## **Assumptions:**

1. The MNIST dataset is taken in the form of a csv format

## Approach:

- 1. I start by loading the dataset in csv format using the pandas library
- 2. I then visualize the dataset by showing 5 samples of each digit 0 to 9.
- 3. I then generate the mean vector for all the labels using numpy.mean() method.
- 4. I compute the covariance matrix by using the formula  $\frac{1}{N-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{N} (X_i \overline{X})(X_i \overline{X})^T$ .
- 5. I apply regularization to overcome the issue of covariance matrix being singular i.e, if  $\Sigma$  be the covariance matrix then I do  $\Sigma \leftarrow \Sigma + \lambda I$  where I is the identity matrix and  $\lambda$  is the regularization factor which can be a very small value such as  $10^{-6}$ .
- 6. We use N 1 and not N because we want to get an unbiased estimate of the covariance.
- 7. I create my own accuracy function which computes the number of matching terms in the predicted labels array and the actual test labels divided by the total number of test labels.
- 8. For LDA from scratch:
  - a. I generate the weighted covariance matrix  $\Sigma = \frac{n_1 \Sigma_1 + n_2 \Sigma_2 + ... + n_d \Sigma_d}{n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_d}$  and use it in the linear discriminant analysis formula.
  - b. I use the LDA formula taught in class to find the discriminants  $\boldsymbol{g}_i(\boldsymbol{x})$  for all the labels
  - c. I then assign  $arg\ max\ (i)\ g_{_{i}}(x)$  to be the classification of the x
- 9. For QDA from scratch:
  - a. I use the covariance matrices which I computed in step 4 and use the formula given in the classroom to compute the discriminant  $g_i(x)$  for each label.
  - b. I then assign  $arg\ max\ (i)\ g_i(x)$  to be the classification of the x
- 10. For LDA and QDA sklearn: I fit the model with the training i.e, mnist\_train.csv dataset and then compute the predicted values on the mnist\_test.csv dataset. I compare the predicted values with the actual values and compute the accuracy of sklearn LDA and QDA

## Results:

Scratch LDA Accuracy = 0.86

Scratch QDA Accuracy = 0.5290

sk-learn LDA Accuracy = 0.873

/home/deepam/.local/lib/python3.10/site-packages/sklearn/discriminant analysis.py:926:

UserWarning: Variables are collinear

warnings.warn("Variables are collinear")

sk-learn QDA Accuracy = 0.5384

We see that the results from the scratch's and the sklearn's LDA and QDA are similar with only a few precision errors. By doing multiple runs we can see that the scratch and sklearn implementation both have similar accuracies.

## References:

1. <a href="https://www.kaggle.com/learn/pandas">https://www.kaggle.com/learn/pandas</a>