

Hypothesis Tests and Confidence Intervals using Normal Distribution!

Stat 120

May 02 2023

How do Malaria parasites impact mosquito behavior?



- This experiment looks at the behavior of mosquito when exposed to malaria infected mice and healthy mice.
- The parasites go through two stages: not yet infectious (Days 1-8) and infectious (Days 9-28).

Malaria Parasites and Mosquitoes

- *The response variable is whether the mosquito approached a human in a cage with them.*
- *The experiment looks to see if this behavior differs by exposed vs control, and if it differs by infection stage.*

Cator LJ, George J, Blanford S, Murdock CC, Baker TC, Read AF, Thomas MB. (2013). 'Manipulation' without the parasite: altered feeding behaviour of mosquitoes is not dependent on infection with malaria parasites. Proc R Soc B 280: 20122711

Malaria Parasites and Mosquitoes

Malaria parasites would benefit if:

- *Mosquitoes approached humans less often after being exposed, but before becoming infectious, because humans are risky*
- *Mosquitoes approached humans more often after becoming infectious, to pass on the infection*

Days 1-8

We'll first look at the mosquitoes before they become infectious (days 1-8).

p_C : **proportion of controls to approach human**

p_E : **proportion of exposed to approach human**


What are the relevant hypotheses?

- A. $H_0 : p_E = p_C, H_a : p_E < p_C$
- B. $H_0 : p_E = p_C, H_a : p_E > p_C$
- C. $H_0 : p_E < p_C, H_a : p_E = p_C$
- D. $H_0 : p_E > p_C, H_a : p_E = p_C$

► Click for answer

Stage = oocyst


$$\hat{p}_E - \hat{p}_C = 20/113 - 36/117 = 0.177 - 0.308 = -0.131$$




Randomization Dotplot of

$\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$ ▾


Null Hypothesis: $p_1 = p_2$



Randomization Dotplot of $\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2$ Null Hypothesis: $p_1 = p_2$




Randomization Dotplot of $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$, Null hypothesis: $\mu_1 = \mu_2$




What do you notice?

Bootstrap Dotplot of Mean



Randomization Dotplot of $\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2$, Null hypothesis: $\mu_1 = \mu_2$




Central Limit Theorem

*For random samples with a sufficiently large sample size, the distribution of sample statistics for a **mean** or a **proportion** is normally distributed*

The catch: "sufficiently large sample size"

- The **more skewed** the original distribution of data/population is, the larger n has to be for the CLT to work
- For quantitative variables that are not very skewed, $n \geq 30$ is usually sufficient
- For categorical variables, counts of **at least 10** within each category is usually sufficient


Which normal distribution should we use to approximate this?



- A. $N(0, -0.131)$
- B. $N(0, 0.056)$
- C. $N(-0.131, 0.056)$
- D. $N(0.056, 0)$


► Click for answer

Normal Distribution



We can compare the original statistic to this Normal distribution to find the p-value!

Statkey: p-value from $N(\text{null}, \text{SE})$



Connecting Normal model to hypothesis tests

Suppose: randomization distribution is bell shaped.

- *Center: hypothesized null parameter value*
- *Spread: the standard error given in the randomization graph (or by formula)*
- *P-value: computed from the normal model the "usual" way - the chance of being as extreme, or more extreme, than the observed statistic.*

Standardized Statistic

The standardized test statistic (also known as a z-statistic) is

$$z = \frac{\text{statistic} - \text{null}}{SE}$$

Calculating the number of standard errors a statistic is from the null lets us assess extremity on a common scale.

Malaria and Mosquitos

Does infecting mosquitoes with Malaria actually impact the mosquitoes' behavior to favor the parasite?

- *After the parasite becomes infectious, do infected mosquitoes approach humans more often, so as to pass on the infection?*

Days 9 – 28

For the data after the mosquitoes become infectious (Days (9-28)), what are the relevant hypotheses?



p_C :proportion of controls to approach human

p_E :proportion of exposed to approach human

- A. $H_0 : p_E = p_C, H_a : p_E < p_C$
- B. $H_0 : p_E = p_C, H_a : p_E > p_C$
- C. $H_0 : p_E < p_C, H_a : p_E = p_C$
- D. $H_0 : p_E > p_C, H_a : p_E = p_C$

► Click for answer


Before and after



Is the difference significant?

The difference in proportions is 0.151 and the standard error is 0.05. Is this significant?

- A. Yes
- B. No



Malaria and Mosquitoes

It appears that mosquitoes infected by malaria parasites do, in fact, behave in ways advantageous to the parasites!

- *Exposed mosquitos are less likely to approach before becoming infectious (so more likely to stay alive)*
- *Exposed mosquitos are more likely to approach humans after becoming infectious (so more likely to pass on disease)*

Formula for p-values Using $N(0,1)$

$$z = \frac{\text{sample statistic} - \text{null value}}{\text{SE}_{\text{From randomization distribution}}}$$

From original data From H_0

Connecting Normal model to Confidence Intervals

Confidence Intervals

- *Suppose: bootstrap distribution is bell-shaped.*
- *Center: sample statistic*
- *Spread: the standard error given in the bootstrap graph (or by formula)*

Connecting Normal model to Confidence Intervals


To get a 95% confidence interval we compute:

$$\text{statistic} \pm 2(SE)$$


Why 2 SE's?

- 95% of all sample means fall within 2 SE's of the population mean
- The value 2 is a z-score!
- Well, actually the precise z-score under a normal model is $z = 1.96$ instead of 2 !

$N(0,1)$ model




What if we wanted a 90% CI? What z-score should we use to get the margin of error?



```
qnorm(0.95)  
[1] 1.644854
```

90% Confidence: $z^* = 1.645$



```
qnorm(0.995)  
[1] 2.575829
```

99% Confidence: $z^* = 2.576$

Confidence Interval using $N(0,1)$

If a statistic is normally distributed, we find a confidence interval for the parameter using

$$\text{statistic} \pm z^* SE$$

where the area between $-z^*$ and $+z^*$ in the standard normal distribution is the desired level of confidence.

Global Warming

What percentage of Americans believe in global warming?

A survey on 2,251 randomly selected individuals conducted in October 2010 found that 1328 answered "Yes" to the question


"Is there solid evidence of global warming?"

Give and interpret a 95%CI for the proportion of Americans who believe there is solid evidence of global warming.

Bootstrap For One Categorical Variable [\[Return to StatKey Index\]](#)

[Custom Data](#)[Edit Data](#)[Generate 1 Samples](#)[Generate 10 Samples](#)[Generate 100 Samples](#)[Generate 1000 Samples](#)[Reset Plot](#)**Bootstrap Dotplot of**

Proportion ▾

**Original Sample**

Count	n	Proportion
1328	2251	0.590

Bootstrap Sample

Count	n	Proportion
1304	2251	0.579

We are 95% confident that the true percentage of all Americans that believe there is solid evidence of global warming is between 57.0% and 61.0%

Global Warming

What is a 90% confidence interval for the proportion of US adults who believe in global warming?


$$0.59 \pm 1.645(0.01) = (0.574, 0.606)$$

What is a 99% confidence interval?


$$0.59 \pm 2.576(0.01) = (0.564, 0.616)$$

Remember, more confidence = wider interval. So how do these compare to the bootstrap CI?

Global Warming: 90% CI




Global Warming: 99% CI



YOUR TURN1

05:00



Let's go over to the class activity .Rmd file and complete the tasks for today.