

Mid Semester Examination

Branch	Date	Sem.	Roll No. / Exam Seat No.		Subject		Student's Signature		Junior Supervisor's Name and Sign	
CMPN	19/03/2	VII)			DL	_				
Question No.	A	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	Total	Total out of (20 /30 / 40)
1										
2										
3										
4										
Examiners Signature				Student's Sign (After receiving the assessed answer sheet)						
		ege c	e visace	che	L ge	the	y er	ros	the per to	higher the slop
B)	Ea Det Date Do	At of	ting equi	ires	The les	s s ula	elpan an lex	ved our ti	gener fof ime comme	dueing ratisation training ompared schols.
										method ing of

outputs are ignored or dropped at random, reducing the overlithing problems in deep learning. D) The accuracy of predictions in supervised deep leaving I madel's depends to a large extent on the amount of data available to the model during training and the level of diversity in that E yes, gradient descent can escape sandle points: gradient descent updates the parameters in the direction opposite to the gradient of the loss Lunchion to minimize it F) A high learning rate in gradient descart can cause overshooting, leading to the minimum of the loss function. Momentum in optimization refers to the technique of incorporating past gradients to accelerate convergence and smooth out oscillations. It introduces a velocity term that accumulates a gradients over iterations, allowing for faster programs through flat regions and overcoming saddle point The two steps of the goodient descent optimization are of the los Suction with supert to the parameter

2. Update parameters: - Update the parameters in the opposite direction of the gradient to minimize the loss hunchion.
Or A) Robertness to outliers (1) Li regularization (Lasso) is less robust to outliers compared to L2 regularization (Ridge) due to its terdency to shrink coefficients to dono which can make the model overly sensitive to individual data points 1) L2 regularization spready the penalty more everly across all weights, making it more robust to outliers
Penalty Term Sum of absolute values of weights Sum of Squared magnitudes of weights
Effect I Encourage sparcity, some weight become dero (4 Encourages Smaller weights, prevots overfitting
Computational Efficiency 12 regularization is computationally more efficient to optimize compared to 14 originarization especially for large scale clatasete, due to its smooth and convex penalty term.

	Feature Correlation
	Feature Correlation Le regularization tends to select one features, while L2 regularization spreads the penalty equally among them allowing correlated feature to share importance.
	De Live while 12 regularization corrects
	teatures, while among them
	the penalty equation is shown
	allowing Corociated Feature 20 stare
	impostance.
-	
	
_	

4-1	1, 2, 3, 4, 5) $ne = [2, 4, 6, 8, 10]$ $= 0.5 \cdot 8 \cdot 10 = 0.5 7 = 0.1$ $= 0.5 \cdot 10.5 \times [1, 2, 13, 4, 15]$ $= [1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0]$
Co	mpute the loss for each data point Lossi = 14 true 4 proed 1 + 0 x (1 wol +1 wil)
Sim	$1055 = 12 (10) 1 + 0.1 \times (10.51 + 10.51)$ $= 0.5 + (1.0 \times 1.0) = 0.6$ $1053 = 2.6$ $1053 = 4.1$ $1055 = 5.6$ $1055 = 7.1$
Fin 13 t	elly total loss with LI regularization Le sum of these individual Losses = 0.6 + 2.6 + 4.1 + 5.6 + 7.1 = 20.0
So Sx	te gives data is 20:0

1. Initialization: Initialize model parameters
O randomly
a Herative potimization
2. Iterative optimization + Shuffle training data to introduce
randomness
· For each data point (M;, y;) or
mini-butch
of the loss function with respect
of the loss function with respect
to the parameters
· Update parametes.
0-0-175(0; 1141),
where m is the learning rate
- Repeat until ell date points
are used.
3. Termination: Repeat ontil convergence Criteria are met or for a fixed
criteria are met or for a fixed
number of epochs
SGD updates parameters more frequently benefiting from stochasticity to
benediting from stochasticity to
escape local minima and aethre
fuster convergence making it efficient for larger detaset
108 larger Derbet

0301 given data n= [3,4] and y= [4,5] and w = 0.5 we have our gral to minimize the msE (Loss Runchian) 1= 1 (y pred -y)2 ypred = 05x3=15 gt = aldw = 2 x (y pred -4) x x = -15 9+2=(-15)2 =225 m1= 019 x 0+ (1-019) x=15=-15 V1=0.999 × 0+(1-0.999) × 225=0.225 $\frac{n}{m} = -15$ Whi = Ot - [d, m] 0-5-0/1 /-15/ 0.5 - C 0.1 x 15 05 m - (-01) = 0.5+0.1=0.6 W++1=0.6

4 prod = 0.5 x4 = 4 pred = 0.6 x 4 = 2.4
gt = d4-db = 2 * (2.4-5) ×4 =-20.8
$g+2=(-20.8)^2=432.64$
$m_1 = 0.9 \times -15 + (1-0.9) \times (-20.8) = 11.42$
$V_{1} = 0.999 \times 225 + (1-0.999) \times (432.64)$ $= 224.775 + (0.001 \times 3432.64)$ $= 225.20$
$\frac{n_2}{1-0.9} = \frac{11.42}{1-0.9}$ $\frac{1-0.999}{1-0.999}$
0.6 - (0.1 1225200 + 108) ×11415
0.6-0.02
= 0.58

ass) AJ(W) = 1 [2+ (0.5 x[1-2]) x1 + 2(0.5 (24)) x2 +2(05(3-6)x3) $= \frac{1}{3} \left(2 \times (-1.5) \times 1 + 2 \times (-3) \times 2 + 2 \times (-5.5) \times 3 \right)$ $=\frac{1}{2}\left[-3+(-12)+(-33)\right]$ $=\frac{1}{3}\times\left(-\frac{1}{8}\right)=-1$ G=0 we have G= 0+(-16)2=256 update the w wing Adagrad update w= w - d x 7 J(0) 05-011 x (-16) 1256+108 = 0.2 to. = 0.6 After one itention using the Adagrad
the update weight wo or 6.