Experiment No. 10

Semester	B.E. Semester VIII – Computer Engineering
Subject	Project Management
Subject Professor In-charge	Prof. Sneha Annappanavar
Academic Year	2024-25

Student Name	Deep Salunkhe
Roll Number	21102A0014

Title: Paper Reviews

---

1. **"Explainable Machine Learning for Project Management Control" (Santos et al., 2023)**

Summary:
This paper introduces a novel methodology that integrates explainable machine learning (XAI), specifically SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations), into the Earned Value Management (EVM) framework for project control under uncertainty. The proposed approach uses Monte Carlo simulations to model project variability and machine learning models to predict project outcomes. SHAP values provide interpretability, enabling project managers to understand the contributions of individual tasks to project deviations and forecasts. The method supports both forward (prospective) and backward (retrospective) analyses, aiding in decision-making and accountability attribution.

Strengths:

- **Innovative Integration**: Combines EVM, Monte Carlo simulations, and XAI to address project uncertainty, offering a more nuanced understanding of project dynamics.
- **Explainability**: SHAP values enhance transparency, helping managers identify key tasks influencing project outcomes and justifying decisions.

- **Practical Utility**: The case study demonstrates the method's applicability, showing how it captures task interactions and seasonal risks.
- **Flexibility**: The model-agnostic nature allows adaptation to various machine learning algorithms and project contexts.

Limitations:

- **Computational Complexity**: The reliance on Monte Carlo simulations and SHAP calculations may be resource-intensive for large-scale projects.
- **Assumption Dependency**: Requires accurate stochastic definitions of task durations and costs, which may not always be available.
- **Limited Empirical Validation**: While the case study is illustrative, broader empirical validation across industries is needed.

Key Contributions:

- Provides a framework for integrating XAI into project control, bridging the gap between predictive accuracy and interpretability.
- Highlights the importance of explainability in project management, particularly for stakeholder communication and corrective action planning.

2. **"Project Termination Doesn't Equal Project Failure" (Boehm, 2000)**

Summary:
Boehm challenges the perception that project termination inherently indicates failure, arguing that many terminations result from valid reasons such as changing priorities, market shifts, or technological advancements. He categorizes termination causes from the Standish Group's Chaos Report and emphasizes that well-managed projects may be terminated to avoid resource waste. The paper advocates for healthy termination practices, including risk management, incremental commitment, and stakeholder communication.

Strengths:

- **Reframing Termination**: Distinguishes between mismanagement and justified termination, reducing stigma and encouraging proactive decision-making.
- **Practical Recommendations**: Offers actionable steps like marketing project products, using spiral development models, and monitoring business assumptions.
- **Data-Driven**: Bases arguments on the Chaos Report and real-world examples, lending credibility.

Limitations:

- **Dated Data**: Relies on the 1995 Chaos Report, which may not reflect current project management trends.
- **Generalizability**: Focuses on software projects; applicability to other sectors is less explored.
- **Qualitative Bias**: Lacks statistical analysis, relying heavily on anecdotal evidence.

Key Contributions:

- Normalizes project termination as a strategic tool, promoting a culture where early termination of unviable projects is seen as a success.
- Highlights the role of risk management and stakeholder alignment in minimizing unnecessary project continuation.

3. **"Project Termination Practices in Indian Industry: A Statistical Review" (De, 2001)**

Summary:
De examines project termination challenges in Indian industries through a survey of public and private sector firms. Key issues include negotiating client claims, statutory compliance, and final payments. Statistical analysis (ANOVA) reveals no significant difference in termination problems between sectors, contrary to stereotypes about public sector inefficiency. The paper also analyzes project success factors (e.g., communication, contingency planning) across project phases, noting their declining emphasis during termination.

Strengths:

- **Empirical Rigor**: Uses survey data and ANOVA to validate findings, providing a quantitative basis for conclusions.
- **Sector Comparison**: Debunks myths about public vs. private sector performance in project termination.
- **Practical Insights**: Identifies critical success factors (e.g., audits, communication) often overlooked in termination phases.

Limitations:

- **Regional Focus**: Limited to Indian industries, which may not generalize globally.
- **Sample Size**: Only 25 responses, potentially affecting statistical robustness.
- **Temporal Context**: Published in 2001; modern practices (e.g., digital tools) are not addressed.

Key Contributions:

- Highlights systemic termination challenges (e.g., financial settlements) common across sectors.
- Emphasizes the need for structured termination processes and learning from project histories to improve future outcomes.

Comparative Analysis:

1. **Methodology**:

- Santos et al. (2023) and De (2001) employ quantitative methods (simulations, surveys), while Boehm (2000) is more conceptual.
- Santos et al. focus on predictive modeling; De on descriptive statistics; Boehm on normative recommendations.

2. **Focus**:
   - Santos et al. address real-time project control; Boehm and De focus on project closure.
   - Boehm and De explore organizational behavior, whereas Santos et al. emphasize technical tools.
3. **Practical Implications**:
   - All papers stress stakeholder communication and adaptive management.
   - Santos et al. offer a tool for ongoing control; Boehm and De provide frameworks for closure decisions.

Overall Assessment:

- **Santos et al.** is groundbreaking for integrating XAI into project control but requires validation in diverse settings.
- **Boehm** remains relevant for its cultural critique but needs updating with contemporary data.
- **De** offers timeless insights into termination challenges but could benefit from replication in other regions.

4. "Factors Leading to the Termination of Projects: The Case of Oromia Investment and Industry Bureau"

Author: Firaol Bekele
Published: June 2024

Overview:
This study investigates the factors contributing to the premature termination of investment projects in the Oromia Region of Ethiopia, focusing on projects managed by the Oromia Investment and Industry Bureau (OIIB). The research identifies four key factors: financial aspects, stakeholder decisions, project mismanagement (inadequate monitoring and evaluation), and environmental or social concerns. The study adopts a quantitative approach, using a positivist paradigm and descriptive research design, with data collected from 135 respondents via questionnaires.

Strengths:

1. **Clear Objectives and Scope:** The study clearly defines its objectives and scope, focusing on terminated projects in the Oromia Region, which provides a specific context for analysis.

2. **Methodological Rigor:** The use of a structured questionnaire and statistical tools like multiple regression analysis ensures robust data analysis. The inclusion of validity and reliability tests (e.g., Cronbach's alpha) enhances the credibility of the findings.

3. **Practical Implications:** The study offers actionable recommendations for mitigating project termination risks, such as improving financial planning and stakeholder engagement, which are valuable for policymakers and project managers.

4. **Conceptual Framework:** The proposed framework linking financial, stakeholder, mismanagement, and environmental factors to project termination is well-constructed and aligns with existing literature.

Limitations:

1. **Sample Size and Generalizability:** The sample size of 135, while statistically sufficient, may not fully represent the diversity of projects across sectors. The findings might not be generalizable to other regions or industries.

2. **Lack of Qualitative Data:** The study relies solely on quantitative data, missing nuanced insights that qualitative interviews or case studies could provide, especially from high-level decision-makers like CEOs.

3. **Limited Exploration of External Factors:** While financial and stakeholder factors are well-covered, external influences like political instability or global economic shifts are not deeply explored.

4. **Bias Potential:** The reliance on self-reported data from OIIB experts and project managers may introduce bias, as respondents might underreport mismanagement or overemphasize external factors.

Key Findings:

- Financial aspects (e.g., budget overruns, ROI) and stakeholder decisions (e.g., conflicting priorities) are the most significant contributors to project termination.

- Inadequate monitoring and evaluation (mismanagement) and environmental/social concerns also play notable roles.

- The regression model explains 81.1% of the variance in project termination, indicating strong predictive power.

Contribution:
This study fills a gap in understanding project termination in developing regions like Ethiopia, offering a localized perspective that complements global literature. Its framework can serve as a diagnostic tool for similar contexts.

5. "Project Manager Competencies in the Context of Industry 4.0"

Authors: André Ribeiro, António Amaral, Teresa Barros
Published: 2021

Overview:
This paper explores the evolving competencies required of project managers (PMs) in the Industry 4.0 era, characterized by digitalization, automation, and cyber-physical systems. It contrasts traditional PM skills (aligned with Industry 3.0) with emerging demands, emphasizing soft skills (e.g., communication, leadership) and hard skills (e.g., data analytics, IoT knowledge). The study synthesizes literature to propose a competency framework for Industry 4.0 PMs.

Strengths:

1. **Timely Relevance:** The paper addresses a critical gap in PM literature by focusing on Industry 4.0, a transformative trend in global industries.

2. **Comprehensive Framework:** The classification of competencies into soft skills (e.g., communication, team management) and hard skills (e.g., predictive analytics, CPS understanding) is well-organized and aligns with Industry 4.0 demands.

3. **Integration of Standards:** The study references PMI and IPMA competency standards, grounding its framework in established practices while adapting to new technological realities.

4. **Practical Guidance:** The paper highlights actionable skills (e.g., real-time decision-making, virtual team management) that can inform PM training programs.

Limitations:

1. **Theoretical Focus:** The study is primarily a literature review; empirical validation (e.g., surveys or case studies) is limited to future work (focus groups).

2. **Overemphasis on Manufacturing:** While Industry 4.0 originates in manufacturing, the paper could broaden its applicability to sectors like healthcare or finance.

3. **Rapid Technological Change:** The dynamic nature of Industry 4.0 technologies (e.g., AI advancements) may outpace the paper's recommendations over time.

Key Findings:

- **Soft Skills:** Communication, authority, and negotiation skills are critical due to decentralized, real-time workflows.
- **Hard Skills:** Proficiency in CPS, IoT, and big data analytics is essential for managing automated processes.
- **Changes in PM Roles:** PMs must shift from execution-focused to strategic roles, leveraging predictive tools and agile methodologies.

Contribution:
The paper bridges the gap between traditional PM practices and Industry 4.0 requirements, offering a forward-looking competency model. It underscores the need for PMs to balance technical expertise with adaptive leadership.