

# Math 239 - Tutorial 7

Mar 13, 2013

1. Prove that, if  $G$  is connected, then any two longest paths have a vertex in common.

**Solution.** Assume the contrary, i.e. assume that there are two longest paths  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  that don't have a vertex in common, and let their length be  $k$ . Take the shortest path  $P$  that has one endpoint in  $V(P_1)$  and the other in  $V(P_2)$  and name its endpoints  $v \in V(P_1)$  and  $w \in V(P_2)$  and its length  $l \geq 1$ . As  $G$  is connected, there is such a path, and as the length of  $P$  was chosen to be minimal,  $v$  is its only vertex in  $V(P_1)$  and  $w$  the only vertex in  $V(P_2)$ : Assume there is another vertex  $x \in V(P_1)$  along  $P$ , then the path segment from  $x$  to  $w$  would be a shorter path with endpoints in  $V(P_1)$  and  $V(P_2)$ , contradicting the minimal length. Similarly there is no other vertex  $y \in V(P_2)$  apart from  $w$ . Note that  $v$  divides  $P_1$  up into two parts, let the longer one of the parts be  $Q_1$ , and note that it has length at least  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . Similarly  $w$  divides  $P_2$  up into two parts, name the longer one  $Q_2$  and its length is at least  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$ . Then the new path  $Q_1 P Q_2$  (the path obtained by attaching these three paths together) has length at least  $\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + l + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil \geq 2\lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil + 1 \geq k + 1$ . But this contradicts the maximality of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , so the assumption that they had no vertex in common must be wrong.

2. Let  $G$  be a graph with  $p$  vertices and every vertex of  $G$  has degree at least  $(p-1)/2$ . Prove that  $G$  is connected.

**Solution.** Let  $x \in V(G)$  and  $N(x)$  be the set of neighbours of  $x$ . Let  $S = V(G) - (N(x) \cup \{x\})$ . As we have that  $|N(x)| \geq (p-1)/2$ , then  $|S| \leq p - (p-1)/2 - 1 = (p-1)/2$ , therefore each  $z \in S$  is adjacent to at least one neighbour of  $G$ . Hence any vertex is connected to  $x$  and we obtained that  $G$  is connected.

3. Prove that if every vertex of a graph  $G$  has degree at least 3, then  $G$  contains a cycle of even length.

**Solution.** Let  $P$  be a longest path in  $G$ . Let  $v$  be an end vertex of  $P$ . All neighbours of  $v$  are in  $P$  – otherwise, we could add a neighbour of  $v$  to  $P$  to make  $P$  longer. Suppose a neighbour  $w$  of  $v$  is at an odd distance from  $v$  in the path. Then taking the path in  $P$  from  $v$  to  $w$  (which has an odd number of edges) and adding the edge from  $v$  to  $w$  gives an even cycle.

Now suppose no neighbour of  $v$  is at an odd distance from  $v$  in the path. Then there are two neighbours of  $v$ , say  $u$  and  $w$ , that are both at an even distance from  $v$ . Then the path in  $P$  from  $u$  to  $w$  (which has an even number of edges) and the edges  $uv$  and  $vw$  gives an even cycle.

4. A *forest* is a graph with no cycles. Let  $G$  be a graph, a *maximal spanning forest*  $T$  is a spanning subgraph of  $G$  that is a forest, and that is maximal with respect to the number of edges. Show that if  $k$  is the number of components of  $G$ , then  $|E(T)| = |V(G)| - k$ .

**Solution.** Let  $T$  be a maximal spanning forest of  $G$ . Let  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  be the components of  $G$ . For any  $i = 1, \dots, k$  let  $T_i$  be the induced subgraph of  $T$  by  $V(G_i)$ . Observe that  $T_i$  is acyclic and is a spanning subgraph of the connected graph  $G_i$ . As  $T$  was edge maximal,  $T_i$  must be a spanning tree of  $G_i$ . Thus we know that  $|E(T_i)| = |V(G_i)| - 1$ . Observe that for  $i \neq j$ , there are no  $T_i T_j$ -edges. Therefore  $|E(T)| = \sum_{i=1}^k |E(T_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^k (|V(G_i)| - 1) = |V(G)| - k$ .

5. Prove that every tree is bipartite.

**Solution.** By induction. If tree  $T$  has only one vertex, then the result is clearly true (Take  $(\{v\}, \emptyset)$  as the bipartition). Suppose any tree with  $k \geq 1$  vertices is bipartite. Let  $T$  be a tree with  $k+1$  vertices. Since  $k+1 \geq 2$ ,  $T$  has a leaf  $v$ . By induction,  $T-v$  is bipartite, with bipartition  $(A, B)$ . Since

$v$  is a leaf, it has exactly one neighbour,  $w$ . We may assume that  $w \in A$ . Then setting  $B := B \cup \{v\}$  gives a partition  $(A, B)$  of  $V(T)$ , where no pair of vertices in the same part of the partition is adjacent. Thus  $T$  is bipartite.

6. Prove that the only  $k$ -regular trees for any  $k$  are  $K_1$  (with  $k = 0$ ) and  $K_2$  (with  $k = 1$ ).

**Solution.** Assume we have such a  $k$ -regular tree  $T$  on  $n$  vertices for some  $k$  and  $n$ . Recall that by Theorem 5.1.5 a tree  $T$  on  $n$  vertices has exactly  $n - 1$  edges. Further recall that by Theorem 4.3.1,

$$2|E(T)| = \sum_{v \in V(T)} \deg(v)$$

Expanding this equation using our knowledge about the number of edges and  $k$ -regularity, we get:

$$2n - 2 = 2|E(T)| = \sum_{v \in V(T)} \deg(v) = \sum_{v \in V(T)} k = kn$$

By transforming the equation formed by the term at the very left and right, we get that  $(2 - k)n = 2$ . As  $k$  and  $n$  are non-negative integers, this means that either  $2 - k = 2$  and  $n = 1$  or  $2 - k = 1$  and  $n = 2$ . In the first case we get  $k = 0$  and  $n = 1$  and the corresponding graph is  $K_1$ , in the second case we get  $k = 1$  and  $n = 2$  and the corresponding graph is  $K_2$ .

Note: A shorter proof to this is using the knowledge from class that any tree on at least 2 vertices has a leaf. So for a tree on at least 2 vertices to be  $k$ -regular,  $k$  must be 1. But then the graph can only be a number of disjoint copies of  $K_2$ , meaning it is not connected and thus not a tree if it has more than 2 vertices.