

**Name** - Deepti Deshpande

**UID** - u0872493

**Assignment** - 6

1) In Chapter 7, the author says that in rabbit projects, developers don't write the requirements, which means that the people developing the product have no record/proof that the client had conveyed specific requirements to them. In future, they might run into a situation where the client can confront the developers saying that he did not get the right product as per the requirements he had conveyed. How do the Rabbit projects handle such situations, as they won't have any record of requirements?

2) Under the section "Functional Requirements", the author defines functional requirements as **"Think of the functional requirements as things the product must do from the point of view of the business. When you talk to business stakeholders, they will describe those actions, which the product must take to complete some part of their work."** This definition implies the underlying purpose of a scenario, which we learnt in the previous chapter. This got me confused between scenario and functional requirements. My question is what is the exact difference between a scenario and functional requirements? As per my current understanding they sound similar and I feel spending time and resources on both the tasks would be waste of both unless and until there is a solid difference between them.

3) The author recommends the usage of word "shall" in the requirements so that the developers don't have the compulsion to find the solution. Author also suggests that the developer can back out from the agreement of providing a solution if its not cost effective. Is this ethically right? Such situation gives a scope for taking the negative advantage of the word "shall".

4) Chapter 7 says that it is unfair and pointless to get the functional requirements reviewed by the stakeholders. I did not understand the meaning behind this statement. Why should we not do it? In my opinion, getting it reviewed will help the developers. It might be time consuming but I guess it will be totally worth it as there won't be any scope for miscommunication or misunderstanding.

5) The chapter says that it's good to group the requirement as per the feature. Isn't feature same as functionality? So is the author trying to say that we should group the functional requirements as per the functionality? Out of curiosity, isn't that the default way of grouping it? If No, what could be other ways of grouping it?