depending on which loss relates most. That correlation can be found by data visualizations

		Lo	oss Rates b	y Vintage		Q Factor by Vintage - Eg. MA Unemployment							
Origination	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6	Origination	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
2011	0.13%	0.21%	0.28%	0.31%	0.16%	0.09%	2011	6.70%	6.70%	6.10%	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%
2012	0.12%	0.20%	0.27%	0.30%	0.15%		2012	6.70%	6.10%	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%	
2013	0.11%	0.19%	0.26%	0.29%			2013	6.10%	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%		
2014	0.10%	0.18%	0.25%				2014	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%			
2015	0.09%	0.17%					2015	4.30%	3.20%				
2016	0.08%						2016	3.20%					
Average	0.11%	0.19%	0.27%	0.30%	0.16%	0.09%	Average	5.35%	5.08%	4.68%	4.20%	3.75%	3.20%
Loss/Q factor	1.96%	3.74%	5.67%	7.14%	4.13%	2.81%							

• On the left, these loss rates are found by calculating charge offs in a particular year to total loans originated in that year. Like for 2011 loans, 1^{st} -year charge offs were 52 which is

- 0.13% of the total of 40000 loans. For year 2^{nd} , 84 was 0.21% of the total of 40000 loans. All the known loss rates can be found in the same manner.
- We average out all the loss rates by averaging only those loss rates which are available to us.
- Q-factor Unemployment rate similarly is known for all these years which can be averaged out in a similar way.
- Then we can calculate Loss/Q factor ratio by simply dividing Average loss rates by average Q factor
- The blue part of Q-factor needs to be predicted by regression analysis or directly getting those predictions from the market as there are many industries which predict those macroeconomic factors.

٧6

3.15% 3.30%

3.28%

4.30%

3.37%

		L	oss Rates by	y Vintage						Reason	able Suppo	rtable Fore	cast
Origination	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6		Origination	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5
2011	0.13%	0.21%	0.28%	0.31%	0.16%	0.09%		2011	6.70%	6.70%	6.10%	5.10%	4.3
2012	0.12%	0.20%	0.27%	0.30%	0.15%	0.09%		2012	6.70%	6.10%	5.10%	4.30%	3.2
2013	0.11%	0.19%	0.26%	0.29%	0.13%	0.09%		2013	6.10%	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%	3.1
2014	0.10%	0.18%	0.25%	0.23%	0.13%	0.09%		2014	5.10%	4.30%	3.20%	3.15%	3.1
2015	0.09%	0.17%	0.18%	0.22%	0.13%	0.09%		2015	4.30%	3.20%	3.15%	3.10%	3.1
2016	0.08%	0.12%	0.18%	0.23%	0.14%	0.11%		2016	3.20%	3.15%	3.10%	3.15%	3.3
Average	0.11%	0.18%	0.24%	0.26%	0.14%	0.09%	į	Average	5.35%	4.76%	4.16%	3.67%	3.3
Loss/Q factor	1.96%	3.74%	5.67%	7.14%	4.13%	2.81%							

- 2nd step is to find a new average of Q-factor by including predicted values and then recalculating average of loss rates. Please note that the Loss/Q factor ratio would be the same in long run because of the behavior of loss amount with respect to Q factors
- Once the new average is found for each year, we can find individual unknown loss rates as
 - 1. Loss / Q factor * New average of Q factor 1.96% * 5.35%=0.178 or 0.18%
 - 2. 0.21%+0.20%+0.19%+0.18%+0.17%+x(unknown)=0.18%*6
 - 3. X=0.13%
- Similarly, if two or three or whatever loss rates would be there, we can assume it would be equal and solve for unknown.
- The drawback is that we loss rates for the same year of different origination years are equal.
- Bunch of Q factor can also be taken instead of one and averaged out and calculated similarly as above

PD/LGD/EAD Method: Probability of Default/ Loss Given Default/ Exposure at Default:

The probability of Default: This is the percentage of the loan going default in any pool over a certain period. However, this probability and time period is subjective and can be calculated in a different way by institutions

Exposure at default: Total amount at risk at any point during the life of a loan Loss given Default: Percentage of the total amount at Risk that can be lost in event of default

Loss Rate= PD*LGD

Loss rate can be adjusted according to some qualitative or quantitative factors based

Allowance of loan losses and lease (ALLL)= Loss Rate*EAD

Steps of implementing PD/LGD/EAD model:

- Get all historical loan data for at least 10 years. It can be more too, larger the data more will be the accuracy, but it should be made sure that economic conditions haven't changed substantially since loan of which data are being used
- 2. Make a pool of loans based on Geographic location. It is important to do so because we can know which state is riskier to do business in, consumer behavior and finally it can help to hedge risk by lending more in states where the default is less or some other measures
- 3. Make further sub-pools of loans based on risk factors like DTI, LTV, Employment type, Disposable income, Loan purpose.

As Wintrust has credit risk rating system, we can make sub-pools based on credit risk rate assigned to the loan. Like it can be pooling all loans with risk rate 1, 2, 3 and so on till 10.

- 4. We can then apply the Markov Chain Transition model to see how loans have been behaving in same risk pools. We can segregate each sub-pool in the following way:
 - 1. Prepayments
 - 2. Paid before a late charge is accrued (Usually within 45th or 46th days of last payment)
 - 3. After 15th days of due date but within 60 days
 - 4. Paid after the loan is delinquent (after 60 days but before 90 days)
 - 5. Paid within 90 days and 120 days
 - 6. Finally Default Loans

In Markov Chains Prepayment and Default are terminal states as once a loan has been prepaid or defaulted it can not move to other states and are no longer performing and accrual loans for Bank

Markov Chain State Transition and Logistic Regression model

State 1 can be prepaid so the borrower can make prepayment anytime

State 2 can be within 45 days of past payment. He can either pre-pay the loan or move to 46-59 days due pool

Similarly, loans can move to prepayment or next past due dates

Once loan moves to the default state, it cannot move further to any bucket

- 5. Once these all probabilities are found, we can use regression method like logistic regression to find Probability for new or current to move from one state to another
- 6. LGD would be outstanding loan balance at each state after deducting possible recoveries
- 7. Loss rates can be calculated by multiplying PD and LGD
- 8. Finally, Exposure at default would be total loan amount at stake at each sub-pool

Logistic Regression

With defined delinquency status, we can define the transition Zit of delinquency status between month t and month t -1 for loan i. This transition is the target variable of logistic regression. Then Zit

is a categorical variable takes values?

Zit can be defined as below:

0-1 from performance to prepayment

00 from performance to performance

01 from performance to delinquency less than 30 days

10 from delinquency less than 30 days to performance

01 from delinquency less than 30 days to delinquency less than 60 days

21 from delinquency less than 60 days to delinquency less than 30 days

23 from delinquency less than 60 days to delinquency less than 90 days

32 from delinquency less than 90 days to delinquency less than 60 days

34 from delinquency less than 90 days to default

Partition the dataset into training and testing two sets. The training sets are 70% of the total data. The testing set contains the rest of it. This regression method would predict PD for new loans in a certain time frame.

```
In [1]:
        import pandas as pd
         import numpy as np
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         import sklearn
         import seaborn as sb
         from functools import reduce
         from sklearn import preprocessing
         from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
         from sklearn.model selection import train test split
         from sklearn import metrics
         from sklearn.metrics import classification report
         import statsmodels.formula.api as sm
         from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
In [2]: # Read Data
         acquisition = ['LoanID', 'Channel', 'SellerName', 'OrigInterestRate', 'OrigUnpPrinc',
                        'OrigDate', 'FirstPayment', 'OrigLTV', 'OrigCLTV', 'NumBorrow', 'DTIRat
                        'FTHomeBuyer', 'LoanPurpose', 'PropertyType', 'NumUnits', 'OccStatus',
                        'Zip','MortInsPerc','ProductType','CoCreditScore','MortInsType','R
         performance = ['LoanID', 'MonthRep', 'Servicer', 'LAST_RT', 'LAST_UPB',
                         'LoanAge','MonthsToMaturity','AdMonthsToMaturity','MaturityDate','
                        'CLDS','ModFlag','ZB_Code','ZB_Date','LPI_Date',
                        'FCC_Date', 'DISP_Date', 'FCC_COST', 'PP_COST', 'AR_COST',
                        'IE COST', 'TAX COST', 'NS PROCS', 'CE PROCS', 'RMW PROCS', 'O PROCS',
                       'PRIN_FORG_UPB_FHFA', 'REPCH_FLAG', 'PRIN_FORG_UPB_OTH', 'TRANSFER_FLAG'
         a1 = pd.read csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/Acquisition 2007Q1.txt', sep='|', nam
         p1 = pd.read csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/Performance 2007Q1.txt', sep='|', nam
        keep var acq = ['LoanID','OrigInterestRate','OrigUnpPrinc','OrigLTV','CreditScore
In [3]:
         keep_var_per = ['LoanID','MonthRep','LAST_RT','LAST_UPB',
                        'MonthsToMaturity','CLDS',
                        'ZB_Code', 'ZB_Date', 'LPI_Date', 'FCC_Date', 'DISP_Date',
                        'FCC_COST', 'PP_COST', 'AR_COST', 'IE_COST', 'TAX_COST', 'NS_PROCS',
                        'O PROCS', 'NON INT UPB']
         a1_sub = a1[keep_var_acq].reset_index(drop=True)
         p1_sub = p1[keep_var_per].reset_index(drop=True)
         a1 = a1 sub.dropna(subset = ['CreditScore'])
In [4]: | def_info = p1_sub.loc[p1_sub['ZB_Code'].isin([2.0,3.0,6.0,9.0,15,16])]
        prepay_info = p1_sub.loc[p1_sub['ZB_Code'].isin([1.0])]
In [5]:
         sample_id = a1[~a1['LoanID'].isin(def_info['LoanID'])].sample(n=def_info.shape[0]
In [6]:
```

```
In [7]:
         sample a1 = a1.loc[a1['LoanID'].isin(sample id['LoanID']) | a1['LoanID'].isin(def
In [8]:
         sample_p1 = p1_sub.loc[p1['LoanID'].isin(sample_a1['LoanID'])]
In [9]:
         sample_p1 = sample_p1.assign(**{'prepay_flag':np.nan})
         sample p1.loc[sample p1['LoanID'].isin(prepay info['LoanID']), 'prepay flag']=1
In [10]:
In [11]:
         def f delq(row):
             if row['prepay flag'] == 1:
                  if row['CLDS'] == '0':
                      return 0
                  elif row['ZB_Code'] == 1.0:
                      return -1
             else:
                  if row['CLDS'] == '0':
                      return 0
                 elif row['CLDS'] == '1':
                      return 1
                 elif row['CLDS'] == '2':
                      return 2
                 elif row['CLDS'] == '3':
                      return 3
                 elif row['ZB_Code'] in [2.0,3.0,6.0,9.0,15,16]:
                      return 4
In [12]:
         sample_p1 = sample_p1.assign(DELQ_STAT= sample_p1.apply(f_delq, axis=1))
In [13]:
         # Define Transitions
         sample p1 = sample p1.dropna(subset=["DELQ STAT"])
In [14]:
         first row index = sample p1.groupby(['LoanID'], as index=False).apply(lambda g: g
In [15]:
In [16]:
         sample_p1.loc[first_row_index,'firstrow'] = 1
In [17]:
         sample_p1['delq_shift'] = sample_p1.DELQ_STAT.shift()
```

```
In [18]: def f tran(row): # transition
             if row['firstrow'] != 1:
                  if row['DELQ STAT'] == 0 and row['delq shift'] == 0:
                      return '00'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 1 and row['delq shift'] == 0:
                      return '10'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 1 and row['delq shift'] == 1:
                       return '11'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 0 and row['delq shift'] == 1:
                      return '01'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 2 and row['delq shift'] == 1:
                      return '12'
                  elif row['DELQ_STAT'] == 1 and row['delq_shift'] == 2:
                      return '21'
             #
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 2 and row['delq shift'] == 2:
                       return '22'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 3 and row['delq shift'] == 2:
                      return '23'
                  elif row['DELQ_STAT'] == 2 and row['delq_shift'] == 3:
                      return '32'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 3 and row['delq shift'] == 3:
             #
                       return '33'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == 4 and row['delq shift'] == 3:
                      return '34'
                  elif row['DELQ STAT'] == -1 and row['delq shift'] == 0:
                      return '0-1'
```

```
In [19]: sample_p1 = sample_p1.assign(tran= sample_p1.apply(f_tran, axis=1))
```

```
In [20]:
         # Read Historical Macro Economic Variables (MEV)
         unemployment = pd.read_csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/UNRATE.csv', sep=',', names
         unemployment['DATE'] = pd.to datetime(unemployment.DATE)
         unemployment['DATE'] = unemployment['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         hpi = pd.read_csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/HPI.csv', sep=',', names=['DATE','HP
         hpi['DATE'] = pd.to datetime(hpi.DATE)
         hpi['DATE'] = hpi['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         cpi = pd.read csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/CPALTT01USM661S.csv', sep=',', names
         cpi['DATE'] = pd.to datetime(cpi.DATE)
         cpi['DATE'] = cpi['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         gs3m = pd.read_csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/GS3M.csv', sep=',', names=['DATE','
         gs3m['DATE'] = pd.to datetime(gs3m.DATE)
         gs3m['DATE'] = gs3m['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         gs5 = pd.read_csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/GS5.csv', sep=',', names=['DATE','GS
         gs5['DATE'] = pd.to_datetime(gs5.DATE)
         gs5['DATE'] = gs5['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         gs10 = pd.read_csv('/Users/xy4/Documents/CECL/GS5.csv', sep=',', names=['DATE','G
         gs10['DATE'] = pd.to datetime(gs10.DATE)
         gs10['DATE'] = gs10['DATE'].dt.strftime('%m/%d/%Y')
         # Make one table
         temp = [unemployment,hpi,cpi,gs3m,gs5,gs10]
         MEV = reduce(lambda left,right:pd.merge(left,right,on='DATE'),temp)
```

```
In [21]: MEV.set_index('DATE',inplace=True)
```

```
In [22]: MEV = MEV.pct change()
In [23]: mQ1 = pd.merge(sample a1,sample p1,how="outer", on=['LoanID'])
         mQ1 = pd.merge(mQ1, MEV, how = 'left', left on = "MonthRep", right on="DATE")
In [24]:
In [25]:
         mQ1 = mQ1.dropna(subset=['tran'])
         mQ1_00 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'] == '00']
In [26]:
         mQ1 000 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'] != '00']
In [27]:
         mQ1 00 sample = mQ1 00.sample(frac=0.01,random state=1)
In [28]:
In [29]:
         mQ1 = pd.concat([mQ1 000, mQ1 00 sample], join="inner")
In [30]: MEVs = ['UNEMPLOY', 'HPI', 'GS3M', 'CreditScore']
In [31]: # Regression for state 0
         mQ1_0 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'].isin(['00','01','0-1'])]
         X = pd.DataFrame(mQ1_0,columns=MEVs).values
         Y = mQ1 0['tran'].values
In [32]: X_train0, X_test0, Y_train0, Y_test0 = train_test_split(X,Y, test_size = 0.3, ran
         LogReg0 = LogisticRegression()
         state 0 = LogReg0.fit(X train0,Y train0)
         Y_pred0 = LogReg0.predict(X_test0)
         print(classification report(Y test0,Y pred0))
                      precision
                                    recall f1-score
                                                       support
                 0-1
                            0.46
                                      0.58
                                                0.51
                                                          5711
                                      0.07
                  00
                           0.38
                                                0.12
                                                          5882
                  01
                           0.54
                                      0.78
                                                0.64
                                                          7487
         avg / total
                           0.47
                                      0.50
                                                0.44
                                                         19080
In [33]: # Regression for state 1
         mQ1 1 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'].isin(['10','12'])]
         X = pd.DataFrame(mQ1_1,columns=MEVs).values
         Y = mQ1 1['tran'].values
```

```
In [34]:
         X_train1, X_test1, Y_train1, Y_test1 = train_test_split(X,Y, test_size = 0.3, ran
         LogReg1 = LogisticRegression()
         state 1 = LogReg1.fit(X train1,Y train1)
         Y pred1 = LogReg1.predict(X test1)
         print(classification report(Y test1,Y pred1))
                                    recall f1-score
                      precision
                                                       support
                            0.59
                                      1.00
                                                0.74
                  10
                                                         16455
                  12
                           0.00
                                      0.00
                                                0.00
                                                         11268
         avg / total
                           0.35
                                      0.59
                                                0.44
                                                         27723
         C:\Users\xy4\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics\classification.py:113
         5: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision and F-score are ill-defined and being set
         to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
            'precision', 'predicted', average, warn for)
In [35]: mQ1 2 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'].isin(['21','23'])]
         X = pd.DataFrame(mQ1 2,columns=MEVs).values
         Y = mQ1_2['tran'].values
In [36]: X_train2, X_test2, Y_train2, Y_test2 = train_test_split(X,Y, test_size = 0.3, ran
         LogReg2 = LogisticRegression()
         state 2 = LogReg2.fit(X train2,Y train2)
         Y pred2 = LogReg2.predict(X test2)
         print(classification_report(Y_test2,Y_pred2))
                      precision
                                    recall f1-score
                                                       support
                  21
                           0.00
                                      0.00
                                                0.00
                                                          1579
                  23
                            0.85
                                      1.00
                                                0.92
                                                          9133
         avg / total
                                      0.85
                           0.73
                                                0.78
                                                         10712
         C:\Users\xy4\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics\classification.py:113
         5: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision and F-score are ill-defined and being set
         to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
           'precision', 'predicted', average, warn_for)
```

```
In [37]: mQ1_3 = mQ1.loc[mQ1['tran'].isin(['32','34'])]
X = pd.DataFrame(mQ1_3,columns=MEVs).values
Y = mQ1_3['tran'].values
```

```
In [38]: X_train3, X_test3, Y_train3, Y_test3 = train_test_split(X,Y, test_size = 0.3, ran
          LogReg3 = LogisticRegression()
          state 3 = LogReg3.fit(X train3,Y train3)
          Y pred3 = LogReg3.predict(X test3)
          print(classification_report(Y_test3,Y_pred3))
                       precision
                                     recall f1-score
                                                        support
                             0.00
                                       0.00
                                                 0.00
                   32
                                                            636
                   34
                             0.91
                                       1.00
                                                 0.95
                                                           6079
          avg / total
                             0.82
                                       0.91
                                                 0.86
                                                           6715
          C:\Users\xy4\Anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\metrics\classification.py:113
          5: UndefinedMetricWarning: Precision and F-score are ill-defined and being set
          to 0.0 in labels with no predicted samples.
             'precision', 'predicted', average, warn for)
          # LGD
 In [39]:
 In [40]:
          lgd_data = pd.merge(a1.loc[a1['LoanID'].isin(def_info['LoanID'])],
                               p1.loc[p1['ZB_Code'].isin([2.0,3.0,6.0,9.0,15,16])],
                                      how="outer", on=['LoanID'])
          lgd data = lgd data.dropna(subset=['CreditScore'])
 In [41]:
 In [42]:
          lgd data = pd.merge(lgd data, MEV, how = 'left', left on = "MonthRep", right on="DA'
 In [43]:
          lgd_data['Expenses'] = lgd_data[['FCC_COST', 'PP_COST', 'AR_COST',
                          'IE COST']].sum(axis=1)
 In [44]:
          lgd_data['Proceeds'] = lgd_data[['NS_PROCS','CE_PROCS','RMW_PROCS','O_PROCS']].su
 In [45]:
          lgd_data['Loss'] = lgd_data['LAST_UPB'] + lgd_data['Expenses']-lgd_data['Proceeds']
 In [46]:
          lgd_data.loc[lgd_data['Loss']<0]=0</pre>
          lgd_data['Y'] = lgd_data['Loss']/lgd_data['LAST_UPB']
 In [47]:
          lgd_result = sm.ols(formula="Y ~ CreditScore + HPI + UNEMPLOY", data=lgd_data).fi
In [208]:
In [50]:
          last_row_indicator = p1.groupby(['LoanID'], as_index=False).apply(lambda g: g.ind
         p1.loc[last_row_indicator, 'lastrow'] = 1
In [131]:
In [132]:
         pd data = p1.loc[p1['lastrow']==1]
          pd_data = pd_data[~pd_data['ZB_Code'].isin([1.0,2.0,3.0,6.0,9.0,15,16])]
In [133]:
```

```
In [134]: pd data = pd data.dropna(axis='columns')
          pd_data = pd_data.drop(columns = ['lastrow', 'TRANSFER_FLAG', 'ModFlag', 'MSA'])
          pd mev = pd.merge(pd data, MEV, how = 'left', left on = "MonthRep", right on="DAT
          cresco = a1[['LoanID', 'CreditScore']]
In [144]:
          pd mev = pd.merge(pd mev, cresco, how = 'left', left on = "LoanID", right on="Loa
In [164]:
In [165]:
          pd_mev = pd_mev.dropna(subset=['CreditScore'])
In [166]:
          pd mev = pd mev.reset index(drop=True)
In [170]:
          pred0 = state 0.predict proba(pd mev[MEVs].values)
          pred1 = state_1.predict_proba(pd_mev[MEVs].values)
          pred2 = state_2.predict_proba(pd_mev[MEVs].values)
          pred3 = state_3.predict_proba(pd_mev[MEVs].values)
          pd_mev['DefaultF'] = 0
          pd_mev['DefaultP'] = 0
          pd mev['DefaultS'] = 0
```

```
In [172]: for i, row in pd mev.iterrows():
               a = pred0[i]
               b = pred1[i]
               c = pred2[i]
               d = pred3[i]
               TPM = np.array([[1,0,0,0,0,0],
                             [a[0],a[1],a[2],0,0,0],
                             [0,b[0],0,b[1],0,0,],
                             [0,0,c[0],0,c[1],0],
                             [0,0,0,d[0],0,d[1]],
                             [0,0,0,0,0,1]]
               j = 1
               P = TPM
               if pd mev.iloc[i,7] == '0':
                   k = 1
               elif pd_mev.iloc[i,7] == "1":
                       K = 2
               elif pd_mev.iloc[i,7] == "2":
                           k = 3
               elif pd mev.iloc[i,7] == "3":
                               k = 4
               else:
                   k = 5
               while k!= 0 and k!= 5 and j < pd_mev.iloc[i,5]:</pre>
                   next_state = np.where(TPM[k]==max(TPM[k]))
                   k = next state[0][0]
                   P = P*TPM
                   j = j + 1
               pd_mev.iloc[i,-3] = j
               pd_{mev.iloc[i,-2]} = P[4,5]
               pd mev.iloc[i,-1] = k
```

In [173]: pd_mev.head()

Out[173]:		LoanID	MonthRep	LAST_RT	LAST_UPB	LoanAge	MonthsToMaturity	MaturityDate	CLD	
	0	100036401006	09/01/2017	5.750	163704.94	127	233	02/2037		
	1	100048597640	09/01/2017	6.250	208724.20	126	234	03/2037		
	2	100122275573	09/01/2017	6.125	148843.11	127	233	02/2037		
	3	100134033573	09/01/2017	6.750	57125.92	128	52	01/2022		
	4	100252434914	09/01/2017	5.375	18858.39	128	52	01/2022		
	4								•	
<pre>In [240]: lgd_pd_temp = pd_mev[pd_mev['DefaultF'] != pd_mev['MonthsToMaturity']]</pre>										

In [283]: lgd_pd = lgd_pd.reset_index(drop=True)

lgd_pd = lgd_pd_temp[~lgd_pd_temp['DefaultS'].isin([0,1])]

In [241]:

```
In [247]: lgd_pd['lgd'] = lgd_result.predict(lgd_pd)
In [288]: | lgd_pd['MP'] = lgd_pd['LAST_RT']/100/12*lgd_pd['LAST_UPB']*(1+lgd_pd['LAST_RT']/100/12*lgd_pd['LAST_UPB']*
           lgd_pd['MP1'] = lgd_pd['MP'] *(1+lgd_pd['LAST_RT']/100/12)
In [289]:
           lgd_pd['ead'] = lgd_pd['LAST_UPB']*(1+lgd_pd['LAST_RT']/100/12)**lgd_pd['DefaultF
In [295]:
In [297]:
           lgd_pd['cecl'] = lgd_pd['ead']*lgd_pd['DefaultP']*lgd_pd['lgd']/((1+lgd_pd['LAST]
In [304]:
           result = sum(lgd_pd['cecl'])
In [305]:
           print(result)
           163592404.47582096
In [303]: result / sum(pd_mev['LAST_UPB'])
Out[303]: 0.042254805047209715
In [306]:
           sum(pd_mev['LAST_UPB'])
Out[306]: 3871569263.969986
```

In [307]: lgd_result.summary()

Out[307]:

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable: Υ R-squared: 0.017 Model: OLS Adj. R-squared: 0.017 Method: Least Squares F-statistic: 111.6 Wed, 05 Dec 2018 Prob (F-statistic): 1.30e-71 Date: Time: 12:40:32 Log-Likelihood: -3102.6 No. Observations: AIC: 18992 6213. **Df Residuals:** 18988 BIC: 6245. **Df Model:** 3

Covariance Type: nonrobust

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	0.8267	0.025	32.976	0.000	0.778	0.876
CreditScore	-0.0005	3.61e-05	-13.472	0.000	-0.001	-0.000
HPI	4.3948	0.379	11.593	0.000	3.652	5.138
UNEMPLOY	0.3829	0.096	3.970	0.000	0.194	0.572

 Omnibus:
 1029.423
 Durbin-Watson:
 2.041

 Prob(Omnibus):
 0.000
 Jarque-Bera (JB):
 791.170

 Skew:
 0.409
 Prob(JB):
 1.58e-172

 Kurtosis:
 2.426
 Cond. No.
 1.27e+05

Warnings:

- [1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.
- [2] The condition number is large, 1.27e+05. This might indicate that there are strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems.

In []: