

A Skeptic's Look at scalaz' Gateway Drugs



Brendan McAdams (@rit)

We are *not* using the "Zed" word.



We are *not* using the "Zed" word.
Ok, so I lied a little...



How I've Traditionally Seen scalaz

- In the past, I've seen scalaz as fairly intimidating
- People always spoke about it being more "pure"/"haskelly"/"mathy"
- I'll be the first to admit: I don't have a CS degree and sort of suck at math
- "What's wrong with what I have in standard Scala?!?"

The Reality about scalaz?



IT'S MAGIC

The Road to scalaz

- Once I got started, it was hard to stop
- The constructs are powerful and useful
- I am by *no means* an expert: just an excited amateur
- This is not a category theory or haskell talk: Let's be practical



A photograph of a man with long dark hair and a beard, wearing a blue cardigan over a dark t-shirt, smiling warmly at a young boy with blonde hair, who is wearing a white and red striped shirt. They are indoors, with a window featuring a grid pattern visible in the background.

The Road to scalaz

- I want you to learn:
 - "Hey! This stuff may be useful!"
- I am not going to teach you:
 - "A monad is a monoid in the category of endofunctors, what's the problem?"

The Road to scalaz

Problems to solve...

- Our API server was part of a larger Angular.js application: error passing was hard
 - Providing clear errors & validating input was a problem
 - 500s & generic exceptions complicate and frustrate frontend devs' debugging



Helping Developers Help Themselves

- An error occurred
 - API Received bad/invalid data? (e.g. JSON Failed to parse)
 - Database failed?
 - Hovercraft filled up with eels?
- What if multiple errors occurred?
- How do we communicate all of this effectively?



Scala's Either: The Limitations

- Scala's builtin **Either** is a commonly used tool, allowing **Left** and **Right** projections
- By convention *Left* indicates an error, while *Right* indicates a success
- Good concept, but there are some limitations in interaction

Scala's Either: The Limitations

```
scala> val success = Right("Success!")
success: scala.util.Right[Nothing, String] = Right(Success!)

scala> success.isRight
res2: Boolean = true

scala> success.isLeft
res3: Boolean = false

scala> for {
|   x <- success
| } yield x
<console>:10: error: value map is not a member of scala.util.Right[Nothing, String]
          x <- success
                  ^
```

Not a monad. Pain in the ass to extract.



Disjunctions as an Alternative

- `scalaz' \vee` (aka "Disjunction") is similar to "Either"
- By convention, the right is success and the left failure
 - The symbol `-\vee` is "left"
 - The symbol `\vee-` is "right"

Disjunctions as an Alternative

- Disjunctions assume we prefer success (the right)
- This is also known as "Right Bias"
- for comprehensions, map, and flatMap statements
 unpack where "success" \vee - continues, and "failure" $\neg\vee$
 aborts

Disjunctions as an Alternative

Best Practice

When declaring types, prefer infix notation, i.e.

```
def query(arg: String): Error \/ Success
```

over "standard" notation such as

```
def query(arg: String): \/[Error, Success]
```

```
import scalaz._
import Scalaz._

scala> "Success!".right
res7: scalaz.\/[Nothing,String] = \/-(Success!)

scala> "Failure!".left
res8: scalaz.\/[String,Nothing] = -\/(Failure!)
```

Postfix Operators (**.left** & **.right**) allow us to wrap an existing Scala value to a disjunction

```
import scalaz._
import Scalaz._

scala> \/.left("Failure!")
res10: scalaz.\/[String,Nothing] = -\/(Failure!)

scala> \/.right("Success!")
res12: scalaz.\/[Nothing,String] = \/-(Success!)
```

We can also invoke **.left** & **.right** methods on the Disjunction singleton for the same effect...

```
import scalaz._  
import Scalaz._  
  
scala> -\/( "Failure!" )  
res9: scalaz.-\[String] = -\/(Failure!)  
  
scala> \/-("Success!")  
res11: scalaz.\/-[String] = \/-(Success!)
```

... or go fully symbolic with specific constructors:

-\/
for left

\/-
for right

Digression: Scala Option

- Scala Option is a commonly used container, having a **None** and a **Some** subtype
- Like \vee it also has a bias towards "success": **Some**
- Comprehension over it has issues with "undiagnosed aborts"

```
case class Address(city: String)

case class User(first: String,
               last: String,
               address: Option[Address])

case class DBObject(id: Long,
                    user: Option[User])

val brendan =
  Some(DBObject(1, Some(User("Brendan", "McAdams", None))))

val someOtherGuy =
  Some(DBObject(2, None))
```

```
for {
    dao <- brendan
    user <- dao.user
} yield user

/* res13: Option[User] = Some(User(Brendan,McAdams,None)) */

for {
    dao <- someOtherGuy
    user <- dao.user
} yield user

/* res14: Option[User] = None */
```

What went wrong?

\vee to the Rescue

- Comprehending over groups of `Option` leads to "silent failure"
- Luckily, `scalaz` includes implicits to help convert a `Option` to a Disjunction
- \vee right bias makes it easy to comprehend
- On a `left`, we'll get potentially useful information instead of `None`



```
None \/> "No object found"
/* res0: scalaz.\/[String,Nothing] = -\/(No object found) */

None toRightDisjunction "No object found"
/* res1: scalaz.\/[String,Nothing] = -\/(No object found) */

Some("My Hovercraft Is Full of Eels") \/> "No object found"
/* res2: scalaz.\/[String, String] = \/-(My Hovercraft Is Full of Eels) */

Some("I Will Not Buy This Record It Is Scratched")
  .toRightDisjunction("No object found")
/* res3: scalaz.\/[String, String] =
 \/-(I Will Not Buy This Record, It Is Scratched) */
```

```
for {
    dao <- brendan \/> "No user by that ID"
    user <- dao.user \/> "Join failed: no user object"
} yield user
/* res0: scalaz.\/[String,User] = \/-(User(Brendan,McAdams,None)) */

for {
    dao <- someOtherGuy \/> "No user by that ID"
    user <- dao.user \/> "Join failed: no user object"
} yield user
/* res1: scalaz.\/[String,User] = -\/(Join failed: no user object) */
```

Suddenly we have much more useful failure information.

But what if we want to do something beyond
comprehensions?

Validation

- **Validation** looks similar to \vee at first glance
 - (And you can convert between them)
 - Subtypes are **Success** and **Failure**
- **Validation** is *not* a monad
- **Validation** is an *applicative functor*, and many can be chained together
- If any failure in the chain, failure wins: All errors get appended together



```
val brendanCA =
  DBObject(4,
    Some(User("Brendan", "McAdams",
      Some(Address("Sunnyvale")))))
)

val cthulhu =
  DBObject(5,
    Some(User("Cthulhu", "Old One",
      Some(Address("R'lyeh")))))
)

val noSuchPerson = DBObject(6, None)

val wanderingJoe =
  DBObject(7,
    Some(User("Wandering", "Joe", None)))
)
```

```
def validDBUser(dbObj: DBObject): Validation[String, User] = {
  dbObj.user match {

    case Some(user) =>
      Success(user)

    case None =>
      Failure(s"DBObject $dbObj does not contain a user object")

  }
}
```

```
validDBUser(brendanCA)
/* Success[User] */

validDBUser(cthulhu)
/* Success[User] */

validDBUser(noSuchPerson)
/* Failure("... does not contain a user object") */

validDBUser(wanderingJoe)
/* Success[User] */
```

```
def validAddress(user: Option[User]): Validation[String, Address] = {
  user match {

    case Some(User(_, _, Some(address))) if postOfficeValid(address) =>
      address.success

    case Some(User(_, _, Some(address))) =>
      "Invalid address: Not recognized by postal service".failure

    case Some(User(_, _, None)) =>
      "User has no defined address".failure

    case None =>
      "No such user".failure
  }
}
```

```
validAddress(brendanCA.user)
/* Success(Address(Sunnyvale)) */

// let's assume R'Lyeh has no mail carrier
validAddress(cthulhu.user)
/* Failure(Invalid address: Not recognized by postal
service) */

validAddress(noSuchPerson.user)
/* Failure(No such user) */

validAddress(wanderingJoe.user)
/* Failure(User has no defined address) */
```

Sticking it all together

- scalaz has a number of *applicative* operators to combine **Validation** results
- `*>` and `<*` are two of the ones you'll run into first
 - `*>` takes the right hand value and discards the left
 - `<*` takes the left hand value and discards the right
 - Errors "win"

```
1.some *> 2.some
/* res10: Option[Int] = Some(2) */

1.some <* 2.some
/* res11: Option[Int] = Some(1) */

1.some <* None
/* res13: Option[Int] = None */

None *> 2.some
/* res14: Option[Int] = None */
```

BUT: with **Validation** it will chain together *all* errors that occur instead of short circuiting

```
validDBUser(brendanCA) *> validAddress(brendanCA.user)
/* res16: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Success(Address(Sunnyvale)) */

validDBUser(cthulhu) *> validAddress(cthulhu.user)
/* res17: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(Invalid address: Not recognized by postal service) */

validDBUser(wanderingJoe) *> validAddress(wanderingJoe.user)
/* res19: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(User has no defined address) */

validDBUser(noSuchPerson) *> validAddress(noSuchPerson.user)
/* res18: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(DBObject DBObject(6,None) does not contain a user objectNo such user) */
```

Wait. WTF happened to that last one?

```

validDBUser(brendanCA) *> validAddress(brendanCA.user)
/* res16: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Success(Address(Sunnyvale)) */

validDBUser(cthulhu) *> validAddress(cthulhu.user)
/* res17: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(Invalid address: Not recognized by postal service) */

validDBUser(wanderingJoe) *> validAddress(wanderingJoe.user)
/* res19: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(User has no defined address) */

validDBUser(noSuchPerson) *> validAddress(noSuchPerson.user)
/* res18: scalaz.Validation[String,Address] =
Failure(DBObject DBObject(6,None) does not contain a user objectNo such user)*/

```

- The way `*>` is called on **Validation**, it appends all errors together...
- We'll need another tool if we want this to make sense

NonEmptyList

- NonEmptyList is a scalaz List that is guaranteed to have *at least one element*
- Commonly used with Validation to allow accrual of multiple error messages
- There's a type alias for Validation[NonEmptyList[L], R] of ValidationNEL[L, R]
- Like a list, *append* allows elements to be added to the end



```
def validDBUserNel(dbObj: DBObject): Validation[NonEmptyList[String], User] = {
  dbObj.user match {
    case Some(user) =>
      Success(user)

    case None =>
      Failure(NonEmptyList(s"DBObject $dbObj does not contain a user object"))
  }
}
```

We can be explicit, and construct a **NonEmptyList** by hand

```
def validAddressNel(user: Option[User]): ValidationNel[String, Address] = {
  user match {

    case Some(User(_, _, Some(address))) if postOfficeValid(address) =>
      address.success

    case Some(User(_, _, Some(address))) =>
      "Invalid address: Not recognized by postal service".failureNel

    case Some(User(_, _, None)) =>
      "User has no defined address".failureNel

    case None =>
      "No such user".failureNel
  }
}
```

Or we can use some helpers, calling `.failureNel`, and declaring a `ValidationNel` return type.

```
validDBUserNel(noSuchPerson) *> validAddressNel(noSuchPerson.user)
/* res20: scalaz.Validation[scalaz.NonEmptyList[String],Address] =
Failure(NonEmptyList(
  DBObject(6,None) does not contain a user object,
  No such user
))
*/
```

Now, we get a list of errors - instead of a globbed string

One Last Operator

- scalaz provides another useful applicative operator for us
- `|@|` combines all of the **Failure** and **Success** conditions
- To handle **Successes** we provide a **PartialFunction**



```
(validDBUserNel(brendanCA) |@| validAddressNel(brendanCA.user)) {  
  case (user, address) =>  
    s"User ${user.first} ${user.last} lives in ${address.city}"  
}  
  
// "User Brendan McAdams lives in Sunnyvale"
```

Our other users will return an **NEL** of errors, like with *->

```
(validDBUserNel(noSuchPerson) |@| validAddressNel(noSuchPerson.user)) {  
  case (user, address) =>  
    s"User ${user.first} ${user.last} lives in ${address.city}"  
}  
  
// Failure(  
//   NonEmptyList(DBObject(DBObject(6, None) does not contain a user object,  
//                         No such user))
```

noSuchPerson gets a combined list

One last *function*: Error Handling

- Dealing sanely with errors is always a challenge
- There are a few ways in the Scala world to avoid try/catch, such as `scala.util.Try`
- scalaz' \backslash offers the Higher Order Function `fromTryCatchThrowable`, which catches any specific exception, and returns a Disjunction
- You specify your return type, the type of exception to catch, and your function body...

```
"foo".toInt

/* java.lang.NumberFormatException: For input string: "foo"
   at java.lang.NumberFormatException.forInputString ...
   at java.lang.Integer.parseInt(Integer.java:492)
   at java.lang.Integer.parseInt(Integer.java:527) */
```

Here's a great function to wrap...

```
\/.fromTryCatchThrowable[Int, NumberFormatException] {
  "foo".toInt
}

/* res9: scalaz.\/[NumberFormatException,Int] =
 - \/(java.lang.NumberFormatException:
    for input string: "foo") */
```

Note the reversed order of arguments: **Right type, then Left type**

```
\/.fromTryCatchThrowable[Int, Exception] {
    "foo".toInt
}

/* res9: scalaz.\/[NumberFormatException,Int] =
 - \/(java.lang.NumberFormatException:
    for input string: "foo") */
```

We can also be "less specific" in our exception type to catch
more

```
\/.fromTryCatchThrowable[Int, java.sql.SQLException] {
  "foo".toInt
}

/*
java.lang.NumberFormatException: For input string: "foo"
  at java.lang.NumberFormatException.forInputString(NumberFormatException.j
  at java.lang.Integer.parseInt(Integer.java:580)
  at java.lang.Integer.parseInt(Integer.java:615)
...
*/
```

Our exception type *matters*: if an Exception doesn't match it
will still be thrown

```
\/.fromTryCatchNonFatal[Int] {
  "foo".toInt
}
/* res14: scalaz.\/[Throwable,Int] =
 -\/(java.lang.NumberFormatException:
    For input string: "foo") */
```

There is also `\.tryCatchNonFatal` which will
catch *anything* classified as `scala.util.control.NonFatal`

Final Thought: On Naming

- From the skeptical side, the common use of symbols gets... interesting
- Agreeing on names - at least within your own team - is important
- Although it is defined in the file "Either.scala", calling `\V` "Either" gets confusing vs. Scala's builtin `Either`
- Here's a few of the names I've heard used in the community for `|@|` (There's also a unicode alias of `\circledast`)

Oink



Cinnabon/Cinnamon Bun



Chelsea Bun / Pain aux Raisins



Tie Fighter



Princess Leia



Admiral Ackbar



Scream



Scream 2?



Home Alone



Pinkie Pie





WOULD YOU LIKE TO KNOW MORE?

Some Resources...

- Eugene Yokota's free website, "Learning Scalaz"
 - <http://eed3si9n.com/learning-scalaz/>
- Learn some Haskell! I really like "Learn You A Haskell For Great Good" by Miran Lipovača
 - <http://learnyouahaskell.com>

Questions?