

NOTE: This is our final design document. It includes the grammar, tokens for lexing, our initial design notes, and our final design notes. The final design notes are more detailed and explain any changes that we made.

Grammar

abc-tune ::= abc-header abc-music

abc-header ::= field-number comment* field-title other-fields* field-key

field-number ::= "X:" DIGIT+ end-of-line

field-title ::= "T:" text end-of-line

other-fields ::= field-composer | field-default-length | field-meter
| field-tempo | field-voice | comment

field-composer ::= "C:" text end-of-line

field-default-length ::= "L:" note-length-strict end-of-line

field-meter ::= "M:" meter end-of-line

field-tempo ::= "Q:" tempo end-of-line

field-voice ::= ["V:" text end-of-line]+

field-key ::= "K:" key end-of-line

key ::= keynote ["m"]

keynote ::= basenote [key-accidental]

key-accidental ::= "#" | "b"

note-length-strict ::= DIGIT+ "/" DIGIT+

meter ::= "C" | "C|" | meter-fraction

meter-fraction ::= DIGIT+ "/" DIGIT+

tempo ::= DIGIT+

.....

abc-music ::= abc-line+

abc-line ::= (element+ linefeed) | field-voice | comment

playElement ::= note | chord | tuplet | rest

element ::= playElement | barline | nth-repeat

note ::= pitch [note-length]

pitch ::= [accidental] basenote [octave]

octave ::= ("+" | (","+)

note-length ::= [DIGIT+] ["/" [DIGIT+]]

accidental ::= "^" | "^^" | "_" | "___" | "="

basenote ::= "C" | "D" | "E" | "F" | "G" | "A" | "B"
 | "c" | "d" | "e" | "f" | "g" | "a" | "b"

rest ::= "z" [note-length]

// triplets

triplet ::= "(" DIGIT [note+]

// chords

chord ::= "[" note+ "]"

barline ::= "|" | "||" | "[|" | "|]" | "[:|" | "|:]"

nth-repeat ::= "[1" | "[2"

comment ::= "%" text linefeed

end-of-line ::= comment | linefeed

Tokens (Groupings of terminals) used for Lexing

Header Tokens

- Title
- Composer's name
- Meter
- Tempo
- Voice
- Key
- Index Number

Body Tokens

- Note
- Rest
- Chords
- Triplets
- Repeats
- Barlines
- Change of voice token
- End of line

Initial Design Notes

We would have a lexer that converts all of our inputs to appropriate tokens, as listed above. We will use a specific token class to encapsulate such a Token object. It will have two fields a type and a value. The type will be an enum containing all the types of token seen above. Although we make the distinction between header tokens and body tokens we will not make two enums. Rather, the parser will have to determine when header tokens have finished and body tokens are beginning. The value will simply be a String representing the contents of the token. Although we could break down the values into pieces, we don't believe this is good design. The lexer should not have to handle the structure of the language at all. It should simply identify text. Therefore, the parser will be left to separate the String value into any necessary parts. Finally, it is important to note that the lexer will not construct all the tokens at once. Rather, it will convert one token at a time. This way, the parser can parse dynamically without all the lexing having to be done.

The next piece of the design is the parser. The parser will read the tokens one by one and put them together into an abstract syntax tree. Here are some details about the class structure and recursive datatypes we would use to accomplish this.

First of all, we would have a "Piece" class that has instance variables for all the header fields that the parser takes in. This would include the key, meter, tempo, etc. Next, we would have a voice class. This represents all the playable objects, rests, and everything within one voice.

Next, we would have a Playable interface that represents all musical objects that can be "played" (we include a rest here to make the design as clean and simple as possible). The classes Note, Rest, and Tuplet will all implement this interface. the Note class will encapsulate all the details relevant to a note - the pitch, the length, the accidentals, etc. The Rest class will have one field for the length. And finally, the Tuplet class will have a Set of notes and a type indicating what type of tuplet it is. Based on the specification in the 6.005 subset for abc, the number of notes in the tuplet should uniquely determine the way it is played. However, having a type (triplet, dublet, or quadruplet) will make things a little cleaner (Note: There is some ambiguity about how to handle tuplets. If needed, we will talk to the staff and change our design).

Now, here is how we will handle repeats. Essentially, this will be done by the parser. The parser will keep track of the most recent repeat signs it has seen and essentially unravel the repeats.

So in conclusion, here's what we'll have:

- Interface called Playable. We have classes Note, Rest, Chord and Tuplet that implement this interface.
- Overall Piece class, which has instance fields that include all data from headers.
- Each Piece class has Voice object(s). Voice object keeps track of time in each voice, notes to be played by that voice, etc. More specifically, the Voice class will probably just maintain a List of Playable objects.

- Each Piece object has a play method that returns a SequencePlayer that can be played.
 - NOTE: This will not involve multithreading at all. Notes that are played at the same time will just have the same tick values in the SequencePlayer.

Updated Design Notes

Our final design is pretty similar to the initial design. At the bottom level we have a Playable interface with two main methods - play() and getLength(). All basic elements of music are subtypes of this interface and had to implement its methods. More specifically, we have four classes Note, Tuplet, Chord, and Rest that implement Playable.

Here is some detail on the play() and getLength() methods. The play method involves building a list of SequencePlayerNote objects. A SequencePlayerNote is simply an intermediate data structure that stores data necessary when building the final SequencePlayer. It contains information such as the Pitch, numTicks, and startTicks. The getLength() method returns the maximum length for which the playable object will be run.

At the next level of our design, we have Voice class. A Voice class simply contains a List of playable objects. It encapsulates all data relevant to that voice. We have a play() method in the Voice class that calls the play() methods of all the elements and returns a List of SequencePlayerNotes.

Next, we have a Piece class. As expected, this has a List of Voice objects. It also has an instance of a Header class. This class encapsulates all data relevant to the Header and ensures that the required information is present.

When we play a Piece, the SequencePlayerNotes from each Voice are extracted. This, of course, is done with the Interpreter Design Pattern. Then, the Piece creates a SequencePlayer and appropriately schedules all the elements from all the different Voices. It then returns the Sequence Player to be played.

So, this is how all of this fits in with the Lexer and the Parser. As described in the initial design, the Lexer uses regular expressions to create tokens of various types. See above or look at the code for more detail on the different types of token (More specifically see the TokenType enum and the Token class).

The parser, on the other hand, is more complicated. First of all, it initializes a big HashMap containing data for all the Key Signatures. This HashMap maps Key enum types to sub HashMaps containing mappings from Note letters to Accidentals. This will be extremely useful during parsing.

Next, the Parser parses all the Header tokens and creates a Header object. After this, we move on to the parsing of the actual piece. We have various helper methods to parse various different pieces and return objects of the appropriate type. For instance, we have parseNote(), parseTuplet(), etc. To make sure we adhere to the Key signature, we check whether any note

is in the HashMap for the the Key (see above for more description on this) . If it is, we use the corresponding sharp/flat/etc. Now, to handle accidentals, we put any new sharps/flat/etc. we see into a separate HashMap. This HashMap utilizes a class we wrote called SimpleNote. This simply stores the letter and length of any Note. When we reach a barline, this new HashMap is cleared out. Thus, accidentals don't persist for more than one bar.

To handle Voices, we also make use of HashMaps. We have a global HashMap mappings voice names to List of Playable objects. When we parse objects, we add them to the List of the appropriate voice.

Now, finally, here is what happens when the Parser has gone through all the tokens. It takes each of the Lists for the voices and makes voice objects out of them. Then, it creates a Piece object and returns it.

Some notes on how we Exception Throwing:

Our philosophy was to play as much as is correct in the Piece. We believe that we should play whatever we can and leave it to the user to understand why his/her output is unexpected. Our lexer works in a way such that it skips over expressions that do not match the regex of our grammar. So it will try its best to continue playing despite inputs that do not follow the specifications. For example this is what our lexer does for the following illegal arguments:

```
(3AB|C → ABC
^^^A → ^^A
A1//3 → A1/
F_ → F
H → (skips over)
```

So basically, our abcplayer tries to push through the file and plays as much as possible. It will, however, throw exceptions for more important cases such as those mentioned specifically by the specs:

- Index number is not first
- Title is not second
- Key is not last
- Voice ambiguity
- Unrecognized notes