



## Q1

**Which of the following statements correctly contrasts a KL-divergence constraint with a support (MMD) constraint in offline RL?**

1. A KL constraint forces the learned policy to match the behaviour policy everywhere, whereas a support constraint only requires their action distributions to share support and therefore allows greater deviation on in-support actions.
2. A KL constraint is less conservative than a support constraint because it ignores state-action pairs never seen in the data.
3. A support (MMD) constraint penalises differences in means and variances only, whereas KL penalises the entire distribution.
4. Both constraints are equivalent in tabular MDPs; differences arise only with deep networks.

**Correct Answers: A**

A KL constraint (e.g.,  $D_{KL}(\pi \| \mu)$ ) penalises any deviation from the behaviour policy and becomes infinite if  $\pi$  puts mass outside  $\text{supp}(\mu)$ , keeping  $\pi$  close *everywhere*. A support/MMD constraint primarily enforces shared support (stay within the data support), allowing larger reweighting among in-support actions. (B)–(D) are incorrect.



## Q2

**In the context of offline Q-learning with function approximation, bootstrapping-error amplification refers to**

1. The accumulation of Monte-Carlo estimation noise when rewards are highly stochastic.
2. The geometric growth of value-function over-estimation caused by repeatedly backing-up Q-values for state-action pairs that lie outside the data set's support.
3. The divergence that appears when the actor network is not updated as frequently as the critic.
4. A numerical instability arising from using large discount factors with expectile regression.

**Correct Answers: B**

In offline Q-learning, targets come from Bellman backups

$$\mathcal{T}Q(s, a) = r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a').$$

When  $(s', a') \notin \text{supp}(\mathcal{D})$ , a function approximator extrapolates with error  $\varepsilon$ . Repeated bootstrapping propagates and compounds these extrapolation errors roughly as

$$\varepsilon, \gamma\varepsilon, \gamma^2\varepsilon, \dots$$

leading to geometric growth (amplification) and over-estimation outside the dataset support. Options A, C, and D describe different issues (Monte-Carlo noise, actor-critic scheduling, and expectile-specific numerics) and are not the bootstrapping-error phenomenon.



## Q3

**In the KL-constrained formulation of Offline RL, explain in one or two sentences how the optimal policy update turns into AWR.**

Imposing a per-state KL constraint  $\text{KL}(\pi(\cdot|s) \parallel \mu(\cdot|s)) \leq \epsilon$  with behavior policy  $\mu$  yields the Lagrangian optimum

$$\pi^*(a|s) \propto \mu(a|s) \exp\left(\frac{A(s,a)}{\tau}\right),$$

where  $A(s,a) = Q(s,a) - V(s)$  and  $\tau > 0$  is the temperature (inverse of the KL multiplier). Projecting this nonparametric  $\pi^*$  onto a parametric  $\pi_\theta$  by minimizing  $\text{KL}(\pi^* \parallel \pi_\theta)$  gives the weighted behavior-cloning update

$$\theta \leftarrow \arg \max_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a) \sim \mathcal{D}} \left[ \exp\left(\frac{A(s,a)}{\tau}\right) \log \pi_\theta(a|s) \right],$$

which is exactly the Advantage-Weighted Regression (AWR) objective.



## Q4

Why does *bootstrapping-error amplification* occur in ordinary Q-learning when applied to a fixed offline dataset, and what is one principled algorithmic modification that removes or reduces this problem?

In offline Q-learning, the TD target

$$r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_\theta(s', a')$$

queries  $Q_\theta$  for actions  $a'$  that may be *out of the dataset's support*. Function-approximation errors on these OOD actions are *selected by the max* (overestimation) and then *bootstrapped* into future targets without any new data to correct them, so the error grows (amplifies) across iterations.

**One principled fix (CQL).** *Conservative Q-Learning (CQL)* adds a conservatism regularizer that pushes down values for unsupported actions while keeping data actions high, e.g. add to the TD loss

$$\alpha \left( \mathbb{E}_{s \sim D} \left[ \log \sum_a e^{Q_\theta(s, a)} \right] - \mathbb{E}_{(s, a) \sim D} \left[ Q_\theta(s, a) \right] \right),$$

which penalizes large  $Q$  on actions not seen in  $D$ . This reduces OOD overestimation and thus mitigates bootstrapping-error amplification.