

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON**
8 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WHITMAN**

9 **STATE OF WASHINGTON,**

10 **ERIC SHING-SUAN WANG**
11 **RESPONDENT / PETITIONER**

12 **vs.**

13 **HEYAN "JENNY" HUANG**

14 **APPELLANT / RESPONDENT.**

No. 22-2-00195-38

District Court #ST082522

15 **APPEAL SCHEDULING NOTICE**

16 The defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal from a decision of the Whitman County
17 District Court. In conjunction with this appeal, it is ORDERED as follows:

- 18 1. The parties shall comply with the following schedule for this appeal:

Action	Deadline
19 1. Appellant's Designation of Record on Appeal [RALJ 6.2(a)] 20 <i>Within 14 days of filing the Notice of Appeal</i>	09/20/2022
21 2. Appellant's Brief & Transcript (if required by rule) [RALJ 7.2(a)] 22 <i>Within 45 days after Notice of Appeal filed in Superior Court</i>	10/21/2022
3. Respondent's Brief [RALJ 7.2(b)] <i>Within 30 days after Appellant's Brief is served</i>	Within 30 days after Appellant's Brief is served

4. Reply Briefs, if any [RALJ 7.2(c)]

Reply Briefs are discretionary with the parties and not required

*Within 14 days of service of
brief to which it responds, but
in no event later than 7 days
before the date set for oral
argument*

5. Oral Argument--each side is allowed 10 minutes [RALJ 8.3]

At least 30 days after the deadline for filing the Respondent's Brief

12/14/2022 @ 2:30pm

2. A party that fails to comply with this Scheduling Notice may be sanctioned to pay costs and other terms, and may have his or her right to participate further in the appeal limited or denied. RALJ 10.1.

3. Requests to enlarge or shorten the above time requirements shall be made in accordance with RALJ 10.3.

4. The parties shall review and comply with the attached Notice Regarding Transcript on Appeal.

DATED: 09/07/2022



Lorena Lynch, Court Administrator

NOTICE REGARDING TRANSCRIPT ON APPEAL

In order to properly review a decision of a court of limited jurisdiction on appeal, the superior court must have a sufficient record of what occurred during that court's proceedings. In this regard, your attention is directed to certain provisions of the Rules on Appeal for Courts of Limited Jurisdiction (RAJL) that are summarized, with comments, as follows:

1. At the time the Appellant's Brief is filed, the party seeking review shall also file either:
 - a. An ***Agreed Record of Proceedings*** approved by the Judge of the Court of Limited Jurisdiction (RAJL 6.1(b)); or
 - b. A typed ***Transcript of the Electronic Record*** (RAJL 6.3A).
2. The ***Agreed Record of Proceedings*** or ***Transcript of Electronic Record*** shall contain only those portions of the electronic recording and lower court record that are necessary to present the issues raised on appeal. (RAJL 6.3A(c)).
3. To minimize the time and expense of appeal, the parties are encouraged to meet and discuss the issues on appeal with a view of providing the superior court with an ***Agreed Record of Proceedings*** and/or an abbreviated ***Transcript of Electronic Record***.
4. The superior court judge will not listen to the lower court electronic recording to determine what occurred during those proceedings. The cassette tapes or other medium used to record the proceedings in the court of limited jurisdiction, therefore, shall not be transmitted to the superior court.

The parties should carefully review the Rules on Appeal for Courts of Limited Jurisdiction (RAJL) to assure a proper consideration of the appeal.