Python Practice 7: Python Dictionaries and Sorting — Lexical analysis

This lab provides an opportunity practice using Python dictionaries — a useful data structure, that provides a convenient basis for storing and handling data in many different circumstances.

1 Python Dictionaries

The essential purpose of a dictionary is very simple: to associate *keys* and *values*. Keys can be strings (e.g. "bill"), or numbers (e.g. 55), or even tuples (e.g. the tuple '("bill", "bryson")'), but **not** ordinary lists. The stored values may be any Python value; strings and numbers are common cases. A key can be paired with *at most one* value, i.e. if we assign a new value to a key, its previous value is lost. Refer to the slides of Lecture 6 for a refresher on Python dictionaries.

Getting started

Start by trying out some simple operations with the Python interpreter. You can create an *empty* dictionary by assigning the value '{ }'. This is illustrated in the example on the right, which also shows cases of assigning a value to a new key, and of assigning a new value to an existing key. Study the example thoroughly, and be sure you understand each step. When you've done so, try creating a dictionary of your own, to store phone numbers for a few people you know.

```
>>> salary = {}
>>> salary['al'] = 20000
>>> salary['bo'] = 50000
>>> salary
{'bo': 50000, 'al': 20000}
>>> salary['bo']
50000
>>> salary['bo'] = 55000
>>> salary['al'] += 2000
>>> salary
{'bo': 55000, 'al': 22000}
```

Next explore what happens if we do a *simple iteration* over a dictionary, e.g. a loop of the form "for VAR in DICT:". Try such a loop with your dictionary, and print the values assigned to VAR as the loop runs, so you can see what is assigned, i.e. does it print

| law | reluce or leaves loop runs | law | light of this modify your loop to

keys, values or key:value pairs? In the light of this, modify your loop to print the dictionary pairs as statements of the form "key = value", e.g.:

```
al = 20000
bo = 50000
ced = 1500
```

Now try doing look-up for a key that is *not in the dictionary*. This gives an error, as shown on the right. Avoiding such errors (which will crash your code) is a major issue in using dictionaries.

```
>>> salary['dave']
Traceback (most recent call last):
  File "<stdin>", line 1, in <module>
      salary['dave']
KeyError: 'dave'
```

We can check if a key is present in a dictionary by using a test of the form "KEY in DICT", which returns True or False, as shown on the right. Thus, we can avoid key look-up errors by couching the look-up step within a conditional that has such a test.

```
>>> 'dave' in salary
False
>>> 'bo' in salary
True
```

2 Exercises: lexical analysis

To practice using dictionaries, we tackle a simple counting task — specifically, counting the words that appear in a text, as a simple case of lexical analysis. Download the following files, for use in the lab: mobydick.txt, mobypara.txt, george01.txt, george02.txt, george03.txt, george04.txt, and stopwords.txt. These are mostly plain text files, for use as data. Store them in a sensible location on your U drive. Your own code should sit in the same folder. Open the file mobydick.txt, which contains the text of Melville's classic novel Moby Dick. To simplify later tasks, I have preprocessed the text, converting it to lowercase and removing punctuation. The other files are similarly preprocessed.

Setting up your code files: For this lab, you will need to define a number of functions. Write these definitions in a file "lab7_countwords.py". Put your code that tests these functions into a separate code file, "lab7_testing.py" (which therefore needs to import from the first file).

Task 1: counting words

Our first task is to define a function countWords that will read through a file, and count the words within it into a dictionary. Your function definition should therefore proceed as follows:

- 1. create an empty dictionary
- 2. open the file for reading the name of the file should be an 'input parameter', i.e. specified in the function call, as in (e.g.): countWords('mobydick.txt')
- 3. use a for-loop to iterate over the file, reading in the lines of text, one at a time
- 4. count each word in the line into the dictionary we'll come back to this step in a moment
- 5. when counting is complete, use a return statement, to return the dictionary of counts

To get the words from a line of text, we can use the ".split()" method, which divides up a string at the places where *spaces* appear, returning the sub-strings as a list, e.g. as on the right:

```
>>> s = 'this is a line'
>>> s.split()
['this', 'is', 'a', 'line']
```

Hence, in our function, as we read through the file, we can call the .split() method on each line, and use an embedded for-loop to iterate over the words returned, counting each into the dictionary. The difficulty in coding this task is avoiding errors from trying to look up keys (words) not already present in the dictionary. Hence, we must first check if a word is present (as shown earlier): if it is, we add one to its existing score, if it is not, we simply assign it a count of 1.

Test your function definition by applying it to file mobypara.txt (containing a short extract from *Moby Dick*). Print out the dictionary of counts it returns, to check that the results look okay.

Task 2: sorting and ranking

Next, define a function printTop20, which is given a dictionary of counts, and prints out the 20 words with the highest frequencies, e.g. so a call printTop20(counts) would print out the 20 words with the highest counts, in *descending* order of frequency, each along with its count (e.g. in the form "word = count", one word per line). Use file mobypara.txt for testing, and refer to the slides on "Sorting Dictionaries by Value" for help. When your definition works, apply it to the file mobydick.txt to determine the most common words in this large English text.

Task 3: stopwords

If your code works, you'll find the most common terms to be the, of, and, a, to, in, etc., which are common in all English texts. Such words are of little use for <u>discriminating</u> between texts on different topics (e.g. sport vs. politics), a fact addressed in language processing applications (e.g. information retrieval: the technology behind web browsers) by putting them into a list of so-called stopwords — words that are ignored during the general counting of words in texts.

The file stopwords.txt, provided with the other lab data files, contains a list of stopwords for English. Write a function readStopWords which reads in the words, and returns them as a list of strings. The function might be called (e.g.) as: stops = readStopWords('stopwords.txt') Warning: although the file has only one word per line, the lines of text that you read from it are not the correct strings for the words, because they include a final linebreak character that needs to be stripped off (e.g. using the ".strip()" method, as in: "word = line.strip()").

Having defined the readStopWords function, modify your definition of countWords so that it takes a second parameter — a list of stopwords — and then only counts words from the text that are not stopwords. (You can check that an item I is not in a list L with the test "I not in L".)

Apply your modified definition of **countWords** to the full *Moby Dick* text, whilst supplying it with a list of stopwords, and print out the top-ranked words of the text by frequency again. Compare this to the set of words produced *without* a stopword list (which you should be able to reproduce now by supplying your new function definition with an *empty* stopword list). The two sets should look very different. Which do you think better captures the 'topic' of the text?

Task 4: similarity

The lab data includes files george01.txt ... george04.txt, which are news articles (that have again been preprocessed), which each mention a George: two concerning the death of the famous footballer George Best; the other two another George. A key question is whether we can detect that two files share the same topic based on the words that they share.

We can measure *similarity* by computing a simple metric of *lexical overlap* that ignores the counts of the words in the texts, and instead simply asks what *proportion* of the *distinct words* found in either file are *shared*. To count the number of *shared* words, we can simply iterate over one dictionary (i.e. using a for-loop), and for each word test its presence in the second dictionary (running a count of the words found in both). We can find out how many words there are in a single dictionary by using the len function (e.g. so "len(d)" returns the number of keys in dictionary d). If we simply add together the sizes of the two dictionaries, then we end up counting those words that appear in both dictionaries *twice*. However, we can correct for this by *subtracting* our count of the words in the overlap. Thus, if our dictionaries d1 and d2 share N words, then our similarity score would be "N / (len(d1) + len(d2) - N)".

Define a function similarity, which takes two dictionaries of word counts as arguments, and computes the above measure of similarity. Apply your function to compute similarity scores for each pair of texts from the 'George' collection. Do the scores help identify the documents that share a topic? Are the scores better for this purpose when you do/do not use a list of stopwords?