## **Generalised Regression Models**

GRM: Problem Sheet 4 Semester 1, 2022–2023

Work on Questions 1 and 2 in the workshop.

1. Pairs of observations  $(x_i, y_i)$  (i = 1, ..., n) are made on an explanatory variable x and a response variable y. The first m values of  $x_i$  are less than some specified value z, and the remainder are greater than z. Hypothesis  $H_0$  states that (given the  $x_i$ ) the responses  $Y_i$  are independent and follow a simple linear regression model, so that  $Y_i$  has distribution  $N(\alpha + \beta x_i, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  are unknown; the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  states that the responses are Normally distributed with common unknown variance  $\sigma^2$  and

$$E(Y_i | x_i) = \alpha + \beta x_i$$
  $(i = 1, ..., m),$    
 $E(Y_i | x_i) = \alpha + \beta x_i + \delta(x_i - z)$   $(i = m + 1, ..., n),$ 

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  are unknown. Show that the expected response given x does not change at z but that its slope does. [This is called a 'segmented' or 'broken-stick' regression.]

Show how a Student-t statistic can be used to test  $H_0$  against  $H_1$ . What columns of explanatory variables would be used to estimate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\delta$ ?

2. The data shown below (and given in Barometer.txt) comprise 10 readings of an aneroid barometer (in mm) and corresponding readings of a mercury barometer (mm) and the temperature (°C) and humidity (%) at the time the two barometer readings were taken.

Aneroid barometer	Mercury barometer	Temperature	Humidity
749.0	744.4	10.0	69.1
746.0	741.3	6.2	48.3
756.0	752.7	6.3	50.0
758.9	754.7	5.3	62.7
751.7	747.8	4.8	60.0
757.5	754.0	3.8	31.3
752.4	747.8	17.1	71.4
752.5	748.6	22.2	25.6
752.2	747.7	20.8	30.7
759.5	755.6	21.0	40.2

The mercury barometer measures air pressure by the height of a column of mercury. The aneroid barometer uses the movement of the elastic top of a metal box containing a vacuum, but its scale is graduated in mm of mercury. A relation between their readings (possibly affected by other atmospheric variables) has been suggested based on fitting a regression model of the form

$$E(Y|x_1,x_2,x_3) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3, \tag{1}$$

where y,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  denote the four variables in the order given above, and  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  and  $\beta_3$  are unknown parameters.

NOTE: The residual sum of squares for the model is  $RSS_{full} = 0.675$ .

(a) Calculate the residual sum of squares for a simpler model which states that the expected aneroid barometer reading is *equal* to the mercury barometer reading,

$$E(Y_i|x_{i1},x_{i2},x_{i3})=x_{i1},$$

(no parameters to estimate), i.e. calculate  $RSS_{simple} = \sum_{i} (y_i - \widehat{y}_i)^2 = \sum_{i} (y_i - x_{i1})^2$ .

- (b) Using the extra sum of squares  $(RSS_{simple} RSS_{full})$  to compare the two models, examine whether the data are consistent with the model in which the expected aneroid barometer reading is equal to the mercury barometer reading.
- 3. It is believed that the response Y can be modelled in terms of an explanatory variable x by

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \beta_2 x_i^2 + \beta_3 x_i^3 + \varepsilon_i \quad (i = 1, ..., n)$$

where the  $\varepsilon_i$  are independently distributed as N(0, $\sigma^2$ ).

(a) By fitting the model,

$$E(Y_i) = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 \phi_1(x) + \gamma_2 \phi_2(x) + \gamma_3 \phi_3(x),$$

with explanatory variables  $\phi_0(x) = 1$ ,  $\phi_1(x) = x$ ,  $\phi_2(x) = x^2 - 4$ ,  $\phi_3(x) = x^3 - 7x$  (orthogonal polynomials), estimate the regression coefficients of the cubic model from the following data.

- (b) Use analysis of variance to suggest the most appropriate model for these data given that it is not more complex than the cubic model.
- 4. The tensile strength of steel is believed to depend upon the amounts present of three substances, A, B, and C. In a exploratory experiment, each of the substances is present at concentrations of either 4 parts per million (ppm) or 6 ppm. Two replicates were made of each treatment combination. The amounts present are denoted in the table by  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ , with the tensile strength being denoted by y.

$$x_1$$
 6 6 4 4 4 6 6 6 4  $x_2$  6 4 6 6 4 6 4 4  $x_3$  6 6 4 6 4 6 4 4 4  $x_3$  6 6 4 6 4 6 4 4 4 4 4  $x_4$  7 36 42 23 18 25 23 27 21 17 18 32 39 20 24 12 12

The 16 observations are independent of one another, and the model of interest is

$$E(Y) = \alpha + \beta(x_1 - 5) + \gamma(x_2 - 5) + \delta(x_3 - 5).$$

- (a) Obtain the design matrix for these data and hence obtain estimates of the parameters.
- (b) Provide a complete analysis of variance table for these data and determine whether there is any significant lack of fit to the proposed model.
- (c) Can any of the explanatory variables be omitted from the model?
- (d) Estimate the mean Y-value corresponding to the combination  $x_1 = 5$ ,  $x_2 = 6$ ,  $x_3 = 7$ , giving an estimate of the standard error of the prediction.

## FURTHER EXERCISES (WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES):

5. Suppose that, given the values  $x_i$  of an explanatory variable x, responses  $Y_i$  are uncorrelated and have expectations  $\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n). The variances of the  $Y_i$ , which need not be equal, may conveniently be denoted by  $w_i^{-1}$ . A suitable method for estimating the parameters  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  under these assumptions is weighted least squares, which minimizes the weighted sum of squares  $\sum_i w_i (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$  with respect to  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$ .

Show that the weighted least squares estimates  $\widehat{\beta}_0$ ,  $\widehat{\beta}_1$  satisfy the two equations

$$\sum_{i} w_{i} \widehat{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i} \widehat{\beta}_{1} = \sum_{i} w_{i} y_{i},$$
  
$$\sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i} \widehat{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i}^{2} \widehat{\beta}_{1} = \sum_{i} w_{i} x_{i} y_{i}.$$

6. Suppose that T denotes a statistic (such as a sample mean) which is based on n random variables and has a Normal distribution (at least approximately) with expectation  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2/n$ . If g is a differentiable function and the distribution of g(T) is required, then in principle this can be derived analytically, but it is often simpler to have a Normal approximation to it. Suppose that g(t) has a Taylor series expansion about  $\mu$  in which the first two terms are given by

$$g(t) = g(\mu) + (t - \mu)g'(\mu) + \dots$$

If the quadratic and higher-order terms in this expansion can be ignored (for large enough n), then g(T) is approximately equal to  $g(\mu) + (T - \mu)g'(\mu)$ , a linear function of T. Thus we might expect the distribution of g(T) to be roughly Normal with expectation  $g(\mu)$  and variance  $\{g'(\mu)\}^2 \sigma^2/n$ .

Let Y have the Binomial distribution  $\operatorname{Bi}(n,\theta)$  and T be the corresponding proportion of 'successes', equal to Y/n. Apply the above argument to show that the logit function  $\ln\left(\frac{T}{1-T}\right)$  of T has the approximate distribution  $N\left(\ln\left(\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}\right), \frac{1}{n\theta(1-\theta)}\right)$ .

7. For Example 1.6 of the Notes, assume that the probability  $\theta_i$  of death at dose  $d_i$  has the logistic form

$$\theta_i = \frac{\exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)}{1 + \exp(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)},$$

where  $x_i = \ln d_i$ , which may be expressed as

$$logit(\theta_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$$

where  $logit(\theta_i) = ln\left(\frac{\theta_i}{1-\theta_i}\right)$ .

Let  $r_i$  denote the number dead out of the  $n_i$  beetles given dose  $d_i$ ,  $p_i = \frac{r_i + \frac{1}{2}}{n_i + 1}$  (the proportion dead, modified to avoid values of 0 and 1) and

$$logit(p_i) = ln\left(\frac{p_i}{1 - p_i}\right).$$

Then, from Question 6,  $logit(p_i)$  has approximate expectation  $logit(\theta_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$  and variance  $\frac{1}{n\theta_i(1-\theta_i)}$ .

Use the weighted least squares method outlined in Question 5 in a linear regression of  $logit(p_i)$  on log dose to estimate  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  for the data in the file Beetles.txt, approximating the variance of the logits by  $w_i^{-1}$ , where  $w_i = [n_i p_i (1 - p_i)]$ .

8. Consider a simple linear regression model in which several values  $y_{j1}, y_{j2}, \ldots$  of the response are recorded at the *j*th value of an explanatory variable. Thus  $n_j$  responses  $y_{jk}$  correspond to one value  $x_j$  of the explanatory variable x ( $j = 1, 2, \ldots, g$ ;  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n_j$ ) and there are  $n = \sum_j n_j$  responses in all. The corresponding random variables  $Y_{jk}$  are assumed to be uncorrelated and to satisfy

$$E(Y_{jk}|x_j) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_j, \operatorname{var}(Y_{jk}|x_j) = \sigma^2.$$

Show that the least squares estimates  $\widehat{\beta}_0$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_1$  of  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  for this model satisfy the equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} \widehat{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} x_{j} \widehat{\beta}_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} \bar{y}_{j},$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} x_{j} \widehat{\beta}_{0} + \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} x_{j}^{2} \widehat{\beta}_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} n_{j} x_{j} \bar{y}_{j},$$

where  $\bar{y}_j$  denotes the mean  $n_j^{-1} \sum_k y_{jk}$  of the *j*th set of responses. Hence show that these estimates are equivalent to weighted least squares estimates as defined in Question 5, but with the responses  $y_i$  and weights  $w_i$  replaced by  $\bar{y}_j$  and  $n_j$  respectively.