

A Viscous Regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model **feels like we should try to add low-Mach in the title.**

Marc O. Delchini^a, Jean C. Ragusa^{*,a}, Ray A. Berry^b

^a*Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843,
USA*

^b*Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, USA*

Abstract

In this paper, a viscous regularization is derived for the seven-equation two-phase flow model. The regularization ensures positivity of the entropy residual, uniqueness of the weak solution is consistent with the viscous regularization for Euler equations when one phase disappears, and does not depend on the spatial discretization scheme chosen. We also show that the viscous regularization is compatible with the generalized Harten entropies.

Key words: two-phase flow model, viscous regularization, artificial dissipative method, low-Mach regime, shocks

1. Introduction

Compressible two-phase fluid flows are found in numerous industrial applications. Their numerical solution is an ongoing area of research in modeling and simulation. A variety of two-phase models, with different levels of complexity, has been developed; for instance, the five-equation model of Kapila [1], the six-equation model [2], and more recently the Seven-Equation Model (SEM)[3]. These models are all obtained by integrating the one-phase flow balance equations weighed by a characteristic or indicator function for each phase. The resulting system of equations contains non-conservative terms and relaxation terms that describe the interaction between phases, supplemented by an equation for the void fraction. The systems of two-phase flow equations are usually solved using discontinuous discretization schemes (finite volume and discontinuous Galerkin approaches). By assuming that the system of equations is hyperbolic, a Riemann solver could be used but is often ruled out because of its complexity due to the number of equations involved. Instead, approximate

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `delchinm@email.tamu.edu` (Marc O. Delchini), `jean.ragusa@tamu.edu` (Jean C. Ragusa), `ray.berry@inl.gov` (Ray A. Berry)

16 Riemann solvers, a well-established approach for single-phase flows, are em-
 17 ployed [?] **add citations pertaining to discretizations of 2-phase**, while ensuring
 18 the correct low-Mach asymptotic limit and deriving a consistent discretization
 19 scheme for the non-conservative terms [] **add the specific papers about low-mach**
 20 **and non-conserv terms here** [4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

21 In this paper, we derive a viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation two-
 22 phase flow Model of [3]. The foundation for this work can be traced back to
 23 viscous regularizations for single-phase Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, no-
 24 tably [?] and the references therein. The proposed viscous regularization
 25 for the SEM is consistent with the entropy minimum principle and Harten’s
 26 generalized entropies. In addition, we ensure that the regularization scales ap-
 27 propriately in the low-Mach regime as such situations are often encountered
 28 in practical applications; the two-phase low-Mach asymptotic study determines
 29 conditions that need to be satisfied by the artificial dissipative terms to yield a
 30 well-scaled regularization in the low-Mach case [10].

31 One of the key aspects of the viscous regularization derived here is that it
 32 is agnostic of the spatial discretization scheme, unlike approximate Riemann
 33 solvers. Therefore, this viscous regularization can be employed to stabilized
 34 numerical scheme both continuous and discontinuous discretizations. For ex-
 35 amples of prior applications to the single-phase Euler equations, we refer the
 36 reader to [11, 12].

37 The remainder of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, the Seven-equation
 38 two-phase flow Model is recalled along with its main properties. The viscous
 39 regularization is derived in Section 3 and a low-Mach asymptotic study of the
 40 regularized equations is performed in Section 4. Finally, we conclude in Sec-
 41 tion ?? where we outline possible uses of **finish**.

42 2. The Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model

The Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model employed in this paper is ob-
 tained by assuming that each phase satisfies the single-phase Euler equations
 (with phase-exchange terms) and by integrating the latter over a control volume
 after multiplication by a phasic characteristic function. The detailed derivation
 can be found in [3] and we recall the SEM governing equations for phase k in
 interaction with phase j . In the SEM, each phase obeys the following mass,
 momentum and energy balance equations, supplemented by a non-conservative
 equation for the void fraction:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_k A}{\partial t} + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) - \frac{\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} A_{int} A}{\rho_{int}}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u} A)_k = -\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} A_{int} A, \quad (1b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + P \mathbb{I})_k] &= P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + P_k \alpha_k \nabla A \\ &+ A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) - \Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} A_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} A, \quad (1c) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho E)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A (\rho E + P)_k] &= P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \\ &+ A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} A_{int} \left(\frac{P_{int}}{\rho_{int}} - H_{k,int} \right) A, \quad (1d) \end{aligned}$$

where α_k , ρ_k , \mathbf{u}_k and E_k denote the void fraction, the density, the velocity vector and the total specific energy of phase k , respectively. The phasic pressure P_k is computed from an equation of state. The cross section of the geometry is denoted by A and is only spatially dependent. A is present for completeness of the presentation and is set to 1 for most applications; however, nozzle flow problems can be solved using the one-dimensional version of the equation and setting A to the cross-sectional area of the nozzle. The interfacial pressure and velocity and their corresponding average values are denoted by P_{int} , \mathbf{u}_{int} , \bar{P}_{int} and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}$, respectively; they are defined in Eq. (2). [I do not know if we should keep the mass, momentum and energy exchange terms in the equations since they are not included in the derivations of the viscous regularization.](#)

$$P_{int} = \bar{P}_{int} + \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k), \quad (2a)$$

$$\bar{P}_{int} = \frac{Z_j P_k + Z_k P_j}{Z_k + Z_j}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{int} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_j - P_k}{Z_k + Z_j}, \quad (2c)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} = \frac{Z_k \mathbf{u}_k + Z_j \mathbf{u}_j}{Z_k + Z_j}. \quad (2d)$$

The interfacial specific total enthalpy of phase k , $H_{k,int}$, is defined as $H_{k,int} = h_{k,int} + 0.5 \|\mathbf{u}_{int}\|^2$, where $h_{k,int}$ is the phasic specific enthalpy evaluated at the interface conditions (P_{int} and $T_{int} = T_{sat}(\bar{P}_{int})$). Following [3], the pressure and velocity relaxation coefficients, μ_P and λ_u respectively, are function of the acoustic impedance $Z_k = \rho_k c_k$ and the specific interfacial area A_{int} as shown in Eq. (3).

$$\mu_P = \frac{A_{int}}{Z_k + Z_j}, \quad (3a)$$

$$\lambda_u = \frac{1}{2} \mu_P Z_k Z_j. \quad (3b)$$

⁴³ The specific interfacial area (i.e., the interfacial surface area per unit volume of
⁴⁴ a two-phase mixture), A_{int} , is typically dependent upon flow regime conditions
⁴⁵ and can be provided as a correlation. In [3], A_{int} is chosen to be a function of

46 the liquid void fraction:

$$A_{int} = A_{int}^{max} \left[6.75 (1 - \alpha_{liq})^2 \alpha_{liq} \right], \quad (4)$$

with $A_{int}^{max} = 5100 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$. With this definition, the interfacial area is zero in the limits $\alpha_k = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 1$. Lastly, $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j}$ is the net mass transfer rate per unit interfacial area from phase k to phase j **not the opposite?** **done**. Its expression, given in Eq. (5), is obtained by considering a vaporization/condensation process that is dominated by heat diffusion at the interface [3, 13]:

$$\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} = \frac{h_{T,k} (T_k - T_{int}) + h_{T,j} (T_j - T_{int})}{L_v (T_{int})}, \quad (5)$$

47 where $L_v (T_{int}) = h_{j,int} - h_{k,int}$ represents the latent heat of vaporization. The
 48 interface temperature is determined by the saturation constraint $T_{int} = T_{sat}(P)$
 49 with the appropriate pressure $P = \bar{P}_{int}$ defined previously. The interfacial heat
 50 transfer coefficients for phases k and j are denoted by $h_{T,k}$ and $h_{T,j}$, respectively,
 51 and are computed from correlations [3].

The set of equations satisfied by phase j are simply obtained by substituting k by j and j by k in Eq. (1), keeping the same definition of the interfacial variables and noting that $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} = -\Gamma_{j \rightarrow k}$. The equation for the void fraction of phase j is simply replaced by the algebraic relation

$$\alpha_j = 1 - \alpha_k,$$

52 which reduces the number of partial differential equations from eight to seven
 53 and yields the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model.

Some properties of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model are discussed next. A set of $5 + 2\mathbb{D}$ (with \mathbb{D} the geometry dimension) waves is present in the model: two acoustic waves per phase, one contact wave per phase per domain dimension, and one void fraction wave propagating at the interfacial velocity \mathbf{u}_{int} . These waves (eigenvalues of the Jacobian for the inviscid flux terms) and as follows for each phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{1,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} - c_k \\ \lambda_{2,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} + c_k \\ \lambda_{2+d,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \text{ for } d = 1 \dots \mathbb{D} \\ \lambda_{3+\mathbb{D}} &= \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

54 where $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ is a unit vector pointing to a given direction. The eigenvalues given
 55 in Eq. (6) are unconditionally real (as long as the equation of state yields a real-
 56 valued sound speed). Having real eigenvalues is an extremely valuable property
 57 for the development of numerical methods since it ensures that the system of
 58 equations is hyperbolic and well-posed.

59 One may relax the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model to the ill-posed
 60 classical six-equation model, where a single pressure is used for both phases; this

is accomplished by letting the pressure relaxation coefficient μ_P become very large, i.e., by letting it approach infinity. Note that as the pressure relaxation coefficient increases, so does the velocity relaxation coefficient λ_u ; see Eq. (3). However, the six-equation model only relaxes the pressure parameter of the SEM and results in an ill-posed system of equations that can present unstable numerical solutions with sufficiently fine spatial resolution [3, 14]. If one lets both the pressure and the velocity relaxation parameters tend to infinity, this further relaxes the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model to the hyperbolic and well-posed mechanical equilibrium five-equation model of Kapila [1].

Next, we consider the SEM model with pressure and velocity relaxation but omit phase exchange terms ($\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j} = 0$):

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_k A}{\partial t} + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j), \quad (7a)$$

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u} A)_k = 0, \quad (7b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + P \mathbb{I})_k] &= P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + P_k \alpha_k \nabla A \\ &+ A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k), \end{aligned} \quad (7c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho E)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A (\rho E + P)_k] &= P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \\ &+ A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k). \end{aligned} \quad (7d)$$

An entropy equation can be derived for each phase k of system Eq. (7) and the sign of the entropy material derivative can be proved positive. The entropy function for a phase k is denoted by s_k and a function of density ρ_k and internal energy e_k . The full derivation is given in Appendix A and only the final result is recalled here:

$$\begin{aligned} (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 + \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 \\ &\frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\frac{D(\cdot)}{Dt} = \partial_t(\cdot) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla(\cdot)$ is the material derivative. The partial derivative of the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal energy e_k , $(s_e)_k$, is shown to be proportional to the inverse of the temperature of phase k , as in the case of the single phase Euler equations [11, 15]. The right-hand side of Eq. (8) is unconditionally positive since all terms are squared and thus, is used to demonstrate the entropy minimum principle. Furthermore, Eq. (8) is valid

for both phases $\{k, j\}$ and ensures positivity of the total entropy equation that is obtained by summation over the phases:

$$\sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = \sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A (\partial_t s_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla s_k) \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

Note that when one phase disappears, Eq. (9) degenerates to the single-phase entropy equation obtained from Euler equations [3, 15].

3. A viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model

We now propose to derive a viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model given in Eq. (1) by using the same methodology as for the multi-dimensional Euler equations with/without variable area [11, 10]. The method consists in adding dissipative terms to the system of equation under consideration, and re-derive the entropy equation whose sign is known to be positive to ensure uniqueness of the numerical solution [16]. Because of the addition of dissipation terms, the entropy equation is modified and contains extra terms of yet unknown sign. By carefully choosing a definition for each of the dissipation term, the sign of the entropy equation can be determined and proved positive. For the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model, derivation of a viscous regularization can be achieved by considering either the phasic entropy equation (Eq. (8)) or the total entropy equation (Eq. (9)). In the later case, the entropy minimum principle is verified for the whole system which may not ensure positivity of the entropy equation for each phase. However, positivity of the total entropy equation can be also achieved by assuming that the entropy minimum principle holds for each phase. This stronger requirement will also ensure consistency with the single phase Euler equations when one of the phase disappears in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$. Thus, it is chosen to work with the phasic entropy equations given in Eq. (8).

For the purpose of this section, the system of equations given in Eq. (10) is considered, which is obtained by simply omitting the mass source terms (terms proportional to $\Gamma_{k \rightarrow j}$) in Eq. (1).

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \quad (10a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = 0 \quad (10b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (10c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ A P_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} A (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (10d)$$

In order to apply the entropy viscosity method, dissipation terms are added to each equation yielding:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (11a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k \quad (11b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_k \end{aligned} \quad (11c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P A \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + \\ A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{h}_k + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (11d)$$

where \mathbf{f}_k , \mathbf{g}_k , \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{l}_k are phasic viscous terms to be determined. The next step consists in deriving the entropy equation for the phase k , on the same model as what was done in Appendix A but with dissipative terms now present. The steps are as follows:

1. derive the phasic density and internal energy equations from Eq. (11).
2. assuming that the phasic entropy, s_k , is a function of density, ρ_k and internal energy, e_k , derive the entropy equation by using the chain rule:

$$\frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_\rho)_k \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + (s_e)_k \frac{De_k}{Dt} \quad (12)$$

The terms $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_\rho)_k$ denote the partial derivative of the entropy s_k with respect to e_k and ρ_k , respectively.

3. isolate the terms of interest and choose an appropriate expression for each of the dissipation terms in order to ensure positivity of the new entropy residual.

We first derive the phasic density equation for the primitive variable ρ_k by combining Eq. (11a) and Eq. (11b) to obtain:

$$\alpha_k A \left[\partial_t \rho_k + (\mathbf{u}_k - \underline{\mathbf{u}}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \rho_k \right] = \underline{\underline{A \rho_k \mu_P (P_k - P_j)}} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (13)$$

In order to derive the phasic internal energy equation, the phasic velocity equation is obtained by subtracting the phasic density equation from the phasic momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_k] + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \mathbb{I}) = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

After multiplying Eq. (14) by the phasic velocity vector \mathbf{u}_k , the resulting phasic kinetic energy equation is subtracted from the phasic total energy equation to obtain the internal energy equation for phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}_k] + \alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \nabla \mathbf{u}_k = \\ \underline{\underline{P_{int} A (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \alpha_k P_k \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A}} \\ \underline{\underline{-\bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)}} \\ + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathfrak{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + \|\mathbf{u}\|_k^2 \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The underline terms in Eq. (13) and Eq. (15) yield the positive terms in the right-hand-side of Eq. (8) and thus are ignored in the remainder of this derivation for brevity. The phasic entropy equation is now obtained by combining the phasic density equation (Eq. (13)) and the phasic internal energy equation (Eq. (15)) through the chain rule given in Eq. (12) to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (\rho s_\rho)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k] + \\ (s_e)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathfrak{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + (\|\mathbf{u}\|_k^2 - e_k) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k], \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where it was assumed that the entropy of phase k satisfies the second thermodynamic law:

$$T_k ds_k = de_k - P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2}, \quad (17a)$$

which implies

$$P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k = 0, \quad (17b)$$

$$(s_e)_k = T_k^{-1} \text{ and } (s_\rho)_k = -(s_e)_k P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2}.$$

Following the methodology applied in [11, 10], the right-hand side of Eq. (16) can be further simplified by using the following expression for the dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k , \mathfrak{g}_k and \mathbf{h}_k :

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k + \rho_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (18a)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_k = \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) + \mathbf{f}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k \quad (18b)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k, \quad (18c)$$

where μ_k is a positive viscosity coefficient for phase k . Note the area function A in the definition of \mathfrak{g}_k . Substituting the expression of the dissipative terms

given in Eq. (18) into Eq. (16) yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \left[(s_e)_k \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + \left(e_k (s_e)_k - \rho_k (s_\rho)_k \right) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k \right]}_{\mathcal{R}_0} \\
&\quad \underbrace{(s_e)_k \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_1} - \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \cdot \nabla (s_e)_k - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k \cdot \nabla [(e s_e)_k - (\rho s_\rho)_k]}_{\mathcal{R}_2} + \\
&\quad \underbrace{(s_e)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k e_k \mathbf{l}_k) - (s_e)_k e_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) - \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_3}. \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

We now split the right-hand-side of Eq. (19) into three residuals denoted by \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 and we study the sign of each of them. Since $(s_e)_k$ is defined as the inverse of the temperature and thus is positive, the sign of the first term, \mathcal{R}_1 , is conditioned by the choice of the function $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ so that the product with the tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}_k$ is positive. As in [11, 10], $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ is chosen proportional to the symmetric gradient of the velocity vector $\nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k$, whose entries are given by $((\nabla^s \mathbf{u})_{i,j})_k = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_i} u_j + \partial_{x_j} u_i)_k$. With such a choice, the viscous regularization is also rotationally invariant. After a few lines of algebra, the third term \mathcal{R}_3 can be recast as a function of the gradient of the entropy as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \rho_k A \mathbf{l}_k \cdot \nabla s_k. \quad (20)$$

115 One of the assumptions made in the entropy minimum principle is that the
 116 entropy is at a minimum which implies that its gradient is null. Because of
 117 this, it follows that the term \mathcal{R}_3 is zero at the minimum and thus, the entropy
 118 minimum principle is verified independently of the definition of the dissipation
 119 term \mathbf{l}_k used in the void fraction equation Eq. (11a). It will be explained later
 120 in this section how to obtain a definition for \mathbf{l}_k .

We now focus on the term denoted by \mathcal{R}_2 , which is identical to the right-hand-side of the single phase entropy equation for Euler equations (see [11, 10]). Thus, the term \mathcal{R}_2 is known to be positive when (i) assumes concavity of the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal energy e_k and the specific volume $1/\rho_k$ (or convexity of $-s_k$) and (ii) chooses the following definitions for the dissipative terms $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k \quad (21a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k, \quad (21b)$$

121 where κ_k is another positive viscosity coefficient. In addition, using Eq. (21a),
 122 the term \mathcal{R}_0 can be recast as a function of the phasic entropy as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \nabla s_k) \quad (22)$$

The entropy equation can now be written in its final form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla s_k) \\
&\quad - \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k, \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{Q}_k is a negative semi-definite quadratic form under the assumption of s_k being concave with respect to e_k and $1/\rho_k$, and defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}_k &= X_k^t \Sigma_k X_k \\ \text{with } X_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \rho_k \\ \nabla e_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Sigma_k = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_k^{-2} \partial_{\rho_k} (\rho_k^2 \partial_{\rho_k} s_k) & \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k \\ \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k & \partial_{e_k, e_k} s_k \end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Eq. (23) is used to prove the entropy minimum principle: assuming that s_k reaches its minimum value in $\mathbf{r}_{min}(t)$ at each time t , the gradient, ∇s_k , and Laplacian, Δs_k , of the entropy are null and positive at this particular point, respectively. Furthermore, it is recalled that the viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k are positive by definition. Then, because the terms in the right-hand-side of Eq. (23) are proven either positive or null when the entropy reaches a minimum value, the entropy minimum principle holds for each phase k , **independently of the definition of the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k** , such as:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0$$

[Do we need to make the above statement a theorem or property?](#)

It remains to obtain a definition for the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k used in the void fraction equation Eq. (11a). A way to achieve this is to consider the void fraction equation, by itself and notice that it is an hyperbolic equation with eigenvalue \mathbf{u}_{int} . An entropy equation can be derived and used to prove the entropy minimum principle by properly choosing the dissipative term. The objective is to ensure positivity of the void fraction and also uniqueness of the weak solution. Following the work of Guermond et al. in [17, 18], it can be shown that a dissipative term ensuring positivity and uniqueness of the weak solution for the void fraction equation, is of the form $\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k$, where β_k is a positive viscosity coefficient. The dissipative term is proportional to the area A for consistency with the other terms of the void fraction equation Eq. (11a).

All of the dissipative terms are now defined and recalled here:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k \quad (24a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \rho_k A \mathbf{l}_k \quad (24b)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \alpha_k A \mu_k \rho \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k \quad (24c)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k + \mathbf{u}_k : \mathbf{g}_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (24d)$$

At this point, some remarks are in order:

1. The dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k requires the definition of a new viscosity coefficient β_k . It was shown that this viscosity coefficient is independent of the other viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k . Its definition should account for the eigenvalue \mathbf{u}_{int} and the entropy equation associated with the void fraction equation.

2. The dissipative term \mathbf{f}_k is a function of \mathbf{l}_k . Thus, all of the other dissipative terms are also functions of \mathbf{l}_k .
3. The partial derivatives $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_{\rho_k})_k$ can be computed using the definition provided in Eq. (17a) and are functions of the phasic thermodynamic variables: pressure, temperature and density.
4. All of the dissipative terms are chosen to be proportional to the void fraction α_k and the cross-sectional area A , except the one in the void fraction equation that is only proportional to A . For instance, $\alpha_k A \nabla \rho_k$ is the flux of the dissipative term in the continuity equation through the pseudo-area, $\alpha_k A$, seen by the phase k . When one of the phases disappears, the dissipative terms must go to zero for consistency. On the other hand, when α_k goes to one, the single-phase Euler equations with variable area and with proper viscous regularization must be recovered.
5. By choosing $\beta_k = \mu_k = \kappa_k$ and $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$, the dissipative terms collapse as follows:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_x \nabla \alpha_k] \quad (25a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho)_k] \quad (25b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k] \end{aligned} \quad (25c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \\ + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho E)_k] , \end{aligned} \quad (25d)$$

to yield a viscous regularization that is analogous to the parabolic regularization for Euler equations [19]. Note that by choosing $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$, the above viscous regularization is no longer rotationally invariant.

6. Compatibility of the viscous regularization proposed in Eq. (24) with the generalized entropies identified in Harten et al. [20] is demonstrated in Appendix B.

7. We could add a paragraph explaining that the above viscous regularization can also be used for the five-equation model of Kapila with some very light modifications.

At this point in the paper, we have derived a viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model that ensures positivity of the entropy residual, uniqueness of the numerical solution when assuming concavity of the phasic entropy s_k , and is consistent with the viscous regularization derived for the multi-dimensional Euler equations [11, 10] in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$. The viscous regularization involves a set of three viscosity coefficients for each phase, μ_k , κ_k and β_k , that are assumed positive. Definition of the viscosity coefficients should be devised from the scaled SEM in order to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms for a wide range of Mach numbers (subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows).

Remark. Through the derivations of the viscous regularization, it was noted that another set of dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k and \mathbf{l}_k would also ensure positivity of the entropy residual:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k T_k \left[\frac{\rho_k}{P_k + \rho_k e_k} \nabla \left(\frac{P_k}{\rho_k e_k} \right) - \frac{1}{P_k} \nabla \rho_k \right] \quad (26a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \frac{\rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k}{(\rho s_\rho - e s_e)_k} \mathbf{l}_k \quad (26b)$$

183 However, the definition of \mathbf{l}_k proposed in Eq. (26a) was not considered as valid
 184 for the following reasons: positivity of the void fraction cannot be achieved and
 185 the parabolic regularization is not retrieved when assuming equal viscosity coef-
 186 ficients.

187 4. The scaled Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with viscous reg- 188 ularization

189 When working with artificial dissipative numerical stabilization methods,
 190 great care needs to be carried to the definition of the viscosity coefficients that
 191 will determine the accuracy of the method. Generally speaking, sufficient arti-
 192 ficial viscosity should be added into the shock and discontinuity regions to pre-
 193 vent spurious oscillations from forming, while little dissipation is added when
 194 the numerical solution is smooth to ensure high-order accuracy. In addition,
 195 the low-Mach asymptotic limit also has to be accounted for in the definition of
 196 the viscosity coefficients in order to recover the incompressible asymptotic equa-
 197 tions [21, 22, 23]. The purpose of this section is to derive the scaled SEM and
 198 investigate the scaling of the dissipative terms to ensure well-scaled dissipative
 199 terms for all-Mach flows (subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows). First, the
 200 scaled SEM are derived and then, two limit cases (a) and (b) will be considered
 201 to determine appropriate scaling for the entropy viscosity coefficients so that
 202 the dissipative terms remain well-scaled for: (a) the isentropic low-Mach limit
 203 where the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model degenerate to an incompress-
 204 ible system of equations in the low-Mach limit and (b) the non-isentropic limit
 205 with formation of shocks. Finally, for each case the scaling of the numerical
 206 adimensional numbers will be given. Also, because each phase can experience
 207 different flow regime e.g., supersonic gas and subsonic liquid, it is chosen to work
 208 with three distinct viscosity coefficients for each phase. The study is performed
 209 on the multi-dimensional version of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model
 210 with the Stiffened Gas Equation of State (SGEOS) given in Eq. (27).

$$P_k = (\gamma_k - 1) \rho_k e_k - \gamma_k P_{k,\infty} \quad (27)$$

211 4.1. Derivation of the scaled Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model

We consider the case where the relaxation coefficients are set to zero: the two phases do not interact and the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model degenerates into two sets of Euler equations with a pseudo cross-section $\alpha_k A$. The

first step in the study of the two limit cases (a) and (b) is to re-write each system of equations in a non-dimensional manner. To do so, the following variables are introduced for each phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k^* &= \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{k,\infty}}, \quad u_k^* = \frac{\mathbf{u}_k}{u_{k,\infty}}, \quad P_k^* = \frac{P_k}{\rho_{k,\infty} c_{k,\infty}^2}, \quad E_k^* = \frac{E_k}{c_{k,\infty}^2}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{L_\infty}, \\ t_k^* &= \frac{t_k}{L_\infty / u_{k,\infty}}, \quad \mu_k^* = \frac{\mu_k}{\mu_{k,\infty}}, \quad \kappa_k^* = \frac{\kappa_k}{\kappa_{k,\infty}}, \quad P_{int}^* = \frac{P_{int}}{P_{int,\infty}}, \\ u_{int}^* &= \frac{\mathbf{u}_{int}}{u_{int,\infty}}, \quad \bar{P}_{int}^* = \frac{\bar{P}_{int}}{\bar{P}_{int,\infty}}, \quad \bar{u}_{int}^* = \frac{\bar{u}_{int}}{\bar{u}_{int,\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where the subscript ∞ denote the far-field or stagnation quantities and the superscript $*$ stands for the non-dimensional variables. The far-field reference quantities are chosen such that the dimensionless flow quantities are of order 1. The stagnation quantities for the pressure and velocity interfacial variables will be specified for each case. The reference phasic Mach number is given by

$$M_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty}}{c_{k,\infty}}. \quad (29)$$

Because we consider that phases do not interact with each other, it is assumed that the interfacial pressure and velocity scale as the phasic pressure and velocity, respectively: $P_{int,\infty} = \rho_{k,\infty} c_{k,\infty}^2$ and $u_{int,\infty} = u_{k,\infty}$. Under these assumptions, the interfacial pressure and velocity are simply replaced by P_k and \mathbf{u}_k in the equations. Then, the system of equations with viscous regularization becomes:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \cdot \alpha_k = \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (30a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k) + \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (30b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k)] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_k A \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot (A \mu_k \alpha_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k) + \\ \nabla \cdot (A \kappa_k \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \rho_k) + \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (30c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_k A \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot (A \kappa_k \alpha_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k)) + \nabla \cdot \left(A \kappa_k \alpha_k \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \nabla \rho_k \right) + \\ \nabla \cdot (A \mu_k \alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k e_k \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (30d)$$

Then using the scaling introduced in Eq. (28), the scaled equations for the phase k with viscous regularization are:

$$\partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k A)^* + A^* \mathbf{u}_k^* \cdot \nabla^* \alpha_k^* = \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla^* \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla^* \alpha_k)^* \quad (31a)$$

$$\partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k \rho_k A)^* + \nabla \cdot^* (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A)^* = \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla \cdot^* (A \kappa_k \nabla^* \rho_k)^* + \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla \cdot^* (A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla^* \alpha_k)^* \quad (31b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A)^* + \nabla \cdot^* [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k)]^* + \frac{A \alpha_k^*}{M_{k,\infty}^2} \nabla^* P_k^* = \\ \frac{1}{M_{k,\infty}^2} \alpha_k^* P_k^* \nabla^* A^* + \frac{1}{M_{k,\infty}^2} P_k^* A^* \nabla^* \alpha_k^* + \frac{1}{\text{Re}_{k,\infty}} \nabla \cdot^* (A \alpha_k \mu_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k)^* \\ + \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla \cdot^* (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla^* \rho_k)^* + \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla \cdot^* (A \beta_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \alpha_k)^* \end{aligned} \quad (31c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^* A^* [\partial_t (\rho_k E_k) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla^* (\rho_k E_k)]^* + \alpha_k \nabla \cdot^* (A \mathbf{u}_k P_k) + \rho_k^* E_k^* \alpha_k^* \nabla \cdot^* (\mathbf{u}_k A)_k^* = \\ \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla \cdot^* (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k))^* + \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla \cdot^* \left(A \alpha_k \kappa_k \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \nabla \rho \right)^* + \\ \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Re}_{k,\infty}} \nabla \cdot^* (A \alpha_k \mu_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k)^* + \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla (\rho_k e_k)^* \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)^* \\ - \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \rho_k \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \nabla \cdot (\beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (31d)$$

where the phasic numerical Reynolds ($\text{Re}_{k,\infty}$) and Péclet ($\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$) numbers are defined as:

$$\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\mu_{k,\infty}}, \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\kappa_{k,\infty}} \text{ and } \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\beta_{k,\infty}}. \quad (32)$$

Note that the phasic energy equation was recast under a non-conservative form by using the void fraction equation (Eq. (31a)) to facilitate the derivations when trying to recover the divergence constraint onto the velocity in the low-Mach asymptotic regime. The numerical Reynolds and Péclet numbers defined in Eq. (32) are related to the phasic entropy viscosity coefficients $\mu_{k,\infty}$, $\kappa_{k,\infty}$ and $\beta_{k,\infty}$. Thus, once a scaling (in powers of $M_{k,\infty}$) is obtained for $\text{Re}_{k,\infty}$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$ in the two limit cases (a) and (b), it will impose a condition onto the definition of the phasic viscosity coefficients μ_k , κ_k and β_k . For brevity, the superscripts $*$ are omitted in the remainder of this section.

4.2. Scaling of $\text{Re}_{k,\infty}$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$ in the low-Mach asymptotic regime (case (a))

In the low-Mach isentropic limit, the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model converges to an incompressible system of equations, that is characterized for each phase with pressure fluctuations of order $M_{k,\infty}^2$ and the divergent constraint on the velocity: $\nabla \cdot (A \mathbf{u}_k) = 0$. When adding dissipative terms, as is the case with

the entropy viscosity method, the main properties of the low-Mach asymptotic limit must be preserved. We begin by expanding each variable in powers of the Mach number. As an example, the expansion for the pressure is given by:

$$P_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = P_{k,0}(\mathbf{r}, t) + P_{k,1}(\mathbf{r}, t)M_{k,\infty} + P_{k,2}(\mathbf{r}, t)M_{k,\infty}^2 + \dots \quad (33)$$

By studying the resulting momentum equations for various powers of M_∞ , it is observed that the leading- and first-order pressure terms, $P_{k,0}$ and $P_{k,1}$, are spatially constant if and only if $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$. In this case, we have at order $M_{k,\infty}^{-2}$:

$$\nabla P_{k,0} = 0 \quad (34a)$$

and at order $M_{k,\infty}^{-1}$

$$\nabla P_{k,1} = 0. \quad (34b)$$

From Eq. (34) we infer that the leading- and first-order pressure terms are spatially independent which ensures pressure fluctuations of order Mach number square, as expected in the low-Mach asymptotic limit. Using the scaling $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$, the second-order momentum equations and the leading-order expressions for the void fraction, continuity and energy equations are:

$$\partial_t (A\alpha_k)_0 + \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0} = \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \quad (35a)$$

$$\partial_t (A\alpha_k \rho_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k)_0 = \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \quad (35b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k A \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k)_0 + A\alpha_k \nabla P_{k,2} = \\ \nabla \cdot [A\alpha_k (\mu_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k + \kappa_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \rho_k)]_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \rho_k \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \end{aligned} \quad (35c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k,0} A [\partial_t (\rho_k E_k) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla (\rho_k E_k)]_0 + \alpha_{k,0} \nabla \cdot [A\mathbf{u}_k P_k]_0 + \\ \alpha_{k,0} \rho_{k,0} E_{k,0} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = \nabla \cdot [A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k)] \\ + A\beta_{k,0} \nabla (\rho_k e_k)_0 \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0} \end{aligned} \quad (35d)$$

where the notation $(fg)_0$ means that we only keep the 0th-order terms in the product fg . The set of equations given in Eq. (35) are similar to the multi-dimensional single-phase Euler equations with variable area when seeing $A\alpha_k$ as a pseudo-area [10]. The leading-order of the Stiffened Gas Equation of State (Eq. (27)) is given by

$$P_{k,0} = (\gamma_k - 1)\rho_{k,0}E_{k,0} - \gamma P_{k,\infty} = (\gamma_k - 1)\rho_0 e_{k,0} - \gamma_k P_{k,\infty}. \quad (36)$$

Using Eq. (36), the energy equation can be recast as a function of the leading-order pressure, P_0 , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A\alpha_{k,0} [\partial_t (P_k) + (\gamma_k - 1)\mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla P_k]_0 + \\ (\gamma_k - 1)\alpha_{k,0} \nabla \cdot [A\mathbf{u}_k P_k]_0 + \alpha_{k,0} (P_{k,0} + \gamma_k P_{k,\infty}) \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = \\ [\nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (P_k))_0 + A\beta_{k,0} \nabla P_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0}] . \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

254 From Eq. (34a), we infer that P_0 is spatially constant. Thus, Eq. (37) becomes

$$\frac{A}{\gamma(P_{k,0} + P_{k,\infty})} \frac{dP_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 \quad (38)$$

255 and, at steady state, we have

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = 0. \quad (39)$$

256 That is, the leading-order of the product of velocity and cross section is divergence-
 257 free which corresponds to what is obtained when dealing with the multi-dimensional
 258 Euler equations with variable area. Note that when assuming a constant cross
 259 section A , the usual divergence constraint, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k,0}$ is recovered. Also, Eq. (38)
 260 is slightly modified due to the use of the Stiffened Gas Equation of State in the
 261 asymptotic limit. However, the Ideal Gas Equation of State degenerates from
 262 the Stiffened Gas Equation of State by simply setting $P_{k,\infty} = 0$ which yields the
 263 usual leading-order single-phase energy equation with constant cross section:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma P_{k,0}} \frac{dP_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \quad (40)$$

The same reasoning can be applied to the leading-order of the continuity equation (Eq. (35b)) to show that the material derivative of the density variable is stabilized by well-scaled dissipative terms:

$$\left. \frac{D\alpha_k \rho_k}{Dt} \right|_0 := \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho)_0 + \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k)_0 = \frac{1}{A} \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho + A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla \alpha_k]_0. \quad (41)$$

264 Therefore, we conclude that by setting the Reynolds and Péclet numbers to
 265 one, the incompressible fluid results are retrieved in the low-Mach limit when
 266 employing the compressible Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with viscous
 267 regularization and without relaxation terms.

268 4.3. *Scaling of $Re_{k,\infty}$, $Pe_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $Pe_{k,\infty}^\beta$ for non-isentropic flows (case (b))*

Next, we consider the non-isentropic case. Recall that even subsonic flows can present shocks (for instance, a step initial condition in the pressure will trigger shock formation, independently of the Mach number). The non-dimensional form of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model given in Eq. (31) provides some insight on the dominant terms as a function of the Mach number. This is particular obvious in the momentum equation, Eq. (31c), where the gradient of pressure is scaled by $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$. In the non-isentropic case, we no longer have $\frac{\nabla P_k}{M_{k,\infty}^2} = \nabla P_{k,2}$ and therefore the pressure gradient term may need to be stabilized by some dissipative terms of the same scaling so as to prevent spurious oscillations from forming. By inspecting the dissipative terms presents in the momentum equation, having a dissipative term that scales as $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$ leads

to a total of eight different options. Only three of them are investigated for brevity (note that the five other options can be ruled out by following the same reasoning as what is done next):

- (i) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = 1$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = M_{k,\infty}^2$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = 1$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = M_{k,\infty}^2$ or
- (iii) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = M_{k,\infty}^2$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$.

Any of these choices will also affect the stabilization of the void fraction, continuity and energy equations. For instance, using Péclet numbers equal to $M_{k,\infty}^2$ may effectively stabilize the void fraction and continuity equations in the shock region but this may also add an excessive amount of dissipation for subsonic flows at the location of the contact wave. Such a behavior may not be suitable for accuracy purpose, making options (i) and (ii) inappropriate. The same reasoning, left to the reader, can be carried out for the energy equation (Eq. (31d)) and results in the same conclusion. The remaining choice, option (iii), has the proper scaling: in this case, only the dissipation terms involving $\nabla^{s,*} \mathbf{u}_k^*$ scale as $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$ since $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = M_{k,\infty}^2$, leaving the regularization of the void fraction and continuity equations unaffected because $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$. [I feel we need another short section to explain how the two above limit cases can be merge into one](#)

5. Conclusions

We derived a viscous regularization for the well-posed Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model that ensures positivity of the entropy residual, uniqueness of the numerical solution when assuming concavity of the phasic entropy s_k , is consistent with the viscous regularization derived for the multi-dimensional Euler equations in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$ and does not depend on the scheme discretization. It was also shown that the viscous regularization is compatible with the generalized Harten entropies that were initially derived for Euler equations. The viscous regularization involves a set of three positive viscosity coefficients for each phase, β_k , μ_k and κ_k that are defined from the scaled SEM to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms. We introduced three numerical non-dimensionalized numbers for each phase, Re_k , Pé_k^μ and Pé_k^κ and devised their scaling in two cases: the low-Mach asymptotic limit and for non-isentropic flows. In the later case, it was demonstrated that the incompressible system of equations is recovered when assuming that all of the non-dimensionalized numbers scale as one. The study of the former case showed that the scaling of the Péclet numbers remain the same whereas the scaling of the Reynolds number Re_k has to be modified and set to M_k^2 to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms in the phasic momentum equations. Because the numerical non-dimensionalized numbers are related to the scaling of the phasic viscosity coefficients, the above scaling should be used either to assess the accuracy of the viscosity coefficient definitions or derive definition for the viscosity coefficients.

Deriving a definition for the phasic viscosity coefficients should rely on existing numerical methods for scalar and system of hyperbolic equations. For instance, it is known that artificial dissipative methods are used to solved for Euler equations: Lapidus [24, 25], pressure-based [26] and entropy-based [17, 12] numerical methods. Once a definition for the viscosity coefficients is derived and found consistent with the scaling of the numerical non-dimensionalized numbers, the numerical methods can be tested by solving two-phase shock tubes using various discretization methods. Note that the viscous regularization proposed in this paper is discretization independent.

References

- [1] A. K. Kapila, R. Menikoff, J. B. Bdzil, S. F. Son, D. S. Stewart, Two-phase modeling of deflagration-to-detonation transition in granular materials, *Phys. Fluids* (2001) 3002–3024.
- [2] I. Toumi, An upwind numerical method for two-fluids two-phases flow models, *Nucl. Sci. Eng.* (1996) 147–168.
- [3] R. Berry, R. Saurel, O. LeMetayer, The discrete equation method (dem) for fully compressible, two-phase flows in ducts of spatially varying cross-section, *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 240 (2010) 3797–3818.
- [4] R. Saurel, R. Abgrall, A multiphase godunov method for compressible multifluid and multiphase flows, *J. Comput Physics* (2001) 425–267.
- [5] R. Saurel, O. Lemetayer, A multiphase model for compressible flows with interfaces, shocks, detonation waves and cavitation, *J. Comput Physics* (2001) 239–271.
- [6] Q. Li, H. Feng, T. Cai, C. Hu, Difference scheme for two-phase flow, *Appl Math Mech* (2004) 536.
- [7] A. Zein, M. Hantke, G. Warnecke, Modeling phase transition for compressible two-phase flows applied to metastable liquids, *J. Comput Physics* (2010) 2964.
- [8] A. Ambroso, C. Chalons, P.-A. Reviart, A godunov-type method for the seven-equation model of compressible multiphase mixtures, *Comput. Fluids* (2012) 67–91.
- [9] R. Abgrall, How to prevent pressure oscillations in multicomponent flow calculations: a quasi conservative approach, *J. Comput. Phys* (2002) 125–150.
- [10] M. Delchini, J. Ragusa, R. Berry, Entropy-based viscosity regularization for the multi-dimensional euler equations in low-mach and transonic flows, under review.

- 341 [11] J. L. Guermond, B. Popov, Viscous regularization of the euler equations
342 and entropy principles, under review.
- 343 [12] V. Zingan, J. L. Guermond, J. Morel, B. Popov, Implementation of the
344 entropy viscosity method with the discontinuous galerkin method, *Journal*
345 *of Comput. Phys* 253 (2013) 479–490.
- 346 [13] R. A. Berry, M. Delchini, J. Ragusa, Relap-7 numerical stabilization: En-
347 tropy viscosity method, Tech. Rep. INL/EXT-14-32352, Idaho National
348 Laboratory, USA (2014).
- 349 [14] J. M. Herrard, O. Hurisse, A simple method to compute standard two-fluid
350 models, *Int. J. of Computational Fluid Dynamics* 19 (2005) 475–482.
- 351 [15] M. Delchini, Extension of the entropy viscosity method to multi-d euler
352 equations and the seven-equation two-phase model, Tech. rep., Texas A&
353 M University, USA (2014).
- 354 [16] R. Leveque, *Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws*, Birkhuser Basel,
355 Zurich, Switzerland, 1990.
- 356 [17] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for nonlinear con-
357 servation laws, *Journal of Comput. Phys* 230 (2011) 4248–4267.
- 358 [18] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for high-order ap-
359 proximations of conservation laws, *Lecture Notes in Computational Science*
360 *and Engineering* 76 (2011) 411–418.
- 361 [19] B. Perthane, C. W. Shu, On positivity preserving finite volume schemes for
362 euler equations, *Numer. Math.* 73 (1996) 119–130.
- 363 [20] A. Harten, L. P. Franca, M. Mallet, Convex entropies and hyperbolicity for
364 general euler equations, *SIAM J Numer Anal* 6 (1998) 2117–2127.
- 365 [21] H. Guillard, C. Viozat, On the behavior of upwind schemes in the low mach
366 number limit, *Computers & Fluids* 28 (1999) 63–86.
- 367 [22] E. Turkel, Preconditioned techniques in computational fluid dynamics,
368 *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 31 (1999) 385–416.
- 369 [23] J. S. W. D. L. Darmofal, J. Peraire, The solution of the compressible euler
370 equations at low mach numbers using a stabilized finite element algorithm,
371 *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 190 (2001) 5719–5737.
- 372 [24] A. Lapidus, A detached shock calculation by second order finite differences,
373 *J. Comput. Phys.* 2 (1967) 154–177.
- 374 [25] J. Donea, A. Huerta, *Finite Element Methods for Flow Problems*, Oxford
375 University Press, 2003.
- 376 [26] R. Lohner, *Applied CFD Techniques: an Introduction Based on Finite*
377 *Element Methods*, Wiley, Oxford, England, 2003.

378 **Appendix A Entropy equation for the multi-dimensional seven equation**
 379 **model without viscous regularization**

This appendix provides the steps that lead to the derivation of the phasic entropy equation of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model [3]. For the purpose of this appendix, two phases are considered and denoted by the indexes j and k . In the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model, each phase obeys to the following set of equations (Eq. (42)):

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \quad (42a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = 0 \quad (42b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (42c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (42d)$$

380 where ρ_k , \mathbf{u}_k , E_k and P_k are the density, the velocity, the specific total energy
 381 and the pressure of phase k , respectively. The pressure and velocity relaxation
 382 parameters are denoted by μ_P and λ_u , respectively. The variables with subscript
 383 $_{int}$ correspond to the interfacial variables and a definition is given in Eq. (43).
 384 The cross section A is only function of space: $\partial_t A = 0$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{int} = \bar{P}_{int} - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j) \\ \bar{P}_{int} = \frac{Z_k P_j + Z_j P_k}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ \mathbf{u}_{int} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_k - P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} = \frac{Z_k \mathbf{u}_k + Z_j \mathbf{u}_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \end{array} \right. \quad (43)$$

385 where $Z_k = \rho_k c_k$ and $Z_j = \rho_j c_j$ are the impedance of phases k and j , respec-
 386 tively. The speed of sound is denoted by the symbol c . The function $sgn(x)$
 387 returns the sign of the variable x .

388 The first step consists of rearranging the equations given in Eq. (43) using the
 389 primitive variables $(\alpha_k, \rho_k, \mathbf{u}_k, e_k)$, where e_k is the specific internal energy of
 390 k^{th} phase. We introduce the material derivative $\frac{D(\cdot)}{Dt} = \partial_t(\cdot) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla(\cdot)$ for
 391 simplicity.

392 The continuity equation is modified as follows:

$$\alpha_k A \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + \rho_k A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \rho_k A (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \rho_k \alpha_k \nabla \cdot (A \mathbf{u}_k) = 0 \quad (44)$$

393 The momentum and continuity equations are combined to yield the velocity
394 equation:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{D\mathbf{u}_k}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A P_k) = \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \quad (45)$$

The internal energy is obtained by subtracting the total energy from the kinetic equation defined as \mathbf{u}_k . Eq. (45):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{De_k}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A P_k) - \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla (\alpha_k A P_k) &= P_{int} A (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k \\ &\quad - \alpha_k P_k \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

395 In the next step, we assume the existence of a phase wise entropy s_k function
396 of density ρ_k and internal energy e_k . Using the chain rule,

$$\frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_\rho)_k \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + (s_e)_k \frac{De_k}{Dt}, \quad (47)$$

397 along with the internal energy (Eq. (46)) and the continuity equations (Eq. (44)),
398 the following entropy equation is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} + A \underbrace{(P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k) \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \alpha_k (P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k) \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A}_{(a)} &= \\ (s_e)_k P_{int} A [(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)] &- \\ \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k [\mu_P A (P_k - P_j) + A (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k] & \quad (48) \end{aligned}$$

399 where $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_\rho)_k$ denote the partial derivatives of the entropy s_k with
400 respect to the internal energy e_k and the density ρ_k , respectively. The second
401 term, (a), in the left hand side of Eq. (48) can be set to zero by assuming the
402 following relation between the partial derivatives of the entropy s_k :

$$P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k = 0. \quad (49)$$

403 The above equation is equivalent to the application of the second thermody-
404 namic law when assuming reversibility:

$$T_k ds_k = de_k - \frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} d\rho_k \text{ with } (s_e)_k = \frac{1}{T_k} \text{ and } (s_\rho)_k = -\frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} (s_e)_k \quad (50)$$

405 Thus, equation Eq. (48) can be rearranged using the relation $(s_\rho)_k = -\frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} (s_e)_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((s_e)_k)^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k \frac{Ds}{Dt} &= \underbrace{[P_{int} (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) + P_k (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})] \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}_{(b)} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mu_P (P_k - P_j) (P_k - \bar{P}_{int})}_{(c)} + \underbrace{\lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)}_{(d)} \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

406 The right hand side of equation Eq. (51) is split into three terms (b), (c) and (d)
 407 that will be dealt with separately. The terms (c) and (d) can be easily recast
 408 by using the definitions of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}$ and \bar{P}_{int} given in equation Eq. (43):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_P(P_k - P_j)(P_k - \bar{P}_{int}) &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 \\ \lambda_u(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) &= \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2\end{aligned}\quad (52)$$

409 By definition, μ_P , λ_u and Z_k are all positive. Thus, the above terms (c) and
 410 (d) are unconditionally positive.
 411 It remains to look at the last term (b). Once again, by using the definition of
 412 \bar{P}_{int} and \mathbf{u}_{int} , and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k &= \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_k - P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ P_{int} - P_k &= \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k) - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j),\end{aligned}$$

413 term (b) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}[P_{int}(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) + P_k(\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})] \cdot \nabla \alpha_k &= (P_{int} - P_k)(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = \\ &= \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \nabla \alpha_k \cdot \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)(P_j - P_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} Z_j^2 (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j)^2 + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|^2} (P_k - P_j) Z_j (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

The above equation is factorized by $\|\nabla \alpha_k\|$ and then recast under a quadratic form using $\frac{\nabla \alpha_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|^2} = 1$. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)P_{int} + (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})P_k] \nabla \alpha_k &= \\ \|\nabla \alpha_k\| \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2\end{aligned}\quad (54)$$

Thus, using Eq. (51), Eq. (52), Eq. (53) and Eq. (54), the entropy equation obtained in [3] holds and is recalled here for convenience:

$$\begin{aligned}(s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 + \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2.\end{aligned}$$

414 **Appendix B Compatibility of the viscous regularization for the seven-** 415 **equation two-phase model with the generalized Harten** 416 **entropies**

417 We investigate in this appendix whether the viscous regularization of the
 418 seven-equation two-phase model derived in Section 3 is compatible with some

419 or all generalized entropy identified in Harten et al. [20]. Considering the single-
 420 phase Euler equations, Harten et al. [20] demonstrated that a function $\rho\mathcal{H}(s)$
 421 is called a generalized entropy and strictly concave if \mathcal{H} is twice differential and

$$\mathcal{H}'(s) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{H}'(s)c_p^{-1} - \mathcal{H}'' \geq 0, \quad \forall (\rho, e) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad (55)$$

422 where $c_p(\rho, e) = T\partial_T s(\rho, e)$ is the specific heat at constant pressure (T is a
 423 function of e and ρ through the equation of state). Because the seven-equation
 424 two-phase model was initially derived by assuming that each phase obeys the
 425 single-phase Euler equation, we want to investigate whether the above property
 426 still holds when considering the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with vis-
 427 cious regularization. To do so, we consider a phasic generalized entropy, $\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$
 428 and a phasic specific heat at constant pressure, $c_{p,k}(\rho_k, e_k) = T_k\partial_{T_k} s_k(\rho_k, T_k)$
 429 characterized by Eq. (55). The objective is to find an entropy inequality verified
 430 by $\rho_k\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$.

We start from the entropy inequality verified by s_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla s_k) \\ &\quad - \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Eq. (56) is multiplied by $\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k)$ to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{D\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)}{Dt} &= \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \mathcal{H}''_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \|\nabla s_k\|^2 + \\ &\quad \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k - \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + \\ &\quad \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Let us now multiply the continuity equation of phase k by $\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$ and add the result to the above equation to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \\ &\quad \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) + \alpha_k A \kappa_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \rho_k + A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \alpha_k] = \\ &\quad \underbrace{-\mathcal{H}''_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \|\nabla s_k\|^2 - \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathbf{Q}_k}_{\mathbb{T}_0} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k}_{\mathbb{T}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

431 As in Section 3, the left-hand side of Eq. (58) is split into two residuals denoted
 432 by \mathbb{T}_0 and \mathbb{T}_1 in order to study the sign of each of them. We start by studying
 433 the sign of \mathbb{T}_1 that is positive since it is assumed that $\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \geq 0$. We now
 434 investigate the sign of \mathbb{T}_0 . Using Eq. (55), it is obtained:

$$-\mathbb{T}_0 \leq \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \left(c_{p,k}^{-1} \|\nabla s_k\|^2 + \mathbf{Q}_k \right). \quad (59)$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (59) is a quadratic form that was already defined in Appendix 5 of [11] and recast under the matricial form $X_k^t \mathbb{S} X_k$ where \mathbb{S} is a

2×2 matrix and the vector X_k is defined in Section 3. In [11], the matrix \mathbb{S} is proved to be negative semi-definite which allows us to conclude that $-\mathbb{T}_0$ is of the same sign using Eq. (59). Then, knowing the sign of the two residuals \mathbb{T}_0 and \mathbb{T}_1 , we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \\ & \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) + \alpha_k A \kappa_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \rho_k + A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \alpha_k] \geq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

435 which allows us to conclude that an entropy inequality is satisfied for all gen-
 436 eralized entropies $\rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$ when using the viscous regularization derived in
 437 Section 3 for the seven-equation two-phase model. Note that the above inequal-
 438 ity holds for the total entropy of the system when summing over the phases.