

Probability and Computing, 2nd Edition

Solutions to Chapter 5: Balls, Bins, and Random Graphs

Hahndeul Kim

July 2025

5.1

As $(1 + 1/n)^n$ increases, we find the smallest n to reach the threshold. $(1 + 1/n)^n$ first reaches $0.99e$ at $n = 50$, and $0.999999e$ at $n = 499982$. Since $(1 - 1/n)^n$ also increases, we solve in a similar way. $(1 - 1/n)^n$ first reaches $0.99/e$ at $n = 51$ and $0.999999/e$ at $n = 499991$.

5.2

Recall the formula used in the birthday paradox: If there are N possibilities, then we solve for the smallest n that satisfies $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \frac{i}{N}) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{-i/n} = e^{-(n-1)n/2N} < 1/2$. Note that we omitted the final approximation to derive exact numerical answers.

Regardless of whether the number of Social Security number digits is 9 or 13, using the last four digits gives $N = 10000$ and this gives $n = 119$.

In the case where the number of digits is 9 ($N = 10^9$), we get $n = 37234$.

In the case where the number of digits is 13 ($N = 10^{13}$), we get $n = 3723298$.

5.3

Let the number of balls thrown be m . Then the desired probability is $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{i}{n})$.

We first determine c_1 . $m = c_1\sqrt{n}$ should satisfy $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{i}{n}) \leq \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} e^{-i/n} = e^{-(m-1)m/2n} \leq e^{-1}$. Since $(m-1)m = c_1^2 n - c_1\sqrt{n} \geq 2n$, $(c_1^2 - 2)\sqrt{n} \geq c_1$.

Therefore, we choose c_1 that is greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} + 8} \right)$.

Now we determine c_2 . To use the given hint, assume that $2m < n$.

$\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (1 - \frac{i}{n}) \geq \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \exp(-\frac{i}{n} - \frac{i^2}{n^2}) = \exp(-\frac{m(m-1)}{2n} - \frac{(m-1)m(2m-1)}{6n^2})$
 $= \exp(-\frac{m(m-1)}{2n}(1 + \frac{2m-1}{3n})) \geq \exp(-\frac{m^2}{2n}(1 + \frac{2m}{3n})) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ should be satisfied for $m = c_2\sqrt{n}$. This is equivalent to satisfying $\frac{c_2^2}{2}(1 + \frac{2c_2}{3\sqrt{n}}) \leq \ln 2$.

Since n is sufficiently large, choosing $c_2 = \sqrt{2 \ln 2 - \frac{1}{\ln n}}$ yields the desired result.

5.4

Let event A indicate that there exist two or more people who share a birthday, and event B indicate that exactly two people share a birthday. Then our desired probability would be $\Pr(A - B) = \Pr(A) - \Pr(B)$ since $B \subset A$.

We first determine $\Pr(A)$, which is easy: $\Pr(A) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{100} \frac{366-i}{365}$.

We now determine $\Pr(B)$. If there are i shared birthdays in which each day is

shared by exactly two people, then the number of possible permutations would be the product of the following terms:

$\binom{365}{i}$ ways to choose i shared days, $\binom{100}{2i}$ ways to choose $2i$ people to share birthdays, $\prod_{j=1}^i \binom{2j}{2}$ ways to distribute i birthdays to $2i$ people and $\prod_{j=1}^{100-2i} (366 - i - j)$ ways to distribute unique birthdays to the rest.

Thus, $\Pr(B) = \sum_{i=0}^{50} \frac{365!100!}{i!(100-2i)!(265+i)!2^i} \times \frac{1}{365^{100}}$.

Therefore, we can determine our desired probability $\Pr(A-B) = \Pr(A) - \Pr(B)$.

5.5

Let $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Then $M_X(t) = \mathbf{E}[e^{tX}] = e^{\lambda(e^t-1)}$ holds. By computing the second derivative of $M_X(t)$ with respect to t and plugging $t = 0$ in, we get $\mathbf{E}[X^2] = \lambda + \lambda^2$. Thus, $\mathbf{Var}[X] = \lambda$ follows.

5.6

We first show that $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu p)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(Y = k) &= \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \Pr(X = i) \binom{i}{k} p^k (1-p)^{i-k} = \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^i}{i!} \frac{i!}{k!(i-k)!} p^k (1-p)^{i-k} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\mu} (\mu p)^k}{k!} \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu(1-p))^{i-k}}{(i-k)!} = \frac{e^{-\mu} (\mu p)^k}{k!} e^{\mu(1-p)} = \frac{e^{-\mu p} (\mu p)^k}{k!}. \end{aligned}$$

We can also similarly show that $Z \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu(1-p))$.

Now we show that $\Pr(Y = i, Z = j) = \Pr(Y = i) \Pr(Z = j)$. Note that $X = Y + Z$ by definition. This allows us to write $\Pr(Y = i, Z = j)$ as $\Pr(Y = i, X = i + j) = \Pr(X = i + j) \binom{i+j}{i} p^i (1-p)^j = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^{i+j}}{i!j!} p^i (1-p)^j$.

Since $\Pr(Y = i) \Pr(Z = j) = \frac{e^{-\mu p} (\mu p)^i}{i!} \frac{e^{-(1-p)\mu} ((1-p)\mu)^j}{j!} = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^{i+j} p^i (1-p)^j}{i!j!} = \Pr(Y = i, X = i + j)$, $Y \perp\!\!\!\perp Z$. ■

5.7

We first prove that $\ln(1+x) \leq x$, which is equivalent to $1+x \leq e^x$.

Since $\ln(1+x) - x = -\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots$, this can be seen as an alternating series as $\frac{x^n}{n}$ is monotonically decreasing in $|x| \leq 1$. We can apply rearrangements to the alternating series as $\ln(1+x) - x = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x^{2n}}{2n} - \frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1} \right)$, since the Taylor expansion of $\ln(1+x)$ is absolutely convergent (to $e^x - 1$). The rearrangement gives $\ln(1+x) - x \leq 0$, which is the desired result.

We now prove $x + \ln(1-x^2) \leq \ln(1+x)$, which is equivalent to $e^x(1-x^2) \leq 1+x$. Since $\ln(1-x^2) = \ln(1+x) + \ln(1-x)$, $x + \ln(1-x^2) \leq \ln(1+x)$ is reduced to $\ln(1-x) \leq -x$. At $|x| \leq 1$, this is equivalent to $\ln(1+x) \leq x$, which we have previously proved. ■

5.8