# Speeding up parameter inference with compiled models

Philipp H Boersch-Supan, Sadie J Ryan, and Leah R Johnson September 2016

Differential equation (DE) models in R are easy to implement and allow simple interactive development using readable code and access to R's many high-level functions. This was part of our motivation to develop deBInfer as an R based inference package. However, numerically solving DE models specified as R functions is also relatively slow. The deSolve package also allows the evaluation of DE models that are defined in lower-level languages such as C and FORTRAN. These compiled models have the benefit of increased simulation speed. As the DE model is evaluated many times during the MCMC procedure, even moderate speed-ups from using compiled models can result in large absolute time savings.

We demonstrate the speed-up using an example from the deSolve documentation (Soetaert et al. 2010). Full details on the model specification can be found in Soetaert et al. (2009) which can be displayed with the command vignette("compiledCode"). Further details on the set up of the deBInfer inference procedure are described in Boersch-Supan et al. (2016) and annotated examples are available in the vignettes vignette("logistic\_ode\_example") and vignette("vignette\_chytrid\_dede\_example").

### 1 Specifying the ODE model

Following Soetaert et al. (2009), we use the following simple ODE:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dy_1}{dt} &= -k_1 \cdot y_1 + k_2 \cdot y_2 \cdot y_3 \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} &= k_1 \cdot y_1 - k_2 \cdot y_2 \cdot y_3 - k_3 \cdot y_2 \cdot y_2 \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} &= k_3 \cdot y_2 \cdot y_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  and  $y_3$  are state variables, and  $k_1$ ,  $k_2$  and  $k_3$  are parameters.

### 2 ODE model implementation in R

We implement this model as an R function:

```
modelR <- function(t, Y, parameters) {
    with (as.list(parameters), {

        dy1 <- -k1*Y[1] + k2*Y[2]*Y[3]
        dy3 <- k3*Y[2]*Y[2]
        dy2 <- -dy1 - dy3

        list(c(dy1, dy2, dy3))
    })
}</pre>
```

And also specify the Jacobian  $(\frac{\partial y'}{\partial y})$  for it:


```
jacR <- function (t, Y, parameters) {
    with (as.list(parameters),{


        PD[1,1] <- -k1
        PD[1,2] <- k2*Y[3]
        PD[1,3] <- k2*Y[2]
        PD[2,1] <- k1
        PD[2,3] <- -PD[1,3]
        PD[3,2] <- k3*Y[2]
        PD[2,2] <- -PD[1,2] - PD[3,2]


        return(PD)
        })
}</pre>
```

#### 2.1 Solving R models

This model can be solved numerically in R using the deSolve package as follows.







# 3 ODE model implementation in C

To create compiled models (dynamic-link libraries (.dll) on Windows or shared objects (.so) on other systems) a recent version of the GNU compiler suite is required. GNU compilers are included by default in most Linux distributions. Windows users can install the required toolchain by following the instructions on [https://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/Rtools/]. OSX users need to download and install an appropriate version of Xcode from the Apple developer website [https://developer.apple.com/] or the OSX App Store.

The call to the derivative and Jacobian function is more complex for compiled code compared to R-code, because it has to comply with the interface needed by the integrator. The requirements for this are detailed in Soetaert *et al.* (2009). A C implementation of the example model is found below.

```
/* file mymod.c */
#include <R.h>
static double parms[3];
#define k1 parms[0]
#define k2 parms[1]
#define k3 parms[2]

/* initializer */
void initmod(void (* odeparms)(int *, double *))
{
   int N=3;
   odeparms(&N, parms);
}
```

```
/* Derivatives and 1 output variable */
void derivs (int *neq, double *t, double *y, double *ydot,
             double *yout, int *ip)
{
    if (ip[0] <1) error("nout should be at least 1");</pre>
   ydot[0] = -k1*y[0] + k2*y[1]*y[2];
   ydot[2] = k3 * y[1]*y[1];
   ydot[1] = -ydot[0]-ydot[2];
   yout[0] = y[0]+y[1]+y[2];
}
/* The Jacobian matrix */
void jac(int *neq, double *t, double *y, int *ml, int *mu,
           double *pd, int *nrowpd, double *yout, int *ip)
{
  pd[0]
                      = -k1;
 pd[1]
                      = k1;
 pd[2]
                      = 0.0;
 pd[(*nrowpd)]
                     = k2*y[2];
  pd[(*nrowpd) + 1] = -k2*y[2] - 2*k3*y[1];
 pd[(*nrowpd) + 2] = 2*k3*y[1];
 pd[(*nrowpd)*2]
                      = k2*y[1];
 pd[2*(*nrowpd) + 1] = -k2 * y[1];
 pd[2*(*nrowpd) + 2] = 0.0;
/* END file mymod.c */
```

#### 3.1 Compiling and solving ODE models implemented in C

To run the C implementation of the model it must first be compiled. This can be done using the R system statement


```
system("R CMD SHLIB mymod.c")
```


which will create the file mymod.dll on Windows, or mymod.so on other platforms.


We can then load the compiled model with

```
dyn.load(paste("mymod", .Platform$dynlib.ext, sep = ""))
```

The compiled model can be solved numerically from R using the deSolve package as follows.








# 4 Comparing solver speed

We can determine the speed improvement as a function of desired output time points using the  $\mathtt{microbenchmark}$  package

```
#Set up a vector of the number of solver time points
timepoints <- c(10,100, 500, 1000,2000, 5000, 10000)
#solve both model version on the desired time points
solve_time <- lapply(timepoints, function(x){</pre>
                times \leftarrow seq(0, 0.1*10^4, length.out = x)
                comp <- microbenchmark::microbenchmark(</pre>
                   #solve the C model
                  C = ode(Y, times, func = "derivs", parms = parms,
                            jacfunc = "jac", dllname = "mymod",
                            initfunc = "initmod", nout = 1, outnames = "Sum"),
                   #solve the R model
                  R = ode(Y, times, modelR, parms = parms, jacfunc = jacR), times=10
                  return(comp)
                  }
                )
#save simulation if desired
#saveRDS(solve_time, "examples/Soetaert-r-vs-c-solve_time.RDS")
```

```
#calculate summaries from the simulations
solve_speedup <- sapply(solve_time,</pre>
                        function(x)mean(x$time[x$expr=="R"]/x$time[x$expr=="C"]))
solve_R <- sapply(solve_time, function(x)mean(x$time[x$expr=="R"]/1e9))</pre>
solve C <- sapply(solve time, function(x)mean(x$time[x$expr=="C"]/1e9))
#plot the results
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plot(timepoints, solve_R, type="p", ylab = "Execution time (s)", xlab = "Time points")
points(timepoints, solve_C, col="red", type="p", pch=c(16))
legend("topleft", legend = c("R ODE", "C ODE"), pch = c(1,16), col= c("black", "red"))
plot(rep(timepoints, each=1), solve_speedup, type="p", ylab = "Relative execution time"
     , xlab = "Time points", ylim = c(0,max(solve_speedup)))
     0.30
                                                                                        0
                                                                  0
               R ODE
                                                                          0
                                                      50
               C ODE
                                                      40
     0.20
                                                             0
```




which shows us that the C version of the models is solved 22-54 times faster than the R version.

### 5 Conducting inference with compiled models

We can now simulate a dataset to demonstrate the inference procedure:

```
set.seed(143)
#sample from integration output. Force include the first 100 time-points
#to aid parameter identifiability
obs <- as.data.frame(outR[c(1:100,runif(100, 0, nrow(outR))),])
#order the observations
obs <- obs[order(obs$time),]
#plot the observations
par(mfrow=c(1,3))
plot(obs$time, obs[,2], type='p', ylab="y1", xlab="Time")
plot(obs$time, obs[,3], type='p', ylab="y2", xlab="Time")</pre>
```



#### 5.1 Defining an observation model and parameters for inference

For simplicity we assume a normal log-likelihood for these data

$$\ell(\mathcal{Y}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i} \sum_{t} \ln \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{obs} \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\tilde{y}_{t,i} - (y_{t,i})^2}{2\sigma_{obs}^2}\right) \right)$$
(1)

where  $\tilde{y}_{t,i}$  are the observations, and  $y_{t,i}$  are the predictions of the DE model given the current MCMC sample of the parameters  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ .

```
# the observation model
obs_model <- function(data, sim.data, samp){

llik.y1 <- sum(dnorm(obs[,2], mean = sim.data[,2], sd = samp[['sd.y1']], log = TRUE))

llik.y2 <- sum(dnorm(obs[,3], mean = sim.data[,3], sd = samp[['sd.y2']], log = TRUE))

llik.y3 <- sum(dnorm(obs[,4], mean = sim.data[,4], sd = samp[['sd.y3']], log = TRUE))

return(llik.y1 + llik.y2 + llik.y3)
}</pre>
```

We declare the DE model parameters and their associated priors and MCMC parameters. Note that we are using the asymmetric uniform proposal distribution  $\mathcal{U}(\frac{a}{b}\theta^{(k)},\frac{b}{a}\theta^{(k)})$  for the variance parameters (samp.type="rw-unif"), as this ensures strictly positive proposals.

Lastly, we provide initial values for the DE:

```
y1 <- debinfer_par(name = "y1", var.type = "init", fixed = TRUE, value = 1)
y2 <- debinfer_par(name = "y2", var.type = "init", fixed = TRUE, value = 0)
y3 <- debinfer_par(name = "y3", var.type = "init", fixed = TRUE, value = 0)
```

The declared parameters are then collated using the setup\_debinfer function. Note that for models with more than one state variable, the initial values must be entered in the same order, as they are specified in the DE model function, as the solver matches these values by position, rather than by name. More details can be found in ?deSolve::ode. The remaining parameters can be entered in any order for the R based inference, but are matched by position in the compiled model.

```
mcmc.pars <- setup_debinfer(k1, k2, k3, sd.y1, sd.y2, sd.y3, y1, y2, y3)
```

#### 5.2 Conduct inference

Finally we use deBInfer to estimate the parameters of the original model using both the R and the C version of the model.

```
# do inference with deBInfer
# MCMC iterations
iter <- 1000</pre>
```

For the R model, the inference call proceeds as outlined in Boersch-Supan *et al.* (2016), although we wrap it in a system.time call to measure the execution time.

```
## Order of initial conditions is y1, y2, y3
## initial posterior probability = 568.058099497889
```

```
## Order of initial conditions is y1, y2, y3
## initial posterior probability = 568.058099497889
print(Ct)
```

plot = FALSE, solver = "ode", verbose.mcmc = FALSE)

```
## user system elapsed
## 5.835 0.031 5.916
```

)

We can see that inference using the C model is approximately 6 times faster than inference using the R model.

#### 6 Speed up of the inference procedure

We can see that inference and posterior simulations using the compiled model are substantially faster. This speed up is consistent across a range of MCMC iterations:

```
iter_reps <- rep(c(100,1000,2000,5000,10000),3)
timings <- matrix(nrow=length(iter_reps),ncol=2)</pre>
for (i in seq_along(iter_reps)){
  timings[i,1] <- system.time(mcmc_samples <- de_mcmc(N = iter_reps[i], data = obs,
                           de.model = modelR,
                           obs.model = obs model, all.params = mcmc.pars,
                          Tmax = max(obs$time), data.times = obs$time, cnt = 500,
                          plot = FALSE, solver = "ode", verbose.mcmc = FALSE))[3]
  timings[i,2] <- system.time(mcmc_samplesC <- de_mcmc(N = iter_reps[i], data = obs,</pre>
                          de.model = "derivs",
                           jacfunc = "jac", dllname = "mymod",
                           initfunc = "initmod", nout = 1, outnames = "Sum",
                           obs.model = obs_model, all.params = mcmc.pars,
                          Tmax = max(obs$time), data.times = obs$time, cnt = 1250,
                           plot = FALSE, solver = "ode", verbose.mcmc = FALSE))[3]
}
#saveRDS(timings, "examples/Soetaert-r-vs-c-timings.RDS")
iter_reps <- rep(c(100,1000,2000,5000,10000),3)
if(!exists("timings")) timings <- readRDS("Soetaert-r-vs-c-timings.RDS")</pre>
```

```
\#pdf("examples/c-vs-r-iters.pdf")
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
plot(iter_reps, timings[,1], ylim=c(0, max(timings[,1])), type="p",
     ylab = "Execution time (s)", xlab = "MCMC iterations")
points(iter_reps, timings[,2], col="red", type="p", pch=c(1,16))
legend("topleft", legend = c("R ODE", "C ODE"), pch = c(1,16), col= c("black", "red"))
plot(iter_reps, timings[,1]/timings[,2], ylim=c(0, max(timings[,1]/timings[,2])), type="p",
     ylab = "Relative execution time", xlab = "MCMC iterations")
      9
                                        0
                                                              0
                                                                0 0
               R ODE
                                                                            0
      500
                C ODE
                                                        9
                                                 Relative execution time
Execution time (s)
                                                       2
      400
      300
                          0
      200
                                                        2
      9
                 0
      0
               2000
                           6000
                                      10000
                                                                 2000
                                                                            6000
                                                                                       10000
            0
                                                             0
                 MCMC iterations
                                                                   MCMC iterations
#dev.off()
```


## 7 Speed up of posterior simulations

The use of compiled models also speeds up the simulation of the posterior trajectories. The call to post\_sim for the compiled model requires the same additional arguments as outlined above for de\_mcmc.

```
## user system elapsed
## 0.491 0.013 0.508
```

We can see that simulations using the C model are approximately 9 times faster than simulations using the R model.

Finally we can plot the posterior median trajectories for both inference runs to visually confirm that both the C and R model are estimated correctly.



### References

Boersch-Supan, P.H., Ryan, S.J. & Johnson, L.R. (2016). deBinfer: Bayesian inference for dynamical models of biological systems. arXiv, **1605.00021**.

Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T. & Setzer, R.W. (2009). R package desolve, writing code in compiled languages.

Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T. & Setzer, R.W. (2010). Solving differential equations in R: Package deSolve.  $Journal\ of\ Statistical\ Software,\ {\bf 33},\ 1-25.$