

**DETERMINATION OF FLAME CHARACTERISTICS IN
A LOW SWIRL BURNER AT GAS TURBINE
CONDITIONS THROUGH REACTION ZONE IMAGING**

A Dissertation
Presented to
The Academic Faculty

by

Karthik Periagaram

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Doctor of Philosophy in the
Guggenheim School of Aerospace Engineering

Georgia Institute of Technology
December 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	LSB Flame Characteristics	1
1.1	Effect of reference velocity	1
1.2	Effect of preheat temperature	3
1.3	Effect of equivalence ratio	3
1.4	Effect of swirler vane angle	4
1.5	Effect of pressure	4
1.6	Flame structure	4

CHAPTER 1

LSB FLAME CHARACTERISTICS

In Chapter FIXME, we introduced the salient features of the Low Swirl Burner (LSB) flow field and discussed the mechanisms by which the LSB flame is stabilized. Further, various characteristics of the LSB flame that can be measured from flame images were outlined. To recapitulate, these are the flame location, flame shape and the flame structure. The first two are quantified by the flame standoff distance, X_f , and the flame angle, θ_f , respectively.

In the same chapter, we introduced the four flow parameters that describe an operating condition for the LSB — the combustor pressure, p , the preheat temperature, T , the mass-averaged inlet velocity (also called the reference velocity, U_0 , and the equivalence ratio of the premixed reactants, ϕ . We further introduced a geometric parameter — the angle of the vanes of the swirler, α , which affects the amount of swirl present in the flow field.

The LSB flame is imaged over a range of operating conditions and the effect of flow and geometric parameters on the reacting flow field is investigated. This results of the investigation are presented in this chapter.

1.1 Effect of reference velocity

In typical gas turbine applications, varying the loading on the engine does not affect the reference velocity. However, since the reference velocity is a design parameter, the effect it has on the flame characteristics has implications for the design of future LSB-based gas turbine engines.

One of the key objectives of this thesis is to investigate how the LSB flame stabilization operates at high pressure conditions. The simple model described earlier

predicts a self-similar flow field for the LSB at all reference velocities. This implies that the reference velocity will have no discernible impact on the flame standoff distance. This result is very desirable for gas turbine designers, since the flame location and shape can be assumed to be constant. Limited testing conducted in earlier works confirms this behavior at atmospheric pressure conditions with no preheat.

In order to verify the validity of this model at high pressure conditions in the presence of substantial preheat, the LSB was operated at a pressure of 6 atm over a range of reference velocities from 10 m/s to 40 m/s. For these tests, the S_{37° swirler was used. In a parallel series of tests, the S_{45° swirler was tested at a pressure of 3 atm at a reference velocities of 40 and 80 m/s. The location of the flame was measured from CH* chemiluminescence images and the results are presented in Figure FIXME.

There is essentially no systematic variation in the flame standoff distance or the flame angle for the low velocity, S_{37° tests. The increase in reference velocity continues to produces a concomitant increase in the turbulent flame speed at the flame stabilization location, negating any change in the flame's location. In other words, the flow field appears to retain its self-similarity, even at elevated pressures and temperatures.

However, when the S_{45° swirler was tested at higher reference velocities, the flame location shifted downstream sharply. This indicates potential limitations to the simple flame stabilization model that may not predict the behavior of the LSB flame at elevated pressures and temperatures, particularly at high reference velocities.

To examine the probable cause of this limitation more closely, consider the effect of increasing the reference velocity on the turbulent combustion regime where the LSB combustor operates. Previous studies have primarily operated the LSB in the flamelet regime where the modified Damköhler model predicts the behavior of the turbulent flame speed with reasonable fidelity. At elevated pressures, both the laminar flame speed of the reactants, S_L and the flame thickness, δ_f are diminished. This places the operating regime higher and more to the right on a Borghi diagram, as shown

in Figure FIXME. While previously, increasing the reference velocity did not affect
the turbulent combustion regime, at elevated pressures, the flame is more likely to
transition into the thin reaction zone. This transition causes a drop-off in the S_T/S_L
plot and the turbulent flame speed no longer increases in step with the increased levels
of turbulence. This results in the observed downstream shift of the high pressure LSB
flame at high reference velocities.

1.2 Effect of preheat temperature

1.3 Effect of equivalence ratio

The LSB is primarily intended for fuel-lean operation in order to utilize its low NO_x
emission performance. As a result, most of the testing was done as close to the target
 ϕ of 0.56 as possible. However, limited testing was done at 12 atm at both a slightly
rich ($\phi \approx 0.58$) and a slightly lean ($\phi \approx 0.53$) condition to explore the sensitivity of
the LSB flame to limited changes in equivalence ratio. The corresponding averaged
and Abel-deconvoluted flame images are presented in Figure FIXME.

Two characteristics of the flame are immediately obvious from these images. First,
the zone of heat release, marked by the region from which CH^* chemiluminescence
is observed, is increasingly compact at fuel-rich conditions. Virtually all other flame
images acquired at a leaner condition show a long flame, with the heat release dis-
tributed over the entire visible area of the combustor. The compactness of the heat
release zone indicates potentially poor NO_x performance at these conditions. Second,
the fuel-rich flame brush can be observed to wrap around and anchor itself on the
dump plane. This is particularly observable in the Abel-deconvoluted image. The at-
tached region is not as bright as the rest of the flame brush, indicating that the flame
may be attaching itself intermittently. This intermittent behavior can be confirmed
from the instantaneous images where it is visible on some of the acquired images, but

not others. 77

A traditional swirl combustor anchors an attached flame at the base aided by the 78
feedback of heat and radicals from the flame through the toroidal recirculation zone. 79
The behavior of the fuel-rich LSB flame at high pressure thus indicates the increased 80
importance of the toroidal recirculation zone in stabilizing the flame. 81

1.4 Effect of swirler vane angle 82

1.5 Effect of pressure 83

1.6 Flame structure 84