MA 691: Statistical Simulation and Data Analysis Results

Vibhanshu

Data Analysis: "Statistical Inference for a New Class of Multivariate Pareto Distributions" by Alexandru et al.

1 Using the Euclidean norm as the stopping criteria (on $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$) for EM Algorithm

S.No	Parameters	No. of iterations
N = 450	$\alpha_0 = 1.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; ,$	859.56
	$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 1.0$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$,	1669.04
	$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 1.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.0$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$,	558.12
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 0.4$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$,	1824.2
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
N = 350	$\alpha_0 = 1.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; ,$	932.04
	$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 1.0$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$,	1644.08
	$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 1.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.0$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$,	634.34
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 0.4$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$,	1843.26
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
N = 250	$\alpha_0 = 1.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; ,$	949.46
	$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.2$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 1.0$, $\mu_2 = 2.0$,	2216.88
	$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	

	$\alpha_0=1.0$, $\alpha_1=1.0$, $\alpha_2=1.4$, $\mu_1=0.0$, $\mu_2=0.0$,	861.76
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$	1897.72
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0$, $\alpha_1 = 0.4$, $\alpha_2 = 0.5$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$, $\sigma_1 = 1.4$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$	1091.12
N = 150	$\alpha_0 = 1.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; ,$	1061.46
	$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0 , \alpha_1 = 1.2 , \alpha_2 = 1.4 , \mu_1 = 1.0 , \mu_2 = 2.0 ,$	41350.88
	$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 1.0 , \alpha_1 = 1.0 , \alpha_2 = 1.4 , \mu_1 = 0.0 , \mu_2 = 0.0 ,$	718.58
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\alpha_0 = 2.0 , \alpha_1 = 0.4 , \alpha_2 = 0.5 , \mu_1 = 0.0 , \mu_2 = 0.0 ,$	1966.34
	$\sigma_1 = 1.4 \; , \sigma_2 = 0.5$	

NOTE: For N=50 the EM Algorithm was not converging

1.1 Parameters: $\alpha_0 = 1.0$, $\alpha_1 = 0.3$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$, $\sigma_1 = 1.0$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$

1.1.1 N = 450

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
AE	0.91200	0.38099	1.43253	0.00122	0.00047	0.98716	0.47251
MSE	0.01923	0.02455	0.09275	0.00000	0.00000	0.02906	0.01018

1.1.2 N = 350

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
							0.54788
MSE	0.01358	0.02397	0.29346	0.00001	0.00000	0.03705	0.02848

1.1.3 N = 250

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
AE	0.96341	0.37196	1.52900	0.00364	0.00098	1.02310	0.52744
MSE	0.02642	0.02182	0.20270	0.00003	0.00000	0.05023	0.02040

1.1.4 N = 150

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
							0.68065
MSE	0.06218	0.09441	1.89394	0.00004	0.00001	0.12573	0.19376

1.1.5 N = 50

The EM algorithm is not converging for N=50 $\,$

2 Using stopping criteria $(Q(X; \theta^{(k+1)}) - Q(X; \theta^{(k)}))/Q(X; \theta^{(k)})$

In this analysis, the expected log-likelihood is taken to be the stopping criteria for all the iterations of the Expectation-Maximation (EM) Algorithm :

$$Q(\alpha, \alpha_0; x_1, ..., x_m, \alpha^{(k)}, \alpha_0^{(k)})$$

$$\propto m * ln(\alpha_0 \alpha_1 \alpha_2) - \alpha_0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^m ln(1 + z_{(2)i}^{(k)}) + \frac{\alpha_2^{(k)} w_2^{(k)}}{\alpha_0^{(k)} \alpha_{02}^{(k)}} + \frac{\alpha_1^{(k)} w_1^{(k)}}{\alpha_0^{(k)} \alpha_{01}^{(k)}} \right)$$

$$- \sum_{j=1}^2 \alpha_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^m ln(1 + z_{j,i}^{(k)} + \frac{\alpha_0^{(k)} w_j^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)} \alpha_{0j}^{(k)}} + \frac{w_0^{(k)}}{\alpha_j^{(k)}} \right)$$

The results of all the trials are tabulated below:

	No. of iterations
$\alpha_0 = 1.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; ,$	877.3
$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	979.48
	410.54
	410.54
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	843.78
	019.10
- , -	935.14
$\mu_1 = 0.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 0.0 \; , \; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
$\alpha_0 = 2.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; , \; \mu_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 2.0 \; ,$	1024.3
$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \; \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	430.9
	771.6
, - , - , - , - , -	771.0
	916.56
, - , -	010.00
$\alpha_0 = 2.0 \; , \; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \; , \; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \; , \; \mu_1 = 1.0 \; , \; \mu_2 = 2.0 \; ,$	1598.34
$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \; , \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
$\alpha_0 = 1.0$, $\alpha_1 = 1.0$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$,	419.84
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	000.64
	920.64
	1669 66
, - , -	1668.66
, - , - , -	4036.78
$\sigma_1 = 0.4 \;, \sigma_2 = 0.5$	
	$\begin{array}{l} \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 2.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 1.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \hline \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \\ \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 2.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 1.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \hline \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \\ \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \sigma_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 2.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 0.5 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.4 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \;,\; \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 1.2 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 1.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 2.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \alpha_1 = 0.3 \;,\; \alpha_2 = 1.4 \;,\; \mu_1 = 0.0 \;,\; \mu_2 = 0.0 \;,\; \\ \sigma$

```
 \begin{vmatrix} \alpha_0 = 1.0 \ , \ \alpha_1 = 1.0 \ , \ \alpha_2 = 1.4 \ , \ \mu_1 = 0.0 \ , \ \mu_2 = 0.0 \ , \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \ , \ \sigma_2 = 0.5 \\ \alpha_0 = 2.0 \ , \ \alpha_1 = 0.4 \ , \ \alpha_2 = 0.5 \ , \ \mu_1 = 0.0 \ , \ \mu_2 = 0.0 \ , \\ \sigma_1 = 1.4 \ , \ \sigma_2 = 0.5 \
```

NOTE : For N=50 the EM algorithm was not converging.

2.1
$$\alpha_0 = 1.0$$
, $\alpha_1 = 0.3$, $\alpha_2 = 1.4$, $\mu_1 = 0.0$, $\mu_2 = 0.0$, $\sigma_1 = 1.0$, $\sigma_2 = 0.5$

2.1.1 N = 450

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
AE	0.93572	0.39066	1.46446	0.00128	0.00044	1.02905	0.50616
MSE	0.01988	0.02811	0.12185	0.00000	0.00000	0.02734	0.01516

2.1.2 N = 350

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
							0.55259
MSE	0.02123	0.03943	0.30231	0.00002	0.00000	0.03981	0.02894

2.1.3 N = 250

Value	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
AE	0.94219	0.38053	1.61029	0.00278	0.00076	1.03565	0.54951
MSE	0.02234	0.03282	0.55713	0.00002	0.00000	0.06323	0.04281

2.1.4 N = 150

V	alue	α_0	α_1	α_2	μ_1	μ_2	σ_1	σ_2
	AE	0.93173	0.41856	1.79789	0.00541	0.00126	1.04286	0.57701
1	ISE	0.05238	0.05854	1.10001	0.00007	0.00000	0.10261	0.09340

2.1.5 N = 50

The EM algorithm is not converging for N=50 $\,$