Module 3

May 20, 2019

You are currently looking at version 1.0 of this notebook. To download notebooks and datafiles, as well as get help on Jupyter notebooks in the Coursera platform, visit the Jupyter Notebook FAQ course resource.

Applied Machine Learning: Module 3 (Evaluation)

1.1 Evaluation for Classification

1.1.1 Preamble

```
In [1]: %matplotlib notebook
        import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        import seaborn as sns
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
        from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
        dataset = load_digits()
        X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target
        for class_name, class_count in zip(dataset.target_names, np.bincount(datase
            print(class_name, class_count)
0 178
```

1 182

2 177

3 183

4 181

5 182

6 181

7 179

8 174

9 180

```
# Negative class (0) is 'not digit 1'
       # Positive class (1) is 'digit 1'
       y_binary_imbalanced = y.copy()
       y_binary_imbalanced[y_binary_imbalanced != 1] = 0
       print('Original labels:\t', y[1:30])
       print('New binary labels:\t', y_binary_imbalanced[1:30])
                       [1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
Original labels:
New binary labels:
                        In [3]: np.bincount(y_binary_imbalanced) # Negative class (0) is the most frequency
Out[3]: array([1615, 182])
In [4]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced,
       # Accuracy of Support Vector Machine classifier
       from sklearn.svm import SVC
       svm = SVC(kernel='rbf', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
       svm.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[4]: 0.908888888888888888
```

In [2]: # Creating a dataset with imbalanced binary classes:

1.1.2 Dummy Classifiers

DummyClassifier is a classifier that makes predictions using simple rules, which can be useful as a baseline for comparison against actual classifiers, especially with imbalanced classes.

```
0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0])
In [6]: dummy_majority.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[6]: 0.904444444444445
In [7]: svm = SVC(kernel='linear', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
     svm.score(X_test, y_test)
Out[7]: 0.97777777777775
1.1.3 Confusion matrices
Binary (two-class) confusion matrix
In [8]: from sklearn.metrics import confusion_matrix
     # Negative class (0) is most frequent
     dummy_majority = DummyClassifier(strategy = 'most_frequent').fit(X_train, y
     y_majority_predicted = dummy_majority.predict(X_test)
     confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_majority_predicted)
     print('Most frequent class (dummy classifier) \n', confusion)
Most frequent class (dummy classifier)
[[407
      01
[ 43
     011
In [9]: # produces random predictions w/ same class proportion as training set
     dummy_classprop = DummyClassifier(strategy='stratified').fit(X_train, y_tra
     y_classprop_predicted = dummy_classprop.predict(X_test)
     confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_classprop_predicted)
     print('Random class-proportional prediction (dummy classifier)\n', confusion
Random class-proportional prediction (dummy classifier)
[[369 38]
[ 39
     4]]
```

```
In [10]: svm = SVC(kernel='linear', C=1).fit(X_train, y_train)
         svm_predicted = svm.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, svm_predicted)
         print ('Support vector machine classifier (linear kernel, C=1)\n', confusion
Support vector machine classifier (linear kernel, C=1)
 [[402
 [ 5 38]]
In [11]: from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression
         lr = LogisticRegression().fit(X_train, y_train)
         lr_predicted = lr.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, lr_predicted)
         print('Logistic regression classifier (default settings) \n', confusion)
Logistic regression classifier (default settings)
 [[401 6]
 [ 6 37]]
In [12]: from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier
         dt = DecisionTreeClassifier(max_depth=2).fit(X_train, y_train)
         tree_predicted = dt.predict(X_test)
         confusion = confusion_matrix(y_test, tree_predicted)
         print('Decision tree classifier (max_depth = 2)\n', confusion)
Decision tree classifier (max_depth = 2)
 [[400
        7]
 [ 17 26]]
```

1.1.4 Evaluation metrics for binary classification

```
In [13]: from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score,
         \# Accuracy = TP + TN / (TP + TN + FP + FN)
         # Precision = TP / (TP + FP)
         # Recall = TP / (TP + FN) Also known as sensitivity, or True Positive Ray
         #F1 = 2 * Precision * Recall / (Precision + Recall)
         print('Accuracy: {:.2f}'.format(accuracy_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('Precision: {:.2f}'.format(precision_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('Recall: {:.2f}'.format(recall_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
         print('F1: {:.2f}'.format(f1_score(y_test, tree_predicted)))
```

Accuracy: 0.95 Precision: 0.79 Recall: 0.60 F1: 0.68

In [14]: # Combined report with all above metrics

from sklearn.metrics import classification_report

print(classification_report(y_test, tree_predicted, target_names=['not 1', precision recall f1-score support 0.98 0.97 not 1 0.96 407 1 0.79 0.60 0.68 43 avg / total 0.94 0.95 0.94 450

In [15]: print('Random class-proportional (dummy) \n',

classification_report(y_test, y_classprop_predicted, target_names=[
print('SVM\n',

classification_report(y_test, svm_predicted, target_names = ['not 1'
print('Logistic regression\n',

classification_report(y_test, lr_predicted, target_names = ['not 1',
print('Decision tree\n',

classification_report(y_test, tree_predicted, target_names = ['not 1

407

Random class-proportional (dummy)

not 1

Tarraom Class	precision		f1-score	support		
not 1 1	0.90 0.10	0.91 0.09	0.91	407		
avg / total	0.83	0.83	0.83	450		
SVM						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		
not 1	0.99	0.99	0.99	407		
1	0.88	0.88	0.88	43		
avg / total	0.98	0.98	0.98	450		
Logistic regression						
	precision	recall	f1-score	support		

0.99

5

0.99

0.99

```
0.86
                             0.86
                                        0.86
                                                   43
          1
avg / total
                  0.97
                             0.97
                                        0.97
                                                   450
Decision tree
              precision
                            recall f1-score
                                                support
      not 1
                   0.96
                             0.98
                                        0.97
                                                   407
                             0.60
          1
                   0.79
                                        0.68
                                                    43
avg / total
                  0.94
                             0.95
                                        0.94
                                                   450
```

1.1.5 Decision functions

```
In [16]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
         y_scores_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).decision_function(X_test)
         y_score_list = list(zip(y_test[0:20], y_scores_lr[0:20]))
         # show the decision function scores for first 20 instances
         y_score_list
Out [16]: [(0, -23.172292973469546),
          (0, -13.542576515500063),
          (0, -21.717588760007867),
          (0, -18.903065133316439),
          (0, -19.733169947138638),
          (0, -9.7463217496747667),
          (1, 5.2327155658831135),
          (0, -19.308012306288916),
          (0, -25.099330209728528),
          (0, -21.824312362996),
          (0, -24.14378275072049),
          (0, -19.578811099762508),
          (0, -22.568371393280199),
          (0, -10.822590225240777),
          (0, -11.907918741521932),
          (0, -10.977026853802803),
          (1, 11.206811164226373),
          (0, -27.64415761980748),
          (0, -12.857692102545409),
          (0, -25.848149140240199)]
In [17]: X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
```

y_proba_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).predict_proba(X_test)
y_proba_list = list(zip(y_test[0:20], y_proba_lr[0:20,1]))

```
Out[17]: [(0, 8.6377579220606777e-11),
          (0, 1.3138118599563783e-06),
          (0, 3.6997386039099529e-10),
          (0, 6.1730972504865465e-09),
          (0, 2.6914925394345074e-09),
          (0, 5.8506057771143608e-05),
          (1, 0.99468934644404694),
          (0, 4.1175302368500096e-09),
          (0, 1.2574750894253029e-11),
          (0, 3.3252290754668869e-10),
          (0, 3.2695529799373086e-11),
          (0, 3.1407283576084884e-09),
          (0, 1.5800864117150149e-10),
          (0, 1.9943442430612578e-05),
          (0, 6.7368003023860014e-06),
          (0, 1.7089540581641637e-05),
          (1, 0.9999864188091131),
          (0, 9.8694940340195476e-13),
          (0, 2.6059983600823893e-06),
          (0, 5.9469113009063784e-12)]
1.1.6 Precision-recall curves
In [18]: from sklearn.metrics import precision_recall_curve
         precision, recall, thresholds = precision_recall_curve(y_test, y_scores_li
         closest_zero = np.argmin(np.abs(thresholds))
         closest_zero_p = precision[closest_zero]
         closest_zero_r = recall[closest_zero]
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.ylim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.plot(precision, recall, label='Precision-Recall Curve')
         plt.plot(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r, 'o', markersize = 12, fillstyle =
         plt.xlabel('Precision', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('Recall', fontsize=16)
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

show the probability of positive class for first 20 instances

y_proba_list

1.1.7 ROC curves, Area-Under-Curve (AUC)

```
In [19]: from sklearn.metrics import roc_curve, auc
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalanced
         y_score_lr = lr.fit(X_train, y_train).decision_function(X_test)
         fpr_lr, tpr_lr, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_score_lr)
         roc_auc_lr = auc(fpr_lr, tpr_lr)
        plt.figure()
        plt.xlim([-0.01, 1.00])
         plt.ylim([-0.01, 1.01])
         plt.plot(fpr_lr, tpr_lr, lw=3, label='LogRegr ROC curve (area = {:0.2f})'.
         plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.title('ROC curve (1-of-10 digits classifier)', fontsize=16)
         plt.legend(loc='lower right', fontsize=13)
         plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='navy', lw=3, linestyle='--')
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
In [20]: from matplotlib import cm
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y_binary_imbalance
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([-0.01, 1.00])
         plt.ylim([-0.01, 1.01])
         for g in [0.01, 0.1, 0.20, 1]:
             svm = SVC(gamma=g).fit(X_train, y_train)
             y_score_svm = svm.decision_function(X_test)
             fpr_svm, tpr_svm, _ = roc_curve(y_test, y_score_svm)
             roc_auc_svm = auc(fpr_svm, tpr_svm)
             accuracy_svm = svm.score(X_test, y_test)
             print("gamma = \{:.2f\} accuracy = \{:.2f\}".format(g, acc
                                                                             roc_au
             plt.plot(fpr_svm, tpr_svm, lw=3, alpha=0.7,
                      label='SVM (gamma = \{:0.2f\}, area = \{:0.2f\})'.format(g, roc_a
         plt.xlabel('False Positive Rate', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('True Positive Rate (Recall)', fontsize=16)
         plt.plot([0, 1], [0, 1], color='k', lw=0.5, linestyle='--')
```

```
plt.legend(loc="lower right", fontsize=11)
    plt.title('ROC curve: (1-of-10 digits classifier)', fontsize=16)
    plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')

    plt.show()

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>

<IPython.core.display.HTML object>

gamma = 0.01 accuracy = 0.91 AUC = 1.00
gamma = 0.10 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.98
gamma = 0.20 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.66
qamma = 1.00 accuracy = 0.90 AUC = 0.50
```

1.1.8 Evaluation measures for multi-class classification

Multi-class confusion matrix

```
In [21]: dataset = load_digits()
         X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target
         X_train_mc, X_test_mc, y_train_mc, y_test_mc = train_test_split(X, y, rand
         svm = SVC(kernel = 'linear').fit(X_train_mc, y_train_mc)
         svm_predicted_mc = svm.predict(X_test_mc)
         confusion_mc = confusion_matrix(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc)
         df_cm = pd.DataFrame(confusion_mc,
                              index = [i for i in range(0,10)], columns = [i for i]
         plt.figure(figsize=(5.5,4))
         sns.heatmap(df_cm, annot=True)
         plt.title('SVM Linear Kernel \nAccuracy:{0:.3f}'.format(accuracy_score(y_t
         plt.ylabel('True label')
         plt.xlabel('Predicted label')
         svm = SVC(kernel = 'rbf').fit(X_train_mc, y_train_mc)
         svm_predicted_mc = svm.predict(X_test_mc)
         confusion_mc = confusion_matrix(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc)
         df_cm = pd.DataFrame(confusion_mc, index = [i for i in range(0,10)],
                           columns = [i for i in range(0,10)])
         plt.figure(figsize = (5.5, 4))
         sns.heatmap(df_cm, annot=True)
```

Multi-class classification report

In [22]: print(classification_report(y_test_mc, svm_predicted_mc))

	precision	recall	f1-score	support	
0	1.00	0.65	0.79	37	
1	1.00	0.23	0.38	43	
2	1.00	0.39	0.56	44	
3	1.00	0.93	0.97	45	
4	0.14	1.00	0.25	38	
5	1.00	0.33	0.50	48	
6	1.00	0.54	0.70	52	
7	1.00	0.35	0.52	48	
8	1.00	0.02	0.04	48	
9	1.00	0.55	0.71	47	
avg / total	0.93	0.49	0.54	450	

Micro- vs. macro-averaged metrics

1.1.9 Regression evaluation metrics

```
In [25]: %matplotlib notebook
         import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
         import numpy as np
         from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
         from sklearn import datasets
         from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
         from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, r2_score
         from sklearn.dummy import DummyRegressor
         diabetes = datasets.load diabetes()
         X = diabetes.data[:, None, 6]
         y = diabetes.target
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)
         lm = LinearRegression().fit(X_train, y_train)
         lm_dummy_mean = DummyRegressor(strategy = 'mean').fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_predict = lm.predict(X_test)
         y_predict_dummy_mean = lm_dummy_mean.predict(X_test)
         print('Linear model, coefficients: ', lm.coef_)
         print ("Mean squared error (dummy): {:.2f}".format (mean_squared_error (y_tes
                                                                               y_pre
         print("Mean squared error (linear model): {:.2f}".format(mean_squared_error
         print("r2_score (dummy): {:.2f}".format(r2_score(y_test, y_predict_dummy_r
         print("r2_score (linear model): {:.2f}".format(r2_score(y_test, y_predict)
         # Plot outputs
         plt.scatter(X_test, y_test, color='black')
         plt.plot(X_test, y_predict, color='green', linewidth=2)
         plt.plot(X_test, y_predict_dummy_mean, color='red', linestyle = 'dashed',
                  linewidth=2, label = 'dummy')
         plt.show()
Linear model, coefficients: [-698.80206267]
```

```
Mean squared error (dummy): 4965.13
Mean squared error (linear model): 4646.74
r2_score (dummy): -0.00
r2_score (linear model): 0.06

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

1.1.10 Model selection using evaluation metrics

Cross-validation example

Grid search example

```
In [27]: from sklearn.svm import SVC
    from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
    from sklearn.metrics import roc_auc_score

dataset = load_digits()
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
    X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)

clf = SVC(kernel='rbf')
    grid_values = {'gamma': [0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 1, 10, 100]}
```

```
# default metric to optimize over grid parameters: accuracy
         grid_clf_acc = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid = grid_values)
         grid_clf_acc.fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_decision_fn_scores_acc = grid_clf_acc.decision_function(X_test)
         print('Grid best parameter (max. accuracy): ', grid_clf_acc.best_params_)
         print('Grid best score (accuracy): ', grid_clf_acc.best_score_)
         # alternative metric to optimize over grid parameters: AUC
         grid_clf_auc = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid = grid_values, scoring = 'roc_
         grid_clf_auc.fit(X_train, y_train)
         y_decision_fn_scores_auc = grid_clf_auc.decision_function(X_test)
         print('Test set AUC: ', roc_auc_score(y_test, y_decision_fn_scores_auc))
         print('Grid best parameter (max. AUC): ', grid_clf_auc.best_params_)
         print('Grid best score (AUC): ', grid_clf_auc.best_score_)
Grid best parameter (max. accuracy): {'gamma': 0.001}
Grid best score (accuracy): 0.996288047513
Test set AUC: 0.999828581224
Grid best parameter (max. AUC): {'gamma': 0.001}
Grid best score (AUC): 0.99987412783
```

Evaluation metrics supported for model selection

1.1.11 Two-feature classification example using the digits dataset

Optimizing a classifier using different evaluation metrics

```
In [29]: from sklearn.datasets import load_digits
    from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
    from adspy_shared_utilities import plot_class_regions_for_classifier_subpl
    from sklearn.svm import SVC
    from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV

dataset = load_digits()
    X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
```

X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)

```
# Create a two-feature input vector matching the example plot above
         # We jitter the points (add a small amount of random noise) in case there
         # in feature space where many instances have the same features.
         jitter_delta = 0.25
         X_{tovar} = X_{train}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{train.shape}[0], 2)
         X_{twovar_test} = X_{test}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{test.shape}[0], 2) -
         clf = SVC(kernel = 'linear').fit(X_twovar_train, y_train)
         grid_values = {'class_weight':['balanced', {1:2}, {1:3}, {1:4}, {1:5}, {1:10},
         plt.figure(figsize=(9,6))
         for i, eval_metric in enumerate(('precision','recall', 'f1','roc_auc')):
             grid_clf_custom = GridSearchCV(clf, param_grid=grid_values, scoring=ev
             grid_clf_custom.fit(X_twovar_train, y_train)
             print('Grid best parameter (max. {0}): {1}'
                   .format(eval_metric, grid_clf_custom.best_params_))
             print('Grid best score ({0}): {1}'
                   .format(eval_metric, grid_clf_custom.best_score_))
             plt.subplots_adjust(wspace=0.3, hspace=0.3)
             plot_class_regions_for_classifier_subplot(grid_clf_custom, X_twovar_te
                                                       None, None, plt.subplot(2, 2
             plt.title(eval_metric+'-oriented SVC')
         plt.tight_layout()
         plt.show()
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
Grid best parameter (max. precision): {'class_weight': {1: 2}}
Grid best score (precision): 0.54595698747338
Grid best parameter (max. recall): {'class_weight': {1: 50}}
Grid best score (recall): 0.9284310837047003
Grid best parameter (max. f1): {'class_weight': {1: 4}}
Grid best score (f1): 0.5089202199818385
Grid best parameter (max. roc_auc): {'class_weight': {1: 10}}
Grid best score (roc_auc): 0.887982061141558
```

Precision-recall curve for the default SVC classifier (with balanced class weights)

```
X, y = dataset.data, dataset.target == 1
         X_train, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, random_state=0)
         # create a two-feature input vector matching the example plot above
         jitter_delta = 0.25
         X_{tov} = X_{train}[:,[20,59]] + np.random.rand(X_{train.shape}[0], 2)
         X_{twovar_test} = X_{test[:,[20,59]]} + np.random.rand(X_{test.shape[0], 2) -
         clf = SVC(kernel='linear', class_weight='balanced').fit(X_twovar_train, y_
         y_scores = clf.decision_function(X_twovar_test)
         precision, recall, thresholds = precision_recall_curve(y_test, y_scores)
         closest_zero = np.argmin(np.abs(thresholds))
         closest_zero_p = precision[closest_zero]
         closest_zero_r = recall[closest_zero]
         plot_class_regions_for_classifier(clf, X_twovar_test, y_test)
         plt.title("SVC, class_weight = 'balanced', optimized for accuracy")
         plt.show()
         plt.figure()
         plt.xlim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.ylim([0.0, 1.01])
         plt.title ("Precision-recall curve: SVC, class_weight = 'balanced'")
         plt.plot(precision, recall, label = 'Precision-Recall Curve')
         plt.plot(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r, 'o', markersize=12, fillstyle='nd
         plt.xlabel('Precision', fontsize=16)
         plt.ylabel('Recall', fontsize=16)
         plt.axes().set_aspect('equal')
         plt.show()
         print('At zero threshold, precision: {:.2f}, recall: {:.2f}'
               .format(closest_zero_p, closest_zero_r))
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
At zero threshold, precision: 0.22, recall: 0.74
```

dataset = load_digits()

In []: