Sprint Retrospective, Iteration # 3

Context Project: Blockchain
Group: BullsBearsWolves

User Story #	Task #	Task Assigned To	Estimated Effort per Task *(in hours)*	Actual Effort per Task *(in hours)*	Done *(yes / no)*	Notes
As a developer I want to have a well tested code base with good documentation and free of bugs.	Documentation	Ashay	5	4	Yes	
	Unit Tests	Luat, Naqib, Ashay, Jasper	3	12	Yes	Testing was far more work than expected
	Integration Tests	Jasper	4	4	Yes	
As a user I want to be able to install and run the web-of-trust application on my android device(s). The application should have a simple and usable interface	Tests	Luat, Naqib	4	0	No	We've made a lot of progress, but we only need to make the connection with the UI.
	Implementation	Ymte	3	1	No	
As a user I want my application to	Implementation	Ashay, Jasper	6	8	Yes	

(automatically) update my web-of-trust contact list information automatically (by updating the blockchain).						
As a user I want to be able to remove the contact from my list, blockchain will thus add another block that will acknowledge that decision.	Implementation	Jasper	4	4	yes	
	Testing	Ashay & Jasper	6	10	yes	
As a user I want to be able to add the contact to my list, blockchain will thus add a block that will represent that user.	Testing	Jasper, Ashay	7	10	yes	
	Implementation	Jasper	6	6	yes	
As a developer I want to make a clear product vision because I need a clear description of the areas in which the	Writing	Ashay, Ymte	4	10	Yes	

stakeholders, such as users and customers, get value.						
As a developer I want to make a clear Product Planning because a product-level planning is needed to capture the essence of a potential product.	Writing	Luat, Naqib	5	8	Yes	
As a developer I want to design a prototype of proof of concept for the architecture design with the main idea: the client keys are stored securely in the blockchain. (Architecture design)	Writing	Jasper	11	11	Yes	

Main Problems Encountered

Problem 1

Description: The actual final product or use case of our project was not clear, so it was hard to create tasks and make a planning.
Reaction: We have received more information about our final product, so we have got a better of what to develop at the moment.

Problem 2

Description: Some tasks were still too large, although we have split them.
Reaction: We need to divide it into even smaller bits, so it is easier to divide and describe them.

Problem 3

Description: The tasks were not divided well
Reaction: We need to divide the tasks better under the group members.

Adjustments for the next Sprint

We should divide our tasks in even smaller bits. The best would be to make the bits so small, that it is 100% understandable, when you read the description. Next to that, we need to divide the tasks better to fit the members' best skills.