

Extension of the entropy viscosity method to the
multi-D 7-equation two-phase flow model.
I do not know if we should have 'multi-D' in the title
since we will only present 1-D results

Marc O. Delchini^a, Jean C. Ragusa^{*,a}, Ray A. Berry^b

^a*Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843,
USA*

^b*Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, USA*

Abstract

blabla

Key words: two-phase flow model, with variable area, entropy viscosity method, stabilization method, low Mach regime, shocks.

1. Introduction

- a few lines about the need for accurately resolving two-phase flows
- background on the different two-phase flow models: 5, 6 and 7-equation two-phase flow models
- then, focus on the different types of 7-equation two-phase flow models: they mostly differ because of the closure relaxations used
- discuss the different numerical solvers developed for the 7-equation two-phase flow model: HLL, HLLC, and approximated Riemann solvers accounting for the source terms
- emphasize the fact that the above numerical solvers only works on discontinuous schemes
- then, introduce the entropy viscosity method and details the organization of the paper

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `delchmo@tamu.edu` (Marc O. Delchini), `jean.ragusa@tamu.edu` (Jean C. Ragusa), `ray.berry@inl.gov` (Ray A. Berry)

Compressible two-phase flows are found in numerous industrial applications and are an ongoing area of research in modeling and simulation over many years. A variety of models with different levels of complexity has been developed such as: five-equation model [1], six-equation model [2], and more recently the seven-equation model [3]. These models are all obtained by integrating the single-phase flow balance equations weighed by a characteristic or indicator function for each phase. The resulting system of equations contains non-conservative terms that describe the interaction between phases but also an equation for the volume fraction. Once a system of equations describing the physics is derived, the next challenging step is to develop a robust and accurate discretization to obtain a numerical solution. Assuming that the system of equations is hyperbolic under some conditions, a Riemann solver could be used but is often ruled out because of the complexity due to the number of equations involved. Furthermore, careless approximation for the treatment of the non-conservative terms can lead to failure in computing the numerical solution [4]. An alternative is to use an approximate Riemann solver, a well-established approach for single-phase flows, while deriving a consistent discretization scheme for the non-conservative terms.

This methodology was applied to the seven-equation model (SEM) introduced by Berry et al. in [3]. This model is known to be unconditionally hyperbolic which is highly desirable when working with approximate Riemann solvers and can treat a wide range of applications. Its particularity comes from the pressure and velocity relaxation terms in the volume fraction, momentum and energy equations that can bring the two phases in equilibrium when using large values of the relaxation parameters. In other words, the seven-equation model can degenerate into the six- and five-equation models. Alike for the other two-phase flow models, solving for the seven-equation model requires a numerical solver and significant effort was dedicated to this task for spatially discontinuous schemes. Because each phase is assumed to obey the Euler equations, most of the numerical solvers are adapted from the single-phase approximate Riemann solvers. For example, Saurel et al. [5, 6] employed a HLL-type scheme to solve for the SEM but noted that excessive dissipation was added to the contact discontinuity. A more advanced HLLC-type scheme was developed in [7] but only for the subsonic case and then extended to supersonic flows in [8]. More recently, Ambroso et al. [9] proposed an approximate Riemann solver accounting for source terms such as gravity and drag forces, but with no interphase mass transfer.

2. The multi-D 7-equation two-phase flow model

The multi-D seven-equation two-phase model presented in this paper is obtained by assuming that each phase obeys the single-phase Euler equations (with phase-exchange terms) and by integrating over a control volume after multiplying by a characteristic function. The detailed derivation can be found in [3]. In this section, the governing multi-dimensional equations are recalled for a phase k in interaction with a phase j . Each phase obeys the following mass,

momentum and energy balance equations, supplemented by a non-conservative volume-fraction equation:

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u} A)_k = -\Gamma A_{int} A \quad (1a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + P \mathbb{I})_k] &= P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + P_k \alpha_k \nabla A \\ &+ A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) - \Gamma A_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} A \end{aligned} \quad (1b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho E)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A (\rho E + P)_k] &= P_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \\ &+ \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \Gamma A_{int} \left(\frac{P_{int}}{\rho_{int}} - H_{k,int} \right) A \end{aligned} \quad (1c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_k A}{\partial t} + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) - \frac{\Gamma A_{int} A}{\rho_{int}} \quad (1d)$$

where α_k , ρ_k , \mathbf{u}_k and E_k denote the volume fraction, the density, the velocity vector and the total specific energy of phase k , respectively. The phase pressure P_k is computed from an equation of state. The interfacial pressure and velocity and their corresponding average values are denoted by P_{int} , \mathbf{u}_{int} , \bar{P}_{int} and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}$, respectively, and are defined in Eq. (2).

$$P_{int} = \bar{P}_{int} + \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \quad (2a)$$

$$\bar{P}_{int} = \frac{Z_j P_k + Z_k P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{int} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_j - P_k}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (2c)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} = \frac{Z_k \mathbf{u}_k + Z_j \mathbf{u}_j}{Z_k + Z_j}. \quad (2d)$$

The interfacial specific total enthalpy of phase k , H_k , is defined as follows: $H_k = h_k + 0.5 \|\mathbf{u}\|^2$. Following [3], the pressure and velocity relaxation coefficients, μ_P and λ_u respectively, are function of the acoustic impedance $Z_k = \rho_k c_k$ and the specific interfacial area A_{int} as shown in Eq. (3).

$$\lambda_u = \frac{1}{2} \mu_P Z_k Z_j \quad (3a)$$

$$\mu_P = \frac{A_{int}}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (3b)$$

⁵² The specific interfacial area (i.e., the interfacial surface area per unit volume
⁵³ of two-phase mixture), A_{int} , must be specified from some type of flow regime

map or function under the form of a correlation. In [3], A_{int} is chosen to be a function of the liquid volume fraction:

$$A_{int} = A_{int}^{max} \left[6.75 (1 - \alpha_k)^2 \alpha_k \right], \quad (4)$$

where $A_{int}^{max} = 5100 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$. With such definition, the interfacial area is zero in the limits $\alpha_k = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 1$. Lastly, Γ is the net mass transfer rate per unit interfacial area from phase j to phase k . Its expression, given in Eq. (5), is obtained by considering a vaporization/condensation process that is dominated by heat diffusion at the interface [3, 10]:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma = \Gamma_j &= \frac{h_{T,k} (T_k - T_{int}) + h_{T,j} (T_j - T_{int})}{h_{j,int} - h_{k,int}} \\ &= \frac{h_{T,k} (T_k - T_{int}) + h_{T,j} (T_j - T_{int})}{L_v (T_{int})}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $L_v (T_{int}) = h_{j,int} - h_{k,int}$ represents the latent heat of vaporization. The interface temperature is determined by the saturation constraint $T_{int} = T_{sat}(P)$ with the appropriate pressure $P = \bar{P}_{int}$ determined above. The interfacial heat transfer coefficients for phases k and j are denoted by $h_{T,k}$ and $h_{T,j}$, respectively, and computed from correlations [3].

The set of equations obeyed by phase j are simply obtained by substituting k by j and j by k in Eq. (1), keeping the same definition of the interfacial variables and remembering that $\Gamma_j = -\Gamma_k$. In the case of two-phase flows, the equation for the volume fraction of phase j is simply replaced by the algebraic relation

$$\alpha_j = 1 - \alpha_k,$$

which reduces the number of equations from eight to seven and yields the seven-equation two-phase flow model.

The seven-equation model has interesting properties that are discussed next. A set of seven waves is present in such a model: two acoustic waves and a contact wave for each phase supplanted by a volume fraction wave propagating at the interfacial velocity \mathbf{u}_{int} . Considering a domain of dimension \mathbb{D} , the corresponding eigenvalues are the following for each phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \\ \lambda_{2,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} - c_k \\ \lambda_{3,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} + c_k \\ \lambda_{d+3,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \text{ for } d = 1 \dots \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ is an unit vector pointing to a given direction. The eigenvalues given in Eq. (6) are unconditionally real which presents an interesting property for the development of numerical methods since the system is hyperbolic and well-posed. To relax the seven-equation model to the ill-posed classical six-equation

model, only the pressures should be relaxed toward a single pressure for both phases. This is accomplished by specifying the pressure relaxation coefficient to be very large, i.e., letting it approach infinity. But if the pressure relaxation coefficient goes to infinity, so does the velocity relaxation rate also approach infinity. This then relaxes the seven-equation model not to the classical six-equation model but to the mechanical equilibrium five-equation model of Kapila [1]. This reduced five-equation model is also hyperbolic and well-posed. The five-equation model provides a very useful starting point for constructing multi-dimensional interface resolving methods which dynamically captures evolving and spontaneously generated interfaces [11]. Thus the seven-equation model can be relaxed locally to couple seamlessly with such a multi-dimensional, interface resolving code. Numerically, the mechanical relaxation coefficients μ_P (pressure) and λ_u (velocity) can be relaxed independently to yield solutions to useful, reduced models. It is noted, however, that relaxation of pressure only by making μ_P large without relaxing velocity will indeed give ill-posed and unstable numerical solutions, just as the classical six-equation two-phase model does, with sufficiently fine spatial resolution, as confirmed in [3, 12]. For each phase k , an entropy equation can be derived when accounting only for the pressure and velocity relaxation terms (all of the terms proportional to the net mass transfer term Γ are removed). The entropy function for a phase k is denoted by s_k and function of the density ρ_k and the internal energy e_k . The derivation is detailed in APPENDIX and only the final result is recalled here:

$$(s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 + \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 \\ - \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2, \quad (7)$$

63 The partial derivative of the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal
64 energy e_k , $(s_e)_k$, is defined proportional to the inverse of the temperature of
65 phase k as for the single phase Euler equations [13]. The right hand-side of
66 Eq. (7) is unconditionally positive since all terms are squared and thus, can be
67 used to demonstrate the entropy minimum principle and derive the dissipative
68 terms. Furthermore, Eq. (7) is valid for each both phases $\{k, j\}$ and ensures
69 positivity of the total entropy equation that is obtained by summing over the
70 phases:

$$\sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = \sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A (\partial_t s_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla s_k) \geq 0.$$

71 Note that when one phase disappears, Eq. (8) degenerates into the single phase
72 entropy equation.

73 3. A viscous regularization for the multi-D 7-equation two-phase flow 74 model

- 75 • explain why we work with the phase entropy equation instead of consid-
76 ering the total entropy residual by summing over the two phases
- 77 • viscous regularization must be consistent with single-phase flow equation
- 78 • recall the notion of entropy condition and entropy inequality \rightarrow require
79 dissipative terms in order to get a sign
- 80 • give the system of equations with the dissipative terms
- 81 • guide the reader through the derivation of the dissipative terms
- 82 • give the entropy residual with all terms in the right hand-side
- 83 • make the link with the single-phase flow equations
- 84 • explain how to derive the dissipative term for the volume fraction equation
- 85 • emphasizes the fact that the regularization is valid for any EOS with
86 convex entropy
- 87 • a few words about the parabolic regularization

We now propose to derive a viscous regularization for the seven-equation model given in Eq. (1) by using the same method as for the multi-D Euler equation with/without variable area [13, 14]. The method consists in adding perturbation terms to the system of equation under consideration, and re-derive the entropy equation whose sign is known to be positive to ensure uniqueness of the numerical solution [15]. Because of the addition of perturbation terms, the entropy equation is modified and contains extra terms of unknown sign. By carefully choosing a definition for each of the perturbation term, the sign of the entropy equation can be determined and proved positive. In this section, the dissipative terms for the multi-D seven-equation model *with pressure and velocity relaxation source terms* are derived (the mass and energy transfer terms are omitted). The methodology proposed in SECTION is followed. For clarity purpose, the seven-equation model with pressure and velocity relaxation terms is recalled when considering a phase k in interaction with a second phase j :

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \quad (8a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = 0 \quad (8b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (8c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ AP_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (8d)$$

In order to apply the EVM, dissipative terms are added to each equation of the system given in Eq. (8), which yields:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + \mathbf{u}_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (9a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k \quad (9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_k \end{aligned} \quad (9c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{h}_k + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{g}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (9d)$$

where \mathbf{f}_k , \mathbf{g}_k , \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{l}_k are the dissipative terms. The next step consists of deriving the entropy equation for the phase k , on the same model as what is done in APPENDIX. Extra terms will appear in the right-hand-side of the entropy equation due to the dissipative terms. By choosing properly the definition of the dissipative terms, the sign of these extra terms can be controlled in order to ensure positivity of the entropy residual:

1. recast the system of equation given in Eq. (9) in terms of the primitive variables $(\alpha_k, \rho_k, \mathbf{u}_k, e_k)$.
2. derive the entropy equation by using the chain rule:

$$\frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_\rho)_k \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + (s_e)_k \frac{De_k}{Dt} \quad (10)$$

where $\frac{D}{Dt}$ is the material derivative. The terms $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_\rho)_k$ denote the partial derivative of the entropy s_k with respect to e_k and ρ_k , respectively.

3. isolate the terms of interest and choose an appropriate expression for each of the dissipative terms in order to ensure positivity of the right-hand side.

We first recast Eq. (9) in terms of the primitive variables: the volume fraction equation remains unchanged. The equation for the primitive variable ρ_k is derived by combining Eq. (9a) and Eq. (9b):

$$\alpha_k A [\partial_t \rho_k + (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \rho_k] = A \rho_k \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (11)$$

The velocity equation is obtained by subtracting the density equation from the momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_k] + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \mathbb{I}) = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_k - \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

After multiplying Eq. (12) by the velocity vector \mathbf{u}_k , the resulting kinetic energy equation is subtracted from the total energy equation to obtain the internal energy equation for phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t \mathbf{e}_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \mathbf{e}_k] + \alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \nabla \mathbf{u}_k = \\ P_{int} A (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \alpha_k P_k \mathbf{u}_k \nabla A \\ - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \\ + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathbf{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + \|\mathbf{u}\|_k^2 \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

104 The blue terms in Eq. (11) and Eq. (13) yield the positive terms in the right-
 105 hand-side of Eq. (7) and thus are ignored in the remaining of the derivation. The
 106 entropy equation is now obtained by combining the density equation (Eq. (11))
 107 and the internal energy equation (Eq. (13)) through the chain rule given in
 108 Eq. (10) to yield:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_e)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathbf{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + (\|\mathbf{u}\|_k^2 - c_k) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k] + (\rho s_\rho)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k]. \quad (14)$$

where it was assumed that the entropy of phase k satisfies the second thermodynamic law:

$$\begin{aligned} T_k ds_k &= de_k - P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2} \\ \text{which implies } P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k &= 0, \\ (s_e)_k &= T_k^{-1} \text{ and } (s_\rho)_k = -(s_e)_k P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

109 From this point, two options are available in order to derive the dissipative
 110 terms: either we consider the total entropy residual of the system by summing
 111 Eq. (14) over each phase, or we can consider each phase independently. This
 112 dilemma can be answered by remembering that the seven-equation model de-
 113 generates into the single phase flow equations in the limits $\alpha_k = 0, 1$. Thus, the
 114 dissipative terms also have to be consistent with the single-phase flow limits. As
 115 a result, it is chosen to derive the dissipative terms by considering each phase
 116 independently which will automatically ensure positivity of the total entropy
 117 residual as well.

The right-hand side of Eq. (14) can be further simplified by using the following expression for the dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k , \mathbf{g}_k and \mathbf{h}_k :

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k + \rho_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) + \mathbf{f}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k \quad (17)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}\|^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k. \quad (18)$$

Note the area function A in the definition of \mathbf{g} . It yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = & \underbrace{(s_e)_k \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_1} + \underbrace{\left[\nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - e_k \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k \right] + (\rho s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_2} + \\ & \underbrace{(s_e)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k e_k \mathbf{l}_k) - (s_e)_k e_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) - \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_3}, \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

where μ_k is a positive viscosity coefficient for phase k . For simplicity, the right-hand-side of Eq. (19) is split into three terms denoted by \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 . Since $(s_e)_k$ is defined as the inverse of the temperature and thus positive, the sign of the first term, \mathcal{R}_1 , is conditioned by the choice of the function $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ so that the product with the tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}_k$ is positive. As in [13], $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ is chosen proportional to the symmetric gradient of the velocity vector $\nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k$, whose entries are given by $(\nabla^s \mathbf{u})_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_i} u_j + \partial_{x_j} u_i)$. Such a choice ensures the associated dissipative terms to be rotationally invariant and also positivity of \mathcal{R}_1 . An other option would be to simply set $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ proportional to $\nabla \mathbf{u}_k$ which allows to recover the parabolic regularization.

After a few lines of algebra, the third term \mathcal{R}_3 can be recast as a function of the gradient of the entropy as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \rho_k A \mathbf{l}_k \cdot \nabla s_k. \quad (20)$$

One of the assumptions made in the entropy minimum principle is that the entropy is at a minimum which implies that its gradient is null. Because of this, it follows that the term \mathcal{R}_3 is zero at the minimum and thus, the entropy minimum principle is verified independently of the definition of the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k used in the volume fraction equation. It will be explained later in this section how to derive a definition for \mathbf{l}_k .

We now focus on the term denoted by \mathcal{R}_2 , that is found identical to the right-hand-side of the single phase entropy equation obtained from the multi-D Euler equations (see Eq. (??) in APPENDIX). Thus, following [13] and also APPENDIX, the term \mathcal{R}_2 is known to be positive when (i) assuming concavity of the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal energy e_k and the specific volume $1/\rho_k$ (or convexity of $-s_k$) and (ii) choosing the following definitions for the dissipative terms $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k \quad (21)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k, \quad (22)$$

where κ_k is another positive viscosity coefficient. The entropy equation can now be written in its final form:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k \cdot \nabla s_k - \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k A \nabla s_k) = \\ - \alpha_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k, \quad (23) \end{aligned}$$

134 where \mathbf{Q}_k is a negative semi-definite quadratic form defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_k &= X_k^t \Sigma_k X_k \\ \text{with } X_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \rho_k \\ \nabla e_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Sigma_k = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{\rho_k}(\rho_k^2 \partial_{\rho_k} s_k) & \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k \\ \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k & \partial_{e_k, e_k} s_k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

135 Eq. (23) is used to prove the entropy minimum principle: assuming that s_k
 136 reaches its minimum value in $\mathbf{r}_{min}(t)$ at each time t , the gradient, ∇s_k , and
 137 Laplacian, Δs_k , of the entropy are null and positive at this particular point,
 138 respectively. Furthermore, it is recalled that the viscosity coefficients μ_k and
 139 κ_k are positive by definition. Then, because the right-hand-side of Eq. (23) is
 140 proven positive, the entropy minimum principle holds for each phase k , **inde-**
 141 **pendently of the definition of the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k** , such as:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0$$

142 It remains to obtain a definition for the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k used in the
 143 volume fraction equation. A way to achieve this is to consider the volume
 144 fraction equation, Eq. (9a), by itself and notice that it is an hyperbolic equation
 145 with eigenvalue \mathbf{u}_{int} . An entropy equation can be derived and used to prove
 146 the entropy minimum principle by properly choosing the dissipative term. The
 147 objective is to ensure positivity of the volume fraction and also uniqueness of
 148 the weak solution. Following the work of Guermond et al. in [16, 17] and by
 149 analogy with Burger's equation described in SECTION, it can be shown that a
 150 dissipative term ensuring positivity and uniqueness of the weak solution for the
 151 volume fraction equation, is of the form $\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k$ where β_k is a positive
 152 viscosity coefficient.

All of the dissipative terms are now defined and recalled here:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k \quad (24a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \rho_k A \mathbf{l}_k \quad (24b)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \alpha_k A \mu_k \rho \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k \quad (24c)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k + \mathbf{u}_k : \mathbf{g}_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (24d)$$

153 At this point, some remarks are in order:

- 154 1. The viscous regularization given in Eq. (24) for the multi-D seven-equation
 155 model, is equivalent to the parabolic regularization [18] when assuming
 156 $\beta_k = \kappa_k$ and $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \alpha_k \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$. However, decoupling between the
 157 regularization on the velocity and on the density in the momentum equation
 158 is important to make the regularization rotation invariant but also to
 159 ensure well-scaled dissipative terms for a wide range of Mach number as
 160 was shown in SECTION for the multi-D Euler equations.

- 161 2. The dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k requires the definition of a new viscosity coefficient
162 β_k . It was shown that this viscosity coefficient is independent of the
163 other viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k . Its definition should account for the
164 eigenvalue associated with the void fraction equation \mathbf{u}_{int} . In addition,
165 an entropy residual can be determined by analogy to Burger's equation.
- 166 3. The dissipative term \mathbf{f}_k is a function of \mathbf{l}_k . Thus, all of the other dissipa-
167 tive terms are also functions of \mathbf{l}_k .
- 168 4. The partial derivatives $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_{\rho_k})_k$ can be computed using the defi-
169 nition provided in Eq. (15) and are functions of the thermodynamic vari-
170 ables: pressure, temperature and density.
- 171 5. All of the dissipative terms are chosen to be proportional to the the void
172 fraction α_k and the cross-sectional area A , but the one in the volume
173 fraction equation that is only proportional to A . For instance, $\alpha_k A \nabla \rho_k$
174 is the flux of the dissipative term in the continuity equation through the
175 area seen by the phase $\alpha_k A$. When one of the phases disappears, the
176 dissipative terms must to go to zero for consistency. On the other hand,
177 when α_k goes to one, the single-phase equation must be recovered.
- 178 6. Compatibility of the viscous regularization proposed in Eq. (24) with the
179 generalized entropies identified in Harten et al. [19] has not been investi-
180 gated yet. However, it is believed that the entropy inequalities still holds
181 because of the similarities of the entropy residual for the multi-D seven-
182 equation model with the entropy residual derived in the single phase flow
183 case [13].

Through the derivations of the viscous regularization, it was noted that another set of dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k and \mathbf{l}_k would also ensures positivity of the entropy residual:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k T_k \left[\frac{\rho_k}{P_k + \rho_k e_k} \nabla \left(\frac{P_k}{\rho_k e_k} \right) - \frac{1}{P_k} \nabla \rho_k \right] \quad (25a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \frac{\rho_k^2 (s_{\rho})_k}{(\rho s_{\rho} - e s_e)_k} \mathbf{l}_k \quad (25b)$$

184 However, the definition of \mathbf{l}_k proposed in Eq. (25a) was not considered as valid
185 for the following reasons: positivity of the volume fraction cannot be achieved
186 and the parabolic regularization is not retrieved.

187
188 A rotation invariant viscous regularization for the multi-D seven-equation
189 model is now available involving three viscosity coefficients β_k , μ_k and κ_k , for
190 each phase k . Definition of these viscosity coefficients is the purpose of the next
191 section (SECTION).

192 4. A definition of the viscosity coefficients for all Mach flows

- 193 • non-dimensionalize the equations but use P_{∞} for the pressure instead of
194 $(\rho c^2)_{\infty}$

- 195 • introduce a new Pechlet number for β : its behavior should be the same
196 as the Pechlet number for κ
- 197 • two cases: zero and infinite relaxation coefficients
- 198 • derive the normalization parameters for the isentropic and non-isentropic
199 flows
- 200 • discussion about the

201 This section aims at deriving a definition of the viscosity coefficients involved in
202 the viscous regularization for the multi-D seven-equation model. We propose to
203 follow the same methodology as in SECTION for the multi-D Euler equations:
204 after obtaining the non-dimensional equations, a definition for the viscosity
205 coefficients is derived based on the entropy residual and consistent with the
206 low-Mach asymptotic limit. Particular attention is paid to the definition of the
207 viscosity coefficient β_k used in the volume fraction equation.

208 Using the EVM to define the viscosity coefficients is not the unique option
209 here. Other numerical methods initially developed for single-phase flows, such
210 as pressure-based and Lapidus viscosity methods, could be used as a starting
211 point and adapted to the seven-equation model. Such a reasoning is motivated
212 by one of the initial assumptions of the seven-equation model that assumes each
213 phase verifies the Euler equations.

214 4.1. Definition of the viscosity coefficients

The viscous regularization derived in SECTION for the multi-D SEM re-
quires three viscosity coefficients for each phase k denoted by β_k , μ_k and κ_k .
Following the methodology detailed in SECTION, for each viscosity coefficient
an upper bound, denoted by the subscript *max*, is defined and referred to as the
first-order viscosity coefficient, along with a entropy viscosity coefficient that is
set proportional to an entropy residual and denoted by the subscript *e*:

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_k(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \min(\beta_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t)), \\ \mu_k(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \min(\mu_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \mu_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t)), \\ \kappa_k(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \min(\kappa_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \kappa_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t)).\end{aligned}$$

215 where all of the variables are locally defined. As for the multi-D single-phase
216 Euler equations and for the same reasons, the entropy residual for each phase
217 k is recast as a function of the pressure, the velocity, the density and the speed
218 of sound as follows:

$$R_k(\mathbf{r}, t) := \partial_t s_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla s_k = \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = \frac{(s_e)_k}{(P_e)_k} \underbrace{\left(\frac{DP_k}{Dt} - c_k^2 \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} \right)}_{\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{r}, t)}, \quad (26)$$

219 where $\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{r}, t)$ is the new entropy residual of phase k and will experience the
220 same variations as $R_k(\mathbf{r}, t)$.

221 We first choose to investigate the definitions of the high and first-order vis-
 222 cosity coefficients for μ_k and κ_k . It is noted that the dissipative terms function
 223 of μ_k and κ_k are the same as the ones for the single-phase Euler equation when
 224 considering $\tilde{A} = \alpha_k A$ as a pseudo cross section. Furthermore, we need to ensure
 225 consistency with the single-phase Euler equation in the limits $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$. Thus,
 226 based on the work done in SECTION, the first order viscosity coefficients are
 227 set proportional to the local maximum eigenvalue λ_k ,

$$\kappa_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mu_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h}{2} (||\mathbf{u}_k|| + c_k) \quad (27)$$

228 and the entropy viscosity viscosity coefficients are defined as

$$\mu_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = h^2 \frac{\max \left(|\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|, ||\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|| J[P_k](t), ||\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|| c_k^2(\mathbf{r}_q, t) J[\rho_k](t) \right)}{\text{norm}_{P,k}^\mu}, \quad (28a)$$

229 and

$$\kappa_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = h^2 \frac{\max \left(|\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|, ||\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|| J[P_k](t), ||\mathbf{u}_k(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|| c_k^2(\mathbf{r}_q, t) J[\rho_k](t) \right)}{\text{norm}_{P,k}^\kappa}. \quad (28b)$$

230 where h is the grid size and $J[x](t)$ denotes the jump of the quantity x and was
 231 defined in SECTION. The normalization parameters $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\mu$ and $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\kappa$ will
 232 be determined later in this section by inspecting the non-dimensional version of
 233 the seven-equation model.

234 It remains to specify the viscosity coefficients β_e and β_{max} . For the purpose
 235 of this paragraph, let us consider the scalar volume fraction equation and as-
 236 sume that the interface velocity \mathbf{u}_{int} is given. Because it is a scalar hyperbolic
 237 equation, it is proposed to define the high and first-order viscosity coefficients
 238 on the same model as Burger's equation. Thus, β_{max} is set proportional to the
 239 eigenvalue that is the interface velocity \mathbf{u}_{int} ,

$$\beta_{max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h}{2} ||\mathbf{u}_{int}||, \quad (29)$$

whereas the entropy viscosity viscosity coefficient β_e is function of an entropy
 residual, $R_{\alpha,k}$, derived from the volume fraction equation for phase k as follows:

$$\beta_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = h^2 \frac{\max (|R_{\alpha,k}(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|, ||\mathbf{u}_{int}(\mathbf{r}_q, t)|| J[\alpha_k](t))}{\text{norm}_k^\beta} \quad (30)$$

240 where norm_k^β denotes a normalization parameters whom definition will be fur-
 241 ther investigated. To derive the entropy residual $R_{\alpha,k}$, we consider the volume
 242 fraction equation for phase k with its viscous regularization and assume the
 243 existence of a mathematical entropy denoted by $\eta(\alpha_k)$:

$$\partial_t (A\alpha_k) + A\mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = \nabla \cdot (\beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (31)$$

244 After multiplying by $\frac{d\eta(\alpha_k)}{d\alpha_k}$ and using the chain rule, an expression for the
 245 entropy residual $R_{\alpha,k}$ is obtained:

$$R_{\alpha,k} = \partial_t (A\eta(\alpha_k)) + A\mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \eta(\alpha_k) = \frac{d\eta(\alpha_k)}{d\alpha_k} \nabla \cdot (\beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (32)$$

246 Because Eq. (32) is identical to Eq. (??), it is concluded that $R_{\alpha,k} \geq 0$ when
 247 assuming η convex with respect to α_k , which justifies the definition of the en-
 248 tropy viscosity coefficient $\beta_{e,k}$ given in Eq. (30) based on Eq. (??).
 249 The entropy function is taken equal to $\eta(\alpha_k) = \frac{\alpha_k^2}{2}$ which is convex.

250 4.2. Low-Mach asymptotic limit of the seven-equation model

251 In order to have a complete definition for the viscosity coefficients β_k , μ_k and
 252 κ_k , the normalization parameters introduced in the definition of the entropy vis-
 253 cosity coefficients $\beta_{e,k}$, $\mu_{e,k}$ and $\kappa_{e,k}$ have to be determined. In SECTION, the
 254 normalization parameters were derived from the non-dimensionalized multi-D
 255 Euler equations in order to obtain well-scaled dissipative terms. Thus, it is pro-
 256 posed to follow the same method to derive the three normalization parameters
 257 $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\mu$, $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\kappa$ and $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\beta$ used in the definition of the viscosity coefficients
 258 involved in the viscous regularization of the seven-equation model. For simplic-
 259 ity, the Ideal Gas equation of state is considered through the derivations.

260 For now, the definition of the viscosity coefficients is simply derived by anal-
 261 ogy to SECTION. First, we define the far-field or stagnation coefficients for
 262 each phase as it is done in Eq. (??) by adding the subscript k to ∞ . Then, the
 263 scaled equations are derived for each phase which leads to the definition of a
 264 phasic Péclet and Reynolds numbers referred to as Pé_k and Re_k , respectively,
 265 that are tied to the far-field or stagnation quantities of the viscosity coefficients
 266 $\mu_{k,\infty}$ and $\kappa_{k,\infty}$ as shown in Eq. (33):

$$\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\mu_{k,\infty}} \text{ and } \text{Pé}_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\kappa_{k,\infty}}. \quad (33)$$

267 Because the viscous regularization derived previously in SECTION requires an
 268 extra viscosity coefficient β_k for the volume fraction equation, a new Péclet
 269 number, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$ is also defined as follows,

$$\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = \frac{u_{int,\infty} L_\infty}{\beta_{k,\infty}} \quad (34)$$

270 that will allow us to derive the proper scaling for $\beta_{k,\infty}$. Once the scaled equa-
 271 tions are obtained, the scaling of the numerical numbers can be chosen in order
 272 to meet the different criteria already listed in SECTION. The scaling of the
 273 new Péclet number we defined, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$, is derived from the scaled volume frac-
 274 tion equation that does not contain any term weighted by the reference Mach
 275 number M_∞ , which yields $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$ to have a well-scaled dissipative term.
 276 This scaling is the same as for $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}$ from the continuity equation: the vol-
 277 ume fraction and continuity equations have similar behavior since they are both

278 advection-type equations. Thus, based on the reasoning used in SECTION, the
 279 following definitions for the viscosity coefficients is proposed in Eq. (35):

$$\mu_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = \min \left(\mu_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \mu_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \text{ and } \kappa_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = \min \left(\mu_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \kappa_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \quad (35a)$$

280 where the first-order viscosity is given by

$$\kappa_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mu_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_k\| + c_k \right) \quad (35b)$$

281 and the entropy viscosity coefficients by

$$\kappa_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h^2 \max(\tilde{R}_k, J_k)}{\rho_k c_k^2} \text{ and } \mu_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h^2 \max(\tilde{R}_k, J_k)}{\text{norm}_{P,k}^\mu} \quad (35c)$$

282 with the jumps given by

$$J_k = \max \left(\|\mathbf{u}_k\| [[\nabla P_k \cdot \mathbf{n}]], \|\mathbf{u}_k\| c_k^2 [[\nabla \rho_k \cdot \mathbf{n}]] \right) \quad (35d)$$

283 where $\text{norm}_{P,k}^\kappa$ is computed from Eq. (36).

$$\text{norm}_P^\mu = (1 - \sigma(M)) \rho c^2 + \sigma(M) \rho \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 \quad (36)$$

284 where M_k is the local Mach number for phase k . The function $\sigma(M)$ is taken
 285 from Eq. (??) with the same parameters as for the single-phase flow equations:
 286 $a = 3$ and $M^{thres} = 0.05$. The jump J_k is a function of the jump of pressure
 287 and density gradients across the face with respect to its normal vector \mathbf{n} . Then,
 288 the largest value over all faces is determined and used in the definition of the
 289 viscosity coefficients. Lastly, the viscosity coefficient for the volume fraction
 290 equation is given by:

$$\beta_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = \min \left(\beta_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t), \beta_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) \right) \quad (37)$$

291 where the first-order viscosity is given by

$$\beta_{\max,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_{int}\| \quad (38)$$

292 and the corresponding entropy viscosity coefficient, $\beta_{e,k}$, by

$$\beta_{e,k}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{h^2 \max(R_{\alpha,k}, J_{\alpha,k})}{\|\alpha_k - \bar{\alpha}_k\|_\infty}, \quad (39)$$

293 where $\bar{\alpha}_k$ is the average value of the volume fraction over the entire computa-
 294 tional domain, and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the infinite norm. The definition of the $\beta_{e,k}$
 295 is consistent with the scaling of $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$. The jump is given by:

$$J_{\alpha,k} = \|\mathbf{u}_{int}\| \cdot [[\nabla \alpha_k \cdot \mathbf{n}]]. \quad (40)$$

296 With the definition of the viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k proposed in Eq. (??),
 297 the low-Mach asymptotic limit is ensured for isentropic flow, and transonic
 298 flows with shocks will be correctly resolved for each phase k . Furthermore,
 299 the definition of the viscosity coefficient β_k is consistent with the EVM used
 300 for the scalar hyperbolic equations and thus should efficiently stabilize shocks
 301 forming the in the volume fraction profile. Plus, it is noted that the viscous
 302 regularization and the definition of the viscosity coefficients proposed for the
 303 seven-equation two-phase flow model degenerates into the EVM used for the
 304 single-phase Euler equations. In order to validate the proposed definition of the
 305 viscosity coefficients, 1-D numerical simulations are performed in SECTION.

306 5. 1-D numerical results

- 307 • simple advection problem
- 308 • shock tube with two independent fluids: exact solution and could do con-
 309 vergence test for this particular test
- 310 • shock tube with infinite relaxation coefficients
- 311 • 1-D nozzle with two independent fluids
- 312 • 1-D nozzle with infinite relaxation coefficients
- 313 • 1-D nozzle with infinite relaxation coefficients, mass and heat transfer

314 References

- 315 [1] A. K. Kapila, R. Menikoff, J. B. B. S. F. Son, D. S. Stewart, Two-phase
 316 modeling of deflagration-to-detonation transition in granular materials,
 317 Phys. Fluids (2001) 3002–3024.
- 318 [2] I. Toumi, An upwind numerical method for two-fluids two-phases flow mod-
 319 els, Nucl. Sci. Eng. (1996) 147–168.
- 320 [3] R. Berry, R. Saurel, O. LeMetayer, The discrete equation method (dem)
 321 for fully compressible, two-phase flows in ducts of spatially varying cross-
 322 section, Nuclear Engineering and Design 240 (2010) 3797–3818.
- 323 [4] R. Abgrall, How to prevent pressure oscillations in multicomponent flow
 324 calcuations: a quasi conservative appraoch, J. Comput. Phys (2002) 125–
 325 150.
- 326 [5] R. Saurel, R. Abgrall, A multiphase godunov method for compressible mul-
 327 tifluid and multiphase flows, J. Comput Physics (2001) 425–267.
- 328 [6] R. Saurel, O. Lemetayer, A multiphase model for compressible flows with
 329 interfaces, shocks, detonation waves and cavitation, J. Comput Physics
 330 (2001) 239–271.

- 331 [7] Q. Li, H. Feng, T. Cai, C. Hu, Difference scheme for two-phase flow, Appl
332 Math Mech (2004) 536.
- 333 [8] A. Zein, M. Hantke, G. Warnecke, Modeling phase transition for compress-
334 ible two-phase flows applied to metastable liquids, J. Comput Physics (2010)
335 2964.
- 336 [9] A. Ambroso, C. Chalons, P.-A. Raviart, A godunov-type method for the
337 seven-equation model of compressible multiphase mixtures, Comput. Fluids
338 (2012) 67–91.
- 339 [10] R. A. Berry, M. Delchini, J. Ragusa, Relap-7 numerical stabilization: En-
340 tropy viscosity method, Tech. Rep. INL/EXT-14-32352, Idaho National
341 Laboratory, USA (2014).
- 342 [11] R. Saurel, F. Petitpas, R. A. Berry, Simple and efficient relaxation methods
343 for interfaces separating compressible fluids, cavitating flows and shocks in
344 multiphase mixtures, J. of Computational Physics 228 (2009) 1678–1712.
- 345 [12] J. M. Herrard, O. Hurisse, A simple method to compute standard two-fluid
346 models, Int. J. of Computational Fluid Dynamics 19 (2005) 475–482.
- 347 [13] J. L. Guermond, B. Popov, Viscous regularization of the euler equations
348 and entropy principles, under review.
- 349 [14] M. Delchini, Extension of the entropy viscosity method to multi-d euler
350 equations and the seven-equation two-phase model, Tech. rep., Texas A&
351 M University, USA (2014).
- 352 [15] R. Leveque, Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws, Birkhuser Basel,
353 Zurich, Switzerland, 1990.
- 354 [16] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for nonlinear con-
355 servation laws, Journal of Comput. Phys 230 (2011) 4248–4267.
- 356 [17] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for high-order ap-
357 proximations of conservation laws, Lecture Notes in Computational Science
358 and Engineering 76 (2011) 411–418.
- 359 [18] B. Perthame, C. W. Shu, On positivity preserving finite volume schemes for
360 euler equations, Numer. Math. 73 (1996) 119–130.
- 361 [19] A. Harten, L. P. Franca, M. Mallet, Convex entropies and hyperbolicity for
362 general euler equations, SIAM J Numer Anal 6 (1998) 2117–2127.