

## Newborns' EEG Seizure Detection Using T-F Distance Measures

Pega Zarjam<sup>1</sup>, Ghasem Azemi<sup>2</sup>, Mostefa Mesbah<sup>1</sup>,  
and Boualem Boashash<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SPR lab, School of Medicine, Queensland University of Technology,  
Brisbane, Australia  
p.zarjam@student.qut.edu.au

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Engineering, Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran

<sup>3</sup>College of Engineering, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, UAE

**Abstract.** In this research, a time-frequency (TF) based method for detecting seizure patterns in newborn's Electroencephalogram (EEG) signals is proposed. In this approach, the discrimination between seizure and non-seizure states is based on the TF distance between the consequent segments in the EEG signal. Three different TF measures and three different reduced time-frequency distributions (TFD) are used in this study. The proposed method is tested on the EEG data acquired from three neonates with ages under two weeks. The empirical results validate the suitability of the proposed method in automated newborns' seizure detection. The performance of the distance measures are then compared for the used TFDs. The results present an average seizure detection rate of 96% and false alarm rate of 5% using Kullback-Leibler measure which outperforms the other two distance measures.

### 1 Introduction

Neurological diseases in newborns are usually first manifested by seizures. Seizure detection in newborns is of vital importance as seizures can result in permanent brain damage or even fatality. The commonly used method to identify seizures is based on the visual analysis of the EEG data. This is a time-consuming task which requires highly trained professionals. In order to reduce the cost associated with it and also optimize the clinical treatment, reliable automated detection techniques are needed.

The detection methods which use the characteristics of the EEG seizures in time or frequency-domain (e.g. [1], [2]) are based on the assumption that the segments of the EEG signals are quasi-stationary. However, recent works, (e.g. [3]), show that the EEG signals exhibit non-stationary behavior (see Figure 1). For analyzing such signals, time-scale and TF methods have proved the most suitable tools [3], [4], [5]. These methods take into account the non-stationary nature of the EEG signals and use the characteristics of seizure in time and frequency-domain simultaneously.




Fig. 1. The Modified B Distribution (MBD) of a segment of the EEG signal. It clearly shows that the EEG signal is non-stationary and multi-component [8].

In recent years, distance measures between statistical models have been successfully applied to detection, classification, coding, compression and pattern recognition problems. Since these measures have been adapted to the TF domain, they can be used for discriminations between signals based on their TF representations in many applications [6], [7]. The seizure detection method which is proposed in this paper is based on the distance between the TFD of the consequent segments in the EEG signal. Three different TF measures, i.e. Kullback-Leibler, Jensen difference, and Rényi divergence, are used in this study. Also, since the EEG signals are generally multi-component (see Figure 1), to reduce the effect of cross-terms in the TFD of the EEG signals, the so called reduced interference distributions (RIDs) are used. Three of those RIDs, namely spectrogram (SPEC), Choi-Williams distribution (CWD), and modified B distribution (MBD) are used in this study [8].

The structure of the paper is as follows: in section 2, the discrete formula for the RIDs and the TF distance measures, which are used here, are presented. In section 3, the proposed method for seizure detection based on the TF distance measures is given. The experimental results obtained by applying the proposed method to the EEG of three newborns are given in section 4. Finally, the paper is concluded in section 5.

## 2 Time-Frequency Distance Measures

The general formula for a quadratic TFD of a given analytic signal  $z(t)$  associated

Time-Frequency Representation	$G(n, m)$
Spectrogram (SPEC) using a rectangular window of odd length $P$	$\frac{1}{P},  m + n  \leq \frac{P-1}{2}$ 0 otherwise
Choi-Williams (CW) (with parameter $\sigma$ )	$\frac{\sqrt{\sigma/\pi}}{2m} e^{-\sigma n^2/4m^2}$
Modified B distribution (MBD) (with parameter $\beta$ )	$k \cosh^{-2\beta}(n)$

TABLE I  
DISCRETE-TIME KERNEL FUNCTION  $G(n, m)$  OF THE RIDs [9].

with the real signal  $x(t)$ <sup>1</sup> is given by [8, p. 48]

$$\rho_z(t, f) = \mathcal{F}_{\tau \rightarrow f} \{ G(t, \tau) *_{\tau} \left( z(u + \frac{\tau}{2}) z^*(u - \frac{\tau}{2}) \right) \} \quad (1)$$

where  $G(t, \tau)$  is the kernel of the TFD in time-lag domain and  $\mathcal{F}\{ \}$ , and  $*_{\tau}$  stands for the Fourier transform and convolution in time, respectively. If  $\rho_z(t, f)$  in (1) is discretized over time and frequency, we obtain [9]

$$\rho_z(n, k) = 2 \text{DFT}_{m \rightarrow k} \{ G(n, m) *_{m} (z(n + m) z^*(n - m)) \}. \quad (2)$$

Since neonatal EEG signals are multi-component, TFDs which reduce the effects of cross-terms while giving a good resolution are needed. These TFDs are known in the literature as RIDs. Three well-known RIDs which are used in this paper are: the SPEC, CWD and MBD. Table I lists  $G(n, m)$  for those RIDs. The parameters  $\sigma$  and  $\beta$  in the CWD and MBD, respectively, control the reduction of the cross-terms versus the resolution of the TFD.

In [6], three different distance measures, namely Kullback-Leibler, Jensen difference, and Rényi divergence have been adapted to the TFDs. The Kullback-Leibler measure is defined as

$$D_{KL}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = K(\rho_1, \rho_2) + K(\rho_2, \rho_1) \quad (3)$$

where  $\rho_i(n, k)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  is the TFD of the signal  $z_i(n)$  and

$$K(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \sum_n \sum_k \rho_1(n, k) \log_2 \frac{\rho_1(n, k)}{\rho_2(n, k)}. \quad (4)$$

<sup>1</sup>The analytic signal  $z(t)$  associated with the real signal  $s(t)$ , is defined as

$$z(t) = s(t) + jH\{s(t)\}$$

where  $H\{ \}$  represents the Hilbert transform.

The second divergence measure is based on the Jensen difference and is given by

$$D_{J_\alpha}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = H_\alpha\left(\frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2}{2}\right) - \frac{H_\alpha(\rho_1) + H_\alpha(\rho_2)}{2} \quad (5)$$

where

$$H_\alpha(\rho_i) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \sum_n \sum_k \rho_i^\alpha(n, k), \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (6)$$

is the  $\alpha^{th}$  order Rényi entropy, with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq 1$ .

Another measure has been derived based on the Rényi's generalized entropy and is given by

$$D_{R_\alpha}(\rho_1, \rho_2) = R(\rho_1, \rho_2) + R(\rho_2, \rho_1) \quad (7)$$

where

$$R(\rho_1, \rho_2) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log_2 \sum_n \sum_k \rho_1^\alpha(n, k) \rho_2^{1-\alpha}(n, k). \quad (8)$$

The seizure detection algorithm which is proposed in the next section is based on the above TF distance measures.

### 3 Proposed Method

The proposed seizure detection method can be summarized as follows:

1. **Segmentation :** The EEG signal is first segmented using a rectangular window of length 6 seconds. The sequences  $s_i(n)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$  are obtained where  $N = 6 * f_s$  with  $f_s$  being the sampling frequency of the EEG data.
2. **Normalization :** In order to have the TFD behaves like a probability density function, one need to normalize it properly. Therefore, each EEG segment  $s_i(n)$  is normalized such that the energy of the segment is equal to 1. This ensures that the TFD is normalized, i.e:

$$\sum_n \sum_k \rho_i(n, k) = 1$$

3. **Time-Frequency distance measurement :** Using the RIDs explained in the previous section, the TF representation of each normalized segment,  $\rho_i(n, k)$ , is calculated. Then, the TF distance between  $\rho_i(n, k)$  and the TF of the EEG background (non-seizure)  $\rho_B(n, k)$  is measured using the divergence measured presented in section 2.

4. **Thresholding :** The measured distance is then compared with a threshold. If the distance between  $\rho_i(n, k)$  and  $\rho_B(n, k)$  is more than the threshold, the segment  $i$  of the EEG data is labeled as a seizure event, otherwise it is a non-seizure.

## 4 Experimental Results

### 4.1 Data Acquisition

The neonatal EEG signals used in this study were recorded under clinical conditions, by means of the MEDELC system, at the Royal Children's Hospital in Brisbane, Australia. Each recording contains 20 EEG channels, according to the modified international 10 – 20 system. The data were recorded in digital form, at a  $f_s = 256$  Hz sampling rate and a sensitivity of  $100 \mu\text{V}$ . The EEG signals were passed through a band-pass filter with cut-off frequencies of  $0.5 - 70$  Hz. An expert opinion about the presence of seizure activities in different channels of the recorded EEG signals of three neonates, with ages ranging from two days to two weeks, was obtained. The labeled seizure activities and EEG backgrounds were used to create a test database.

### 4.2 Results and Discussion

The seizure detection algorithm explained in the previous section was applied to the test database. In this experiment, the SPEC, CWD, and MBD were used. The control parameters of the CWD and MBD were chosen to be  $\sigma = 10$  and  $\beta = 0.01$ , respectively. The reference TFD,  $\rho_B(n, k)$ , and the threshold was obtained using the first ten segments of the EEG recordings of each of the three neonates which represent the EEG background. The TF distance between  $\rho_B(n, k)$  and  $\rho_i(n, k)$  was measured using (3)-(8). For illustration, the result of applying the three distance measures to the MBD of the EEG signal of one of the neonates is shown in Figure 2. Seizure activities are clearly distinguishable from non-seizures (the background) by their higher distance.

Two criteria namely seizure detection rate (SDR) and false alarm rate (FAR) were used to evaluate the performance of the proposed method. The SDR shows the ability of the detection method to successfully classify seizure activities as seizures, and FAR gives the rate of non-seizure segments which is falsely labeled as seizure activities.

The overall results of applying the three distance measures to the TFDs are summarized in Tables II-IV. It has been shown that the Jensen measure performs best when  $\alpha$  is close to 1 and the Rényi measure does not show a significant change to  $\alpha$  [6]. Therefore, in our experiments, the parameter  $\alpha$  in (5) and (7) was chosen to be equal to 0.9.

From the given results we observe that the detection method based on the Kullback-Leibler measure outperforms the other methods, followed by the Jensen measure. The Rényi measure shows a poor performance, compared to the others. However, the algorithm can still detect the seizure activities with a low FAR.




Fig. 2. The divergence measures have been applied to the MBD of the baby's EEG signal and compared to the labeled signal. From top to bottom: the labeled EEG signal, the normalized Kullback-Leibler, Jensen, and Rényi distance between  $\rho_i(n, k)$  and  $\rho_B(n, k)$ .

Kullback-Leibler measure						
TFD	SPEC		CWD ( $\sigma = 10$ )		MBD ( $\beta = 0.01$ )	
	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR
Baby1	93.67	3.33	97.5	1.7	100	1.66
Baby2	94.91	0	98.3	0	98.30	0
Baby3	92.92	12	90.90	12	91.91	12
<b>Average %</b>	93.83	5.11	95.56	4.56	96.73	4.55

TABLE II

The results of applying the Kullback-Leibler measure to different reduced interference TFDs of the segments of the EEG signals in the test database.

Jenson measure( $\alpha = 0.9$ )						
TFD	SPEC		CWD ( $\sigma = 10$ )		MBD ( $\beta = 0.01$ )	
	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR
Baby1	97.46	1.66	100	0	100	0
Baby2	89.83	9.52	98.3	11.90	98.3	16.66
Baby3	89.89	0	90.9	8	91.91	8
<b>Average %</b>	92.39	3.7	96.4	6.63	96.73	8.22

TABLE III

The results of applying the Jenson measure to different reduced interference TFDs of the segments of the EEG signals in the test database.

Rényi measure( $\alpha = 0.9$ )						
TFD	SPEC		CWD ( $\sigma = 10$ )		MBD ( $\beta = 0.01$ )	
	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR	SDR	FAR
Baby1	87.21	0	96.2	0	94.93	0
Baby2	88.13	0	88.13	0	88.13	0
Baby3	90.9	0	87.87	8	87.87	8
<b>Average %</b>	88.74	0	90.73	2.66	90.31	2.66

TABLE IV

The results of applying Rényi measure to different reduced interference TFDs of the segments of the EEG signals in the test database.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed the use of the TF distance measures in conjunction with the reduced interference TFDs, to detect seizure activities in newborns' EEGs. The proposed method was applied to the EEG of three newborns. The obtained results indicated that the distance measures were very suitable to detect seizures against non-seizures in the EEGs. They also showed that the Kullback-Leibler measure in conjunction with the MBD has superior performance and obtains maximum SDR of 96% and minimum FAR of 5%.

## 6 Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Prof. P. Coldtitz, Dr. Chris Burge and Ms. J. Richmond of the Royal Childrens Hospital, Brisbane, Australia in obtaining and interpreting the EEG data used in the project. This work was supported by an Australian Research Council large grant, ARC 2000-0049.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Liu, J. S. Hahn, G. P. Heldt, and R. W. Coen, "Detection of neonatal seizures through computerised EEG analysis," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurology*, pp. 30–37, 1992.
- [2] J. Gotman, D. Flanagan, J. Zhang, and B. Rosenblatt, "Automatic seizure detection in the newborn: methods and initial evaluation," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurology*, vol. 103, pp. 356–362, 1997.
- [3] B. Boashash, M. Mesbah, and P. Coldtitz, *Time-Frequency detection of EEG abnormalities*, chapter 15, Article 15.5 in [8], pp. 663–669, Elsevier, 2003.
- [4] S. Nagasubramanian, B. Onaral, and R. Clancy, "On-line neonatal seizure detection based on multi-scale analysis of EEG using wavelet as a tool," *In the Proc. of the 19th EMBS Conference*, vol. 3, pp. 1289–1292, Nov. 1997.
- [5] P. Zarjam, M. Mesbah, and B. Boashash, "Detection of newborns EEG seizure using optimal features based on discrete wavelet transform," in *the Proc. of the ICASSP'03*, 2003, vol. II, pp. 265–268.
- [6] S. Aviyente, "Divergence measures for time-frequency distributions," in *the Proc. of the 7th International Symposium on Signal Processing and its Applications (ISSPA'03)*, 2003, vol. 1, pp. 121–124.
- [7] O. Michel, R.G. Baraniuk, and P. Flandrin, "Time-frequency based distance and divergence measures," in *the Proc. of the Time-Frequency and Time-Scale Analysis, the IEEE-SP International Symposium*, Oct. 1994, pp. 64–67.
- [8] B. Boashash, Ed., *Time-Frequency signal analysis and processing: A comprehensive reference*, Elsevier, 2003.
- [9] B. Boashash and G. R. Putland, *Discrete time-frequency distributions*, chapter 6, Article 6.1 in [8], pp. 231–241, Elsevier, 2003.

## Biographies

**Pega Zarjam** received her B.S. in Electrical Engineering from Razi University, Iran, in 1998 and the M.S. in Signal Processing from Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia, in 2004. Her research interests are biomedical signal processing, time-scale/time-frequency signal processing, and data/wireless communications. She is a member of IE Australia.

**Ghasem Azemi** obtained his B.S. in Electronics from Shiraz University, Iran in 1990, the M.S. in Telecommunication from Isfahan University of Technology, Iran, in 1993, and Ph.D. in signal processing from Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia, in 2004. In 2005, he joined the department of electrical engineering at Razi University, Kermanshah, Iran, where he is currently working as an assistant professor. His research interests are wireless communications and



time-frequency signal processing.

**Mostefa Mesbah** received his B.S degree in Electronics from the University of Constantine, Algeria in 1984 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in Electrical Engineering from the University of Colorado, USA, in 1987 and 1992, respectively. He is currently a senior research assistant at the signal processing research lab (SPR), Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia. His research interests are biomedical signal processing, time-frequency signal processing, signal detection and classification, 3-D reconstruction from image sequences, and intelligent control systems.

**Boualem Boashash** obtained a Diplome d'ingenieur-Physique-Electronique from ICIP University of Lyon, France, in 1978, the M.S. and Doctorate degrees from the Institut National Polytechnique de Grenoble, France, in 1979 and 1982, respectively. In 1984, he joined the Electrical Engineering department of the University of Queensland, Australia, as lecturer. In 1990, he joined Bond University, Graduate School of Science and Technology, as Professor of Electronics. In 1991, he joined Queensland University of Technology as the foundation Professor of Signal Processing and director of the SPR lab. His research interests are time-frequency signal analysis, spectral estimation, signal detection and classification, and higher-order spectra. Prof. Boashash is fellow of IE Australia, fellow of IREE, and fellow of IEEE.