



# Moving to CI/CD

The case for Continuous Integration and Continuous Deployment



# Continuous Integration (CI)



- ❑ CI refers to the practice of several developers merging working copies of code to a shared source with regularity, often several times per day
- ❑ Every time code is merged it is run through a rigorous screening process that builds the code, tests the code, and frequently performs analysis of vulnerabilities in code
- ❑ Leads to less time spent fixing issues from new code
- ❑ Fewer bugs make the transition to production leading to less rework
- ❑ Leads to lower testing time and faster delivery
- ❑ Catches security vulnerabilities that can be costly



# Continuous Deployment (CD)

- ❑ CD refers to a software approach where deployments are automated and frequent
- ❑ Every process needed to deploy the software fits here such as provisioning infrastructure like servers, moving the necessary files, rolling back code, promoting software to production and verification testing.
- ❑ Yields faster deployments through a reduction in configuration errors
- ❑ Lowers cost of unused resources through automating infrastructure removal
- ❑ Features are released more rapidly as generating more business value
- ❑ Fewer engineers involved in deployment leading to lower costs
- ❑ Quick to return to working production state when failures occur



# Conclusion



- ❑ Utilizing both CI/CD includes has the potential to dramatically reduce
  - ❑ Infrastructure costs
  - ❑ Time to deliver features
  - ❑ Time spent fixing bugs
  - ❑ Number of release engineers
- ❑ It will limit the ability of engineers to manually push to production.  
Ultimately, this should be a small cost relative to the overwhelming benefits provided