

A Viscous Regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model.

Marc O. Delchini^a, Jean C. Ragusa^{*,a}, Ray A. Berry^b

^a*Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA*

^b*Idaho National Laboratory, Idaho Falls, ID 83415, USA*

Abstract

blabla

Key words: two-phase flow model, with variable area, entropy viscosity method, stabilization method, low Mach regime, shocks.

1. Introduction

- a few lines about the need for accurately resolving two-phase flows
- background on the different two-phase flow models: 5, 6 and 7-equation two-phase flow models
- then, focus on the different types of 7-equation two-phase flow models: they mostly differ because of the closure relaxations used
- discuss the different numerical solvers developed for the 7-equation two-phase flow model: HLL, HLLC, and approximated Riemann solvers accounting for the source terms
- emphasize the fact that the above numerical solvers only works on discontinuous schemes
- then, introduce the entropy viscosity method and details the organization of the paper

2. The Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model

The Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model presented in this paper is obtained by assuming that each phase obeys the single-phase Euler equations

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: `delchmo@tamu.edu` (Marc O. Delchini), `jean.ragusa@tamu.edu` (Jean C. Ragusa), `ray.berry@inl.gov` (Ray A. Berry)

(with phase-exchange terms) and by integrating over a control volume after multiplication by a phasic characteristic function. The detailed derivation can be found in [1]. In this section, the governing multi-dimensional equations are recalled for a phase k in interaction with a phase j . Each phase obeys the following mass, momentum and energy balance equations, supplemented by a non-conservative equation for the void fraction:

$$\frac{\partial \alpha_k A}{\partial t} + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) - \frac{\Gamma A_{int} A}{\rho_{int}} \quad (1a)$$

$$\frac{\partial (\alpha \rho)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u} A)_k = -\Gamma A_{int} A \quad (1b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + P \mathbb{I})_k] &= P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + P_k \alpha_k \nabla A \\ &+ A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) - \Gamma A_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} A \end{aligned} \quad (1c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (\alpha \rho E)_k A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A (\rho E + P)_k] &= P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \\ &+ A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \Gamma A_{int} \left(\frac{P_{int}}{\rho_{int}} - H_{k,int} \right) A \end{aligned} \quad (1d)$$

where α_k , ρ_k , \mathbf{u}_k and E_k denote the void fraction, the density, the velocity vector and the total specific energy of phase k , respectively. The phasic pressure P_k is computed from an equation of state. The cross section of the geometry is denoted by A and is only spatially dependent. The interfacial pressure and velocity and their corresponding average values are denoted by P_{int} , \mathbf{u}_{int} , \bar{P}_{int} and $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}$, respectively; they are defined in Eq. (2)

$$P_{int} = \bar{P}_{int} + \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \quad (2a)$$

$$\bar{P}_{int} = \frac{Z_j P_k + Z_k P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (2b)$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{int} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_j - P_k}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (2c)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} = \frac{Z_k \mathbf{u}_k + Z_j \mathbf{u}_j}{Z_k + Z_j}. \quad (2d)$$

The interfacial specific total enthalpy of phase k , $H_{k,int}$, is defined as $H_{k,int} = h_{k,int} + 0.5 \|\mathbf{u}_{int}\|^2$, where $h_{k,int}$ is the phasic specific enthalpy evaluated at the interface conditions (P_{int} and $T_{int} = T_{sat}(P_{int})$). Following [1], the pressure and velocity relaxation coefficients, μ_P and λ_u respectively, are function of the acoustic impedance $Z_k = \rho_k c_k$ and the specific interfacial area A_{int} as shown in

Eq. (3).

$$\lambda_u = \frac{1}{2} \mu_P Z_k Z_j \quad (3a)$$

$$\mu_P = \frac{A_{int}}{Z_k + Z_j} \quad (3b)$$

15 The specific interfacial area (i.e., the interfacial surface area per unit volume of
16 a two-phase mixture), A_{int} , is typically dependent upon flow regime conditions
17 and can be provided as a correlation. In [1], A_{int} is chosen to be a function of
18 the liquid void fraction:

$$A_{int} = A_{int}^{max} \left[6.75 (1 - \alpha_{liq})^2 \alpha_{liq} \right], \quad (4)$$

with $A_{int}^{max} = 5100 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$. With such definition, the interfacial area is zero
in the limits $\alpha_k = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 1$. Lastly, Γ is the net mass transfer rate per
unit interfacial area from phase j to phase k . Its expression, given in Eq. (5), is
obtained by considering a vaporization/condensation process that is dominated
by heat diffusion at the interface [1, 2]:

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_j = \frac{h_{T,k} (T_k - T_{int}) + h_{T,j} (T_j - T_{int})}{L_v (T_{int})}, \quad (5)$$

19 where $L_v (T_{int}) = h_{j,int} - h_{k,int}$ represents the latent heat of vaporization. The
20 interface temperature is determined by the saturation constraint $T_{int} = T_{sat}(P)$
21 with the appropriate pressure $P = \bar{P}_{int}$ defined previously. The interfacial heat
22 transfer coefficients for phases k and j are denoted by $h_{T,k}$ and $h_{T,j}$, respectively,
23 and computed from correlations [1].

The set of equations obeyed by phase j are simply obtained by substituting k
by j and j by k in Eq. (1), keeping the same definition of the interfacial variables
and noting that $\Gamma_j = -\Gamma_k$. In the case of two-phase flows, the equation for the
void fraction of phase j is simply replaced by the algebraic relation

$$\alpha_j = 1 - \alpha_k,$$

24 which reduces the number of partial differential equations from eight to seven
25 and yields the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model.

Properties of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model are discussed next.
A set of seven waves is present in such a model: two acoustic waves, a contact
wave for each phase and by a void fraction wave propagating at the interfacial
velocity \mathbf{u}_{int} . Considering a spatial domain of dimension \mathbb{D} , the corresponding
eigenvalues are the following for each phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \\ \lambda_{2,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} - c_k \\ \lambda_{3,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} + c_k \\ \lambda_{d+3,k} &= \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}} \text{ for } d = 1 \dots \mathbb{D}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

26 where $\bar{\mathbf{n}}$ is a unit vector pointing to a given direction. The eigenvalues given in
 27 Eq. (6) are unconditionally real (as long as the chosen equation of state yields
 28 a real sound speed). Having real eigenvalues is a valuable property for the de-
 29 velopment of numerical methods since the system is hyperbolic and well-posed.
 30 To relax the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model to the ill-posed classical six-
 31 equation model, only the pressures should be relaxed toward a single pressure
 32 for both phases. This is accomplished by letting the pressure relaxation coef-
 33 ficient μ_P be very large, i.e., letting it approach infinity. But if the pressure
 34 relaxation coefficient goes to infinity, so does the velocity relaxation coefficient.
 35 This further relaxes the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model not to the classi-
 36 cal six-equation model but to the mechanical equilibrium five-equation model of
 37 Kapila [3]. This reduced five-equation model is also hyperbolic and well-posed.
 38 Numerically, the mechanical relaxation coefficients μ_P (pressure) and λ_u (veloc-
 39 ity) can be relaxed independently to yield solutions to useful, reduced models.
 40 However, It is noted that relaxation of pressure only by making μ_P large with-
 41 out relaxing velocity will indeed give ill-posed and unstable numerical solutions,
 42 just as the classical six-equation two-phase model does, with sufficiently fine
 43 spatial resolution, as confirmed in [1, 4].

For each phase k , an entropy equation can be derived and its sign proved
 positive when accounting only for the pressure and velocity relaxation terms (all
 of the terms proportional to the net mass transfer term Γ are removed). The
 entropy function for a phase k is denoted by s_k and a function of density ρ_k and
 internal energy e_k . The derivation is detailed in Appendix A and only the final
 result is recalled here:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 + \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 \\
 &\quad \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2, \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

44 where $\frac{D(\cdot)}{Dt} = \partial_t(\cdot) + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla(\cdot)$ is the material derivative. The partial derivative of
 45 the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal energy e_k , $(s_e)_k$, is shown to
 46 be proportional to the inverse of the temperature of phase k , alike for the single
 47 phase Euler equations [5, 6]. The right hand-side of Eq. (7) is unconditionally
 48 positive since all terms are squared and thus, is used to demonstrate the entropy
 49 minimum principle. Furthermore, Eq. (7) is valid for both phases $\{k, j\}$ and
 50 ensures positivity of the total entropy equation that is obtained by summing
 51 over the phases:

$$\sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = \sum_k (s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A (\partial_t s_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla s_k) \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

52 Note that when one phase disappears, Eq. (8) degenerates into the single phase
 53 entropy equation obtained from the multi-dimensional Euler equations [1, 6].

54 3. A viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation two-phase flow 55 Model

56 We now propose to derive a viscous regularization for the Seven-Equation
57 two-phase flow Model given in Eq. (1) by using the same methodology as for
58 the multi-dimensional Euler equations with/without variable area [5, 7]. The
59 method consists in adding dissipative terms to the system of equation under
60 consideration, and re-derive the entropy equation whose sign is known to be
61 positive to ensure uniqueness of the numerical solution [8]. Because of the
62 addition of dissipation terms, the entropy equation is modified and contains
63 extra terms of yet unknown sign. By carefully choosing a definition for each of
64 the dissipation term, the sign of the entropy equation can be determined and
65 proved positive. For the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model, derivation of a
66 viscous regularization can be achieved by considering either the phasic entropy
67 equation (Eq. (7)) or the total entropy equation (Eq. (8)). In the later case,
68 the entropy minimum principle is verified for the whole system which may not
69 ensure positivity of the entropy equation for each phase. However, positivity of
70 the total entropy equation can be also achieved by assuming that the entropy
71 minimum principle holds for each phase. This stronger requirement will also
72 ensure consistency with the single phase Euler equations when one of the phase
73 disappears in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$. Thus, it is chosen to work with the phasic
74 entropy equations given in Eq. (7).

For the purpose of this section, the system of equations given in Eq. (9) is considered, which is obtained by simply omitting the mass source terms (terms proportional to Γ) in Eq. (1).

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \quad (9a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = 0 \quad (9b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (9c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ A P_{int} \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (9d)$$

In order to apply the entropy viscosity method, dissipation terms are added to each equation yielding:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (10a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k \quad (10b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}_k \end{aligned} \quad (10c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \\ + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{h}_k + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathfrak{g}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (10d)$$

where \mathbf{f}_k , \mathfrak{g}_k , \mathbf{h}_k and \mathbf{l}_k are phasic viscous terms to be determined. The next step consists in deriving the entropy equation for the phase k , on the same model as what was done in Appendix A but with dissipative terms now present. The steps are as follows:

1. derive the phasic density and internal energy equations from Eq. (10).
2. assuming that the phasic entropy, s_k , is a function of density, ρ_k and internal energy, e_k , derive the entropy equation by using the chain rule:

$$\frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_\rho)_k \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + (s_e)_k \frac{De_k}{Dt} \quad (11)$$

The terms $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_\rho)_k$ denote the partial derivative of the entropy s_k with respect to e_k and ρ_k , respectively.

3. isolate the terms of interest and choose an appropriate expression for each of the dissipation terms in order to ensure positivity of the new entropy residual.

We first derive the phasic density equation for the primitive variable ρ_k by combining Eq. (10a) and Eq. (10b) to obtain:

$$\alpha_k A \left[\partial_t \rho_k + (\mathbf{u}_k - \underline{\mathbf{u}_{int}}) \cdot \nabla \rho_k \right] = \underline{\underline{A \rho_k \mu_P (P_k - P_j)}} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k \quad (12)$$

In order to derive the phasic internal energy equation, the phasic velocity equation is obtained by subtracting the phasic density equation from the phasic momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t \mathbf{u}_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_k] + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \mathbb{I}) = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \nabla \cdot \mathfrak{g}_k - \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

After multiplying Eq. (13) by the phasic velocity vector \mathbf{u}_k , the resulting phasic kinetic energy equation is subtracted from the phasic total energy equation to obtain the internal energy equation for phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A [\partial_t e_k + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla e_k] + \alpha_k \rho_k A P_k \nabla \mathbf{u}_k = \\ \underline{\underline{P_{int} A (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}} - \underline{\underline{\alpha_k P_k \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A}} \\ - \underline{\underline{\bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j)}} + \underline{\underline{A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)}} \\ + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathfrak{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + \|\mathbf{u}\|_k^2 \mathbf{f}_k \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The underline terms in Eq. (12) and Eq. (14) yield the positive terms in the right-hand-side of Eq. (7) and thus are ignored in the remainder of this derivation for brevity. The phasic entropy equation is now obtained by combining the phasic

density equation (Eq. (12)) and the phasic internal energy equation (Eq. (14)) through the chain rule given in Eq. (11) to yield:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (\rho s_\rho)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k - \rho_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k] + (s_e)_k [\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}_k + \mathfrak{g}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k + (||\mathbf{u}_k||^2 - e_k) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}_k], \quad (15)$$

where it was assumed that the entropy of phase k satisfies the second thermodynamic law:

$$T_k ds_k = de_k - P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2}, \quad (16a)$$

which implies

$$P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k = 0, \quad (16b)$$

$$(s_e)_k = T_k^{-1} \text{ and } (s_\rho)_k = -(s_e)_k P_k \frac{d\rho_k}{\rho_k^2}.$$

Following the methodology applied in [5, 7], the right-hand side of Eq. (15) can be further simplified by using the following expression for the dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k , \mathfrak{g}_k and \mathbf{h}_k :

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k + \rho_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (17a)$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_k = \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) + \mathbf{f}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k \quad (17b)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k - \frac{||\mathbf{u}_k||^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k, \quad (17c)$$

where μ_k is a positive viscosity coefficient for phase k . Note the area function A in the definition of \mathfrak{g}_k . Substituting the expression of the dissipative terms given in Eq. (17) into Eq. (15) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \underbrace{\nabla \cdot [(s_e)_k \tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k + (e_k (s_e)_k - \rho_k (s_\rho)_k) \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k]}_{\mathcal{R}_0} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{(s_e)_k \alpha_k \rho_k A \mu_k \mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_1} - \underbrace{\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_k \cdot \nabla (s_e)_k - \tilde{\mathbf{f}}_k \cdot \nabla [(e s_e)_k - (\rho s_\rho)_k]}_{\mathcal{R}_2} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{(s_e)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k e_k \mathbf{l}_k) - (s_e)_k e_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) + \rho_k (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot (\rho_k \mathbf{l}_k) - \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k \nabla \cdot \mathbf{l}_k}_{\mathcal{R}_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

We now split the right-hand-side of Eq. (18) into three residuals denoted by \mathcal{R}_1 , \mathcal{R}_2 and \mathcal{R}_3 and we study the sign of each of them. Since $(s_e)_k$ is defined as the inverse of the temperature and thus is positive, the sign of the first term, \mathcal{R}_1 , is conditioned by the choice of the function $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ so that the product with the tensor $\nabla \mathbf{u}_k$ is positive. As in [5, 7], $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ is chosen proportional to the symmetric gradient of the velocity vector $\nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k$, whose entries are given by

$((\nabla^s \mathbf{u})_{i,j})_k = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{x_i} u_i + \partial_{x_j} u_j)_k$. With such a choice, the viscous regularization is also rotationally invariant. After a few lines of algebra, the third term \mathcal{R}_3 can be recast as a function of the gradient of the entropy as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_3 = \rho_k A l_k \cdot \nabla s_k. \quad (19)$$

One of the assumptions made in the entropy minimum principle is to that the entropy is at a minimum which implies that its gradient is null. Because of this, it follows that the term \mathcal{R}_3 is zero at the minimum and thus, the entropy minimum principle is verified independently of the definition of the dissipation term l_k used in the void fraction equation Eq. (10a). It will be explained later in this section how to obtain a definition for l_k .

We now focus on the term denoted by \mathcal{R}_2 , which is identical to the right-hand-side of the single phase entropy equation for Euler equations (see [5, 7]). Thus, the term \mathcal{R}_2 is known to be positive when (i) assumes concavity of the entropy function s_k with respect to the internal energy e_k and the specific volume $1/\rho_k$ (or convexity of $-s_k$) and (ii) chooses the following definitions for the dissipative terms \tilde{h}_k and \tilde{f}_k :

$$\tilde{f}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k \quad (20a)$$

$$\tilde{h}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k, \quad (20b)$$

where κ_k is another positive viscosity coefficient. In addition, using Eq. (20a), the term \mathcal{R}_0 can be recast as a function of the phasic entropy as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \nabla s_k) \quad (21)$$

The entropy equation can now be written in its final form:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla s_k) \\ &\quad - \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where \mathbf{Q}_k is a negative semi-definite quadratic form under the assumption of s_k being concave with respect to e_k and $1/\rho_k$, and defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}_k &= X_k^t \Sigma_k X_k \\ \text{with } X_k &= \begin{bmatrix} \nabla \rho_k \\ \nabla e_k \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \Sigma_k = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_k^{-2} \partial_{\rho_k} (\rho_k^2 \partial_{\rho_k} s_k) & \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k \\ \partial_{\rho_k, e_k} s_k & \partial_{e_k, e_k} s_k \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (22) is used to prove the entropy minimum principle: assuming that s_k reaches its minimum value in $\mathbf{r}_{min}(t)$ at each time t , the gradient, ∇s_k , and Laplacian, Δs_k , of the entropy are null and positive at this particular point, respectively. Furthermore, it is recalled that the viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k are positive by definition. Then, because the terms in the right-hand-side of Eq. (22) are proven either positive or null when the entropy reaches a minimum

value, the entropy minimum principle holds for each phase k , **independently of the definition of the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k** , such as:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \partial_t s_k(\mathbf{r}_{min}, t) \geq 0$$

Do we need to make the above statement a theorem or property?

It remains to obtain a definition for the dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k used in the void fraction equation Eq. (10a). A way to achieve this is to consider the void fraction equation, by itself and notice that it is an hyperbolic equation with eigenvalue \mathbf{u}_{int} . An entropy equation can be derived and used to prove the entropy minimum principle by properly choosing the dissipative term. The objective is to ensure positivity of the void fraction and also uniqueness of the weak solution. Following the work of Guermond et al. in [9, 10], it can be shown that a dissipative term ensuring positivity and uniqueness of the weak solution for the void fraction equation, is of the form $\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k$, where β_k is a positive viscosity coefficient. The dissipative term is proportional to the area A for consistency with the other terms of the void fraction equation Eq. (10a).

All of the dissipative terms are now defined and recalled here:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k \quad (23a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \rho_k A \mathbf{l}_k \quad (23b)$$

$$\mathbf{g}_k = \alpha_k A \mu_k \rho \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k \quad (23c)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_k = \alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla (\rho e)_k + \mathbf{u}_k : \mathbf{g}_k - \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \mathbf{f}_k + (\rho e)_k \mathbf{l}_k \quad (23d)$$

At this point, some remarks are in order:

1. The viscous regularization given in Eq. (23) for the multi-dimensional Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model, is equivalent to the parabolic regularization [11] when assuming $\beta_k = \kappa_k = \mu_k$ and $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \alpha_k \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$, but is no longer rotation invariant. However, decoupling between the regularization on the velocity and on the density in the momentum equation is important to make the regularization rotation invariant but also to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms for a wide range of Mach number as was shown in [7] for the multi-dimensional Euler equations.
2. The dissipative term \mathbf{l}_k requires the definition of a new viscosity coefficient β_k . It was shown that this viscosity coefficient is independent of the other viscosity coefficients μ_k and κ_k . Its definition should account for the eigenvalue \mathbf{u}_{int} and the entropy equation associated with the void fraction equation.
3. The dissipative term \mathbf{f}_k is a function of \mathbf{l}_k . Thus, all of the other dissipative terms are also functions of \mathbf{l}_k .

- 135 4. The partial derivatives $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_{\rho_k})_k$ can be computed using the defini-
 136 tion provided in Eq. (16a) and are functions of the phasic thermodynamic
 137 variables: pressure, temperature and density.
- 138 5. All of the dissipative terms are chosen to be proportional to the void frac-
 139 tion α_k and the cross-sectional area A , except the one in the void fraction
 140 equation that is only proportional to A . For instance, $\alpha_k A \nabla \rho_k$ is the flux
 141 of the dissipative term in the continuity equation through the pseudo-area,
 142 $\alpha_k A$, seen by the phase k . When one of the phases disappears, the dissi-
 143 pative terms must go to zero for consistency. On the other hand, when α_k
 144 goes to one, the single-phase Euler equations with variable area and with
 145 proper viscous regularization must be recovered.
6. By choosing $\beta_k = \mu_k = \kappa_k$ and $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$, the dissipative terms
 collapse as follows:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_x \nabla \alpha_k] \quad (24a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho)_k] \quad (24b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho \mathbf{u})_k] \end{aligned} \quad (24c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \\ + \nabla \cdot [A \kappa_k \nabla (\alpha \rho E)_k] , \end{aligned} \quad (24d)$$

- 146 to yield a viscous regularization that is analogous to the parabolic regu-
 147 larization for Euler equations [11]. Note that by choosing $\mathbb{F}(\mathbf{u}_k) = \nabla \mathbf{u}_k$,
 148 the above viscous regularization is no longer rotationally invariant.
- 149 7. Compatibility of the viscous regularization proposed in Eq. (23) with the
 150 generalized entropies identified in Harten et al. [12] is demonstrated in
 151 Appendix B.

152 At this point in the paper, we have derived a viscous regularization for the
 153 Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model that ensures positivity of the entropy
 154 residual, uniqueness of the numerical solution when assuming concavity of the
 155 phasic entropy s_k , and is consistent with the viscous regularization derived for
 156 the multi-dimensional Euler equations [5, 7] in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$. The viscous
 157 regularization involves a set of three viscosity coefficients for each phase, μ_k , κ_k
 158 and β_k , that are assumed positive. Definition of the viscosity coefficients should
 159 be devised from the scaled SEM in order to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms
 160 for a wide range of Mach numbers (subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows).

Remark. *Through the derivations of the viscous regularization, it was noted that another set of dissipative terms \mathbf{f}_k and \mathbf{l}_k would also ensures positivity of*

the entropy residual:

$$\mathbf{l}_k = \beta_k T_k \left[\frac{\rho_k}{P_k + \rho_k e_k} \nabla \left(\frac{P_k}{\rho_k e_k} \right) - \frac{1}{P_k} \nabla \rho_k \right] \quad (25a)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_k = \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k + \frac{\rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k}{(\rho s_\rho - e s_e)_k} \mathbf{l}_k \quad (25b)$$

161 However, the definition of \mathbf{l}_k proposed in Eq. (25a) was not considered as valid
 162 for the following reasons: positivity of the void fraction cannot be achieved and
 163 the parabolic regularization is not retrieved when assuming equal viscosity coef-
 164 ficients.

165 4. The scaled Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with viscous reg- 166 ularization

167 When working with artificial dissipative numerical stabilization methods,
 168 great care needs to be carried to the definition of the viscosity coefficients that
 169 will determine the accuracy of the method. Generally speaking, sufficient arti-
 170 ficial viscosity should be added into the shock and discontinuity regions to pre-
 171 vent spurious oscillations from forming, while little dissipation is added when
 172 the numerical solution is smooth to ensure high-order accuracy. In addition,
 173 the low-Mach asymptotic limit also has to be accounted for in the definition of
 174 the viscosity coefficients in order to recover the incompressible asymptotic equa-
 175 tions [13, 14, 15]. The purpose of this section is to derive the scaled SEM and
 176 investigate the scaling of the dissipative terms to ensure well-scaled dissipative
 177 terms for all-Mach flows (subsonic, transonic and supersonic flows). First, the
 178 scaled SEM are derived and then, two limit cases (a) and (b) will be considered
 179 to determine appropriate scaling for the entropy viscosity coefficients so that
 180 the dissipative terms remain well-scaled for: (a) the isentropic low-Mach limit
 181 where the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model degenerate to an incompress-
 182 ible system of equations in the low-Mach limit and (b) the non-isentropic limit
 183 with formation of shocks. Finally, for each case the scaling of the numerical
 184 adimensional numbers will be given. Also, because each phase can experience
 185 different flow regime e.g., supersonic gas and subsonic liquid, it is chosen to work
 186 with three distinct viscosity coefficients for each phase. The study is performed
 187 on the multi-dimensional version of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model
 188 with the Stiffened Gas Equation of State (SGEOS) given in Eq. (26).

$$P_k = (\gamma_k - 1) \rho_k e_k - \gamma_k P_{k,\infty} \quad (26)$$

189 4.1. Derivation of the scaled Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model

We consider the case where the relaxation coefficients are set to zero: the two phases do not interact and the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model degenerates into two sets of Euler equations with a pseudo cross-section $\alpha_k A$. The

first step in the study of the two limit cases (a) and (b) is to re-write each system of equations in a non-dimensional manner. To do so, the following variables are introduced for each phase k :

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k^* &= \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_{k,\infty}}, \quad u_k^* = \frac{\mathbf{u}_k}{u_{k,\infty}}, \quad P_k^* = \frac{P_k}{\rho_{k,\infty} c_{k,\infty}^2}, \quad E_k^* = \frac{E_k}{c_{k,\infty}^2}, \quad x^* = \frac{x}{L_\infty}, \\ t_k^* &= \frac{t_k}{L_\infty / u_{k,\infty}}, \quad \mu_k^* = \frac{\mu_k}{\mu_{k,\infty}}, \quad \kappa_k^* = \frac{\kappa_k}{\kappa_{k,\infty}}, \quad P_{int}^* = \frac{P_{int}}{P_{int,\infty}}, \\ u_{int}^* &= \frac{\mathbf{u}_{int}}{u_{int,\infty}}, \quad \bar{P}_{int}^* = \frac{\bar{P}_{int}}{\bar{P}_{int,\infty}}, \quad \bar{u}_{int}^* = \frac{\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}}{\bar{u}_{int,\infty}}, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

190 where the subscript ∞ denote the far-field or stagnation quantities and the
191 superscript $*$ stands for the non-dimensional variables. The far-field reference
192 quantities are chosen such that the dimensionless flow quantities are of order 1.
193 The stagnation quantities for the pressure and velocity interfacial variables will
194 be specified for each case. The reference phasic Mach number is given by

$$M_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty}}{c_{k,\infty}}. \quad (28)$$

Because we consider that phases do not interact with each other, it is assumed that the interfacial pressure and velocity scale as the phasic pressure and velocity, respectively: $P_{int,\infty} = \rho_{k,\infty} c_{k,\infty}^2$ and $u_{int,\infty} = u_{k,\infty}$. Under these assumptions, the interfacial pressure and velocity are simply replaced by P_k and \mathbf{u}_k in the equations. Then, the system of equations with viscous regularization becomes:

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (29a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = \nabla \cdot (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k) + \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla \alpha_k) \quad (29b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k)] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_k A \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot (A \mu_k \alpha_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k) + \\ \nabla \cdot (A \kappa_k \alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \rho_k) + \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (29c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_k A \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \nabla \cdot (A \kappa_k \alpha_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k)) + \\ \nabla \cdot \left(A \kappa_k \alpha_k \frac{||\mathbf{u}_k||^2}{2} \nabla \rho_k \right) + \nabla \cdot (A \mu_k \alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k) + \\ \nabla \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k e_k \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (29d)$$

Then using the scaling introduced in Eq. (27), the scaled equations for the phase k with viscous regularization are: [The following set of equations is very painful](#)

to read. I guess we can improve the format but I cannot think of a better way of presenting the scaled equations, unless we include all of this in an appendix (I am not for it)

$$\partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k A)^* + A^* \mathbf{u}_k^* \cdot \nabla^* \alpha_k^* = \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla^* \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla^* \alpha_k)^* \quad (30a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k \rho_k A)^* + \nabla^* \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A)^* &= \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla^* \cdot (A \kappa_k \nabla^* \rho_k)^* + \\ &\frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla^* \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla^* \alpha_k)^* \end{aligned} \quad (30b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{t^*} (\alpha_k \rho_k u_k A)^* + \nabla^* \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k)]^* &+ \frac{A \alpha_k^*}{M_{k,\infty}^2} \nabla^* P_k^* = \\ \frac{1}{M_{k,\infty}^2} \alpha_k^* P_k^* \nabla^* A^* + \frac{1}{M_{k,\infty}^2} P_k^* A^* \nabla^* \alpha_k^* &+ \frac{1}{\text{Re}_{k,\infty}} \nabla^* \cdot (A \alpha_k \mu_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k)^* + \\ \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla^* \cdot (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla^* \rho_k)^* &+ \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla^* \cdot (A \beta_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \alpha_k)^* \end{aligned} \quad (30c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k^* A^* [\partial_t (\rho_k E_k) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla^* (\rho_k E_k)]^* &+ \alpha_k \nabla^* \cdot (A \mathbf{u}_k P_k) + \rho_k^* E_k^* \alpha_k^* \nabla^* \cdot (\mathbf{u} A)_k^* = \\ \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla^* \cdot (A \alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k))^* &+ \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa} \nabla^* \cdot \left(A \alpha_k \kappa_k \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k\|^2}{2} \nabla \rho \right)^* + \\ \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Re}_{k,\infty}} \nabla^* \cdot (A \alpha_k \mu_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k)^* &+ \\ \frac{1}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \nabla (\rho_k e_k)^* \cdot (A \beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)^* &- \frac{M_{k,\infty}^2}{\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta} \rho_k \frac{\|\mathbf{u}_k^2\|}{2} \nabla \cdot (\beta_k A \nabla \alpha_k) \end{aligned} \quad (30d)$$

195 where the phasic numerical Reynolds ($\text{Re}_{k,\infty}$) and Péclet ($\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$)
196 numbers are defined as:

$$\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\mu_{k,\infty}}, \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\kappa_{k,\infty}} \text{ and } \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = \frac{u_{k,\infty} L_\infty}{\beta_{k,\infty}}. \quad (31)$$

197 Note that the phasic energy equation was recast under a non-conservative form
198 by using the void fraction (Eq. (30a)) to facilitate the derivations when trying to
199 recover the divergence constraint onto the velocity in the low-Mach asymptotic
200 regime. The numerical Reynolds and Péclet numbers defined in Eq. (31) are
201 related to the phasic entropy viscosity coefficients $\mu_{k,\infty}$, $\kappa_{k,\infty}$ and $\beta_{k,\infty}$. Thus,
202 once a scaling (in powers of $M_{k,\infty}$) is obtained for $\text{Re}_{k,\infty}$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta$
203 in the two limit cases (a) and (b), it will impose a condition onto the definition of
204 the phasic viscosity coefficients μ_k , κ_k and β_k . For brevity, the superscripts $*$
205 are omitted in the remainder of this section.

206 *4.2. Scaling of $Re_{k,\infty}$, $P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\beta$ in the low-Mach asymptotic regime*
 207 *(case (a))*

208 In the low-Mach isentropic limit, the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model
 209 converges to an incompressible system of equations, that is characterized for each
 210 phase with pressure fluctuations of order $M_{k,\infty}^2$ and the divergent constraint on
 211 the velocity: $\nabla \cdot (A\mathbf{u}_k) = 0$. When adding dissipative terms, as is the case with
 212 the entropy viscosity method, the main properties of the low-Mach asymptotic
 213 limit must be preserved. We begin by expanding each variable in powers of the
 214 Mach number. As an example, the expansion for the pressure is given by:

$$P_k(\mathbf{r}, t) = P_{k,0}(\mathbf{r}, t) + P_{k,1}(\mathbf{r}, t)M_{k,\infty} + P_{k,2}(\mathbf{r}, t)M_{k,\infty}^2 + \dots \quad (32)$$

215 By studying the resulting momentum equations for various powers of M_∞ , it
 216 is observed that the leading- and first-order pressure terms, $P_{k,0}$ and $P_{k,1}$, are
 217 spatially constant if and only if $Re_{k,\infty} = P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$. In this case, we
 218 have at order $M_{k,\infty}^{-2}$:

$$\nabla P_{k,0} = 0 \quad (33a)$$

219 and at order $M_{k,\infty}^{-1}$

$$\nabla P_{k,1} = 0. \quad (33b)$$

220 From Eq. (33) we infer that the leading- and first-order pressure terms are
 221 spatially independent which ensures pressure fluctuations of order Mach num-
 222 ber square, as expected in the low-Mach asymptotic limit. Using the scaling
 223 $Re_{k,\infty} = P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = P\acute{e}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$, the second-order momentum equations and the
 224 leading-order expressions for the void fraction, continuity and energy equations
 225 are:

$$\partial_t (A\alpha_k)_0 + \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0} = \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \quad (34a)$$

$$\partial_t (A\alpha_k \rho_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k)_0 = \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla \rho_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \quad (34b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k A \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k)_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k)_0 + A\alpha_k \nabla P_{k,2} = \\ \nabla \cdot [A\alpha_k (\mu_k \rho_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k + \kappa_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \nabla \rho_k)]_0 + \nabla \cdot (A\beta_k \rho \mathbf{u} \nabla \alpha_k)_0 \end{aligned} \quad (34c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{k,0} A [\partial_t (\rho_k E_k) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla (\rho_k E_k)]_0 + \alpha_{k,0} \nabla \cdot [A\mathbf{u}_k P_k]_0 + \\ \alpha_{k,0} \rho_{k,0} E_{k,0} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = \nabla \cdot [A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (\rho_k e_k)] \\ + A\beta_{k,0} \nabla (\rho_k e_k)_0 \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0} \end{aligned} \quad (34d)$$

227 where the notation $(fg)_0$ means that we only keep the 0th-order terms in the
 228 product fg . The set of equations given in Eq. (34) are similar to the multi-
 229 dimensional single-phase Euler equations with variable area when seeing $A\alpha_k$
 230 as a pseudo-area [7]. The leading-order of the Stiffened Gas Equation of State
 231 (Eq. (26)) is given by

$$P_{k,0} = (\gamma_k - 1)\rho_{k,0}E_{k,0} - \gamma P_{k,\infty} = (\gamma_k - 1)\rho_0 e_{k,0} - \gamma_k P_{k,\infty}. \quad (35)$$

Using Eq. (35), the energy equation can be recast as a function of the leading-order pressure, P_0 , as follows:

$$A\alpha_{k,0} [\partial_t (P_k) + (\gamma_k - 1)\mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla P_k]_0 + (\gamma_k - 1)\alpha_{k,0} \nabla \cdot [A\mathbf{u}_k P_k]_0 + \alpha_{k,0} (P_{k,0} + \gamma_k P_{k,\infty}) \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = [\nabla \cdot (A\alpha_k \kappa_k \nabla (P_k))_0 + A\beta_{k,0} \nabla P_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \alpha_{k,0}] . \quad (36)$$

232 From Eq. (33a), we infer that P_0 is spatially constant. Thus, Eq. (36) becomes

$$\frac{A}{\gamma(P_{k,0} + P_{k,\infty})} \frac{dP_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 \quad (37)$$

233 and, at steady state, we have

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u}_k A)_0 = 0 . \quad (38)$$

234 That is, the leading-order of the product of velocity and cross section is divergence-free which corresponds to what is obtained when dealing with the multi-dimensional
235 Euler equations with variable area. Note that when assuming a constant cross
236 section A , the usual divergence constraint, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k,0}$ is recovered. Also, Eq. (37)
237 is slightly modified due to the use of the Stiffened Gas Equation of State in the
238 asymptotic limit. However, the Ideal Gas Equation of State degenerates from
239 the Stiffened Gas Equation of State by simply setting $P_{k,\infty} = 0$ which yields the
240 usual leading-order single-phase energy equation with constant cross section:
241

$$\frac{1}{\gamma P_{k,0}} \frac{dP_0}{dt} = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \quad (39)$$

The same reasoning can be applied to the leading-order of the continuity equation (Eq. (34b)) to show that the material derivative of the density variable is stabilized by well-scaled dissipative terms:

$$\left. \frac{D\alpha_k \rho_k}{Dt} \right|_0 := \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho)_0 + \mathbf{u}_{k,0} \cdot \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k)_0 = \frac{1}{A} \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \kappa_k \nabla \rho + A \beta_k \rho_k \nabla \alpha_k]_0 . \quad (40)$$

242 Therefore, we conclude that by setting the Reynolds and Péclet numbers to
243 one, the incompressible fluid results are retrieved in the low-Mach limit when
244 employing the compressible Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with viscous
245 regularization and without relaxation terms.

246 4.3. Scaling of $Re_{k,\infty}$, $Pe_{k,\infty}^\kappa$ and $Pe_{k,\infty}^\beta$ for non-isentropic flows (case (b))

Next, we consider the non-isentropic case. Recall that even subsonic flows can present shocks (for instance, a step initial condition in the pressure will trigger shock formation, independently of the Mach number). The non-dimensional form of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model given in Eq. (30) provides

some insight on the dominant terms as a function of the Mach number. This is particular obvious in the momentum equation, Eq. (30c), where the gradient of pressure is scaled by $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$. In the non-isentropic case, we no longer have $\frac{\nabla P_k}{M_{k,\infty}^2} = \nabla P_{k,2}$ and therefore the pressure gradient term may need to be stabilized by some dissipative terms of the same scaling so as to prevent spurious oscillations from forming. By inspecting the dissipative terms presents in the momentum equation, having a dissipative term that scales as $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$ leads to a total of eight different options. Only three of them are investigated for brevity (note that the five other options can be ruled out by following the same reasoning as what is done next):

- (i) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = 1$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = M_{k,\infty}^2$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$,
- (ii) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = 1$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = M_{k,\infty}^2$ or
- (iii) $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = M_{k,\infty}^2$, $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$ and $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = 1$.

Any of these choices will also affect the stabilization of the void fraction, continuity and energy equations. For instance, using Péclet numbers equal to $M_{k,\infty}^2$ may effectively stabilize the void fraction and continuity equation in the shock region but this may also add an excessive amount of dissipation for subsonic flows at the location of the contact wave. Such a behavior may not be suitable for accuracy purpose, making options (i) and (ii) inappropriate. The same reasoning, left to the reader, can be carried out for the energy equation (Eq. (30d)) and results in the same conclusion. The remaining choice, option (iii), has the proper scaling: in this case, only the dissipation terms involving $\nabla^{s,*} \mathbf{u}_k^*$ scale as $1/M_{k,\infty}^2$ since $\text{Re}_{k,\infty} = M_{k,\infty}^2$, leaving the regularization of the void fraction and continuity equations unaffected because $\text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\beta = \text{Pé}_{k,\infty}^\kappa = 1$.

5. Conclusions

We derived a viscous regularization for the well-posed Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model that ensures positivity of the entropy residual, uniqueness of the numerical solution when assuming concavity of the phasic entropy s_k , is consistent with the viscous regularization derived for the multi-dimensional Euler equations in the limit $\alpha_k \rightarrow 1$ and does not depend on the scheme discretization. It was also shown that the viscous regularization is compatible with the generalized Harten entropies that were initially derived for Euler equations. The viscous regularization involves a set of three positive viscosity coefficients for each phase, β_k , μ_k and κ_k that are defined from the scaled SEM to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms. We introduced three numerical non-dimensionalized numbers for each phase, Re_k , Pé_k^μ and Pé_k^κ and devised their scaling in two cases: the low-Mach asymptotic limit and for non-isentropic flows. In the later case, it was demonstrated that the incompressible system of equations is recovered when assuming that all of the non-dimensionalized numbers scale as one. The study of the former case showed that the scaling of the Péclet numbers

274 remain the same whereas the scaling of the Reynolds number Re_k has to be
 275 modified and set to M_k^2 to ensure well-scaled dissipative terms in the phasic
 276 momentum equations. Because the numerical non-dimensionalized numbers are
 277 related to the scaling of the phasic viscosity coefficients, the above scaling should
 278 be used either to assess the accuracy of the viscosity coefficient definitions or
 279 derive definition for the viscosity coefficients.

280 Deriving a definition for the phasic viscosity coefficients should rely on ex-
 281 isting numerical methods for scalar and system of hyperbolic equations. For
 282 instance, it is known that artificial dissipative methods are used to solved for
 283 Euler equations: Lapidus [16, 17], pressure-based [18] and entropy-based [9, 19]
 284 numerical methods. Once a definition for the viscosity coefficients is derived and
 285 found consistent with the scaling of the numerical non-dimensionalized numbers,
 286 the numerical methods can be tested by solving two-phase shock tubes using
 287 various discretization methods. Note that the viscous regularization proposed
 288 in this paper is discretization independent.

289 References

- 290 [1] R. Berry, R. Saurel, O. LeMetayer, The discrete equation method (dem)
 291 for fully compressible, two-phase flows in ducts of spatially varying cross-
 292 section, Nuclear Engineering and Design 240 (2010) 3797–3818.
- 293 [2] R. A. Berry, M. Delchini, J. Ragusa, Relap-7 numerical stabilization: En-
 294 tropy viscosity method, Tech. Rep. INL/EXT-14-32352, Idaho National
 295 Laboratory, USA (2014).
- 296 [3] A. K. Kapila, R. Menikoff, J. B. Bdzil, S. F. Son, D. S. Stewart, Two-
 297 phase modeling of deflagration-to-detonation transition in granular mate-
 298 rials, Phys. Fluids (2001) 3002–3024.
- 299 [4] J. M. Herrard, O. Hurisse, A simple method to compute standard two-fluid
 300 models, Int. J. of Computational Fluid Dynamics 19 (2005) 475–482.
- 301 [5] J. L. Guermond, B. Popov, Viscous regularization of the euler equations
 302 and entropy principles, under review.
- 303 [6] M. Delchini, Extension of the entropy viscosity method to multi-d euler
 304 equations and the seven-equation two-phase model, Tech. rep., Texas A&
 305 M University, USA (2014).
- 306 [7] M. Delchini, J. Ragusa, R. Berry, Entropy-based viscosity regularization
 307 for the multi-dimensional euler equations in low-mach and transonic flows,
 308 under review.
- 309 [8] R. Leveque, Numerical Methods for Conservation Laws, Birkhuser Basel,
 310 Zurich, Switzerland, 1990.
- 311 [9] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for nonlinear con-
 312 servation laws, Journal of Comput. Phys 230 (2011) 4248–4267.

- 313 [10] J. L. Guermond, R. Pasquetti, Entropy viscosity method for high-order ap-
 314 proximations of conservation laws, *Lecture Notes in Computational Science*
 315 and *Engineering* 76 (2011) 411–418.
- 316 [11] B. Perthame, C. W. Shu, On positivity preserving finite volume schemes for
 317 euler equations, *Numer. Math.* 73 (1996) 119–130.
- 318 [12] A. Harten, L. P. Franca, M. Mallet, Convex entropies and hyperbolicity for
 319 general euler equations, *SIAM J Numer Anal* 6 (1998) 2117–2127.
- 320 [13] H. Guillard, C. Viozat, On the behavior of upwind schemes in the low mach
 321 number limit, *Computers & Fluids* 28 (1999) 63–86.
- 322 [14] E. Turkel, Preconditioned techniques in computational fluid dynamics,
 323 *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 31 (1999) 385–416.
- 324 [15] J. S. W. D. L. Darmofal, J. Peraire, The solution of the compressible euler
 325 equations at low mach numbers using a stabilized finite element algorithm,
 326 *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.* 190 (2001) 5719–5737.
- 327 [16] A. Lapidus, A detached shock calculation by second order finite differences,
 328 *J. Comput. Phys.* 2 (1967) 154–177.
- 329 [17] J. Donea, A. Huerta, *Finite Element Methods for Flow Problems*, Oxford
 330 University Press, 2003.
- 331 [18] R. Lohner, *Applied CFD Techniques: an Introduction Based on Finite*
 332 *Element Methods*, Wiley, Oxford, England, 2003.
- 333 [19] V. Zingan, J. L. Guermond, J. Morel, B. Popov, Implementation of the
 334 entropy viscosity method with the discontinuous galerkin method, *Journal*
 335 *of Comput. Phys* 253 (2013) 479–490.

336 **Appendix A Entropy equation for the multi-dimensional seven equation**
 337 **model without viscous regularization**

This appendix provides the steps that lead to the derivation of the phasic entropy equation of the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model [1]. For the purpose of this appendix, two phases are considered and denoted by the indexes j and k . In the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model, each phase obeys to the following set of equations (Eq. (41)):

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k A) + A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) \quad (41a)$$

$$\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) = 0 \quad (41b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A (\rho_k \mathbf{u}_k \otimes \mathbf{u}_k + P_k \mathbb{I})] = \\ \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (41c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k E_k A) + \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \mathbf{u}_k (\rho_k E_k + P_k)] = \\ P_{int} A \mathbf{u}_{int} \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \mu_P \bar{P}_{int} (P_k - P_j) + \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (41d)$$

338 where ρ_k , \mathbf{u}_k , E_k and P_k are the density, the velocity, the specific total energy
 339 and the pressure of phase k , respectively. The pressure and velocity relaxation
 340 parameters are denoted by μ_P and λ_u , respectively. The variables with subscript
 341 $_{int}$ correspond to the interfacial variables and a definition is given in Eq. (42).
 342 The cross section A is only function of space: $\partial_t A = 0$.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{int} = \bar{P}_{int} - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j) \\ \bar{P}_{int} = \frac{Z_k P_j + Z_j P_k}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ \mathbf{u}_{int} = \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_k - P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} = \frac{Z_k \mathbf{u}_k + Z_j \mathbf{u}_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \end{array} \right. \quad (42)$$

343 where $Z_k = \rho_k c_k$ and $Z_j = \rho_j c_j$ are the impedance of phases k and j , respec-
 344 tively. The speed of sound is denoted by the symbol c . The function $sgn(x)$
 345 returns the sign of the variable x .

346 The first step consists of rearranging the equations given in Eq. (42) using the
 347 primitive variables $(\alpha_k, \rho_k, \mathbf{u}_k, e_k)$, where e_k is the specific internal energy of
 348 k^{th} phase. We introduce the material derivative $\frac{D(\cdot)}{Dt} = \partial_t(\cdot) + \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla(\cdot)$ for
 349 simplicity.

350 The continuity equation is modified as follows:

$$\alpha_k A \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + \rho_k A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + \rho_k A (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \rho_k \alpha_k \nabla \cdot (A \mathbf{u}_k) = 0 \quad (43)$$

351 The momentum and continuity equations are combined to yield the velocity
352 equation:

$$\alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{D\mathbf{u}_k}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A P_k) = \alpha_k P_k \nabla A + P_{int} A \nabla \alpha_k + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \quad (44)$$

The internal energy is obtained by subtracting the total energy from the kinetic equation defined as \mathbf{u}_k . Eq. (44):

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{De_k}{Dt} + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \mathbf{u}_k A P_k) - \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla (\alpha_k A P_k) &= P_{int} A (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k \\ &\quad - \alpha_k P_k \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

353 In the next step, we assume the existence of a phase wise entropy s_k function
354 of density ρ_k and internal energy e_k . Using the chain rule,

$$\frac{Ds_k}{Dt} = (s_\rho)_k \frac{D\rho_k}{Dt} + (s_e)_k \frac{De_k}{Dt}, \quad (46)$$

355 along with the internal energy (Eq. (45)) and the continuity equations (Eq. (43)),
356 the following entropy equation is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} + A \underbrace{(P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k) \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k + \alpha_k (P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k) \mathbf{u}_k \cdot \nabla A}_{(a)} &= \\ (s_e)_k P_{int} A [(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k - \bar{P}_{int} A \mu_P (P_k - P_j) + A \lambda_u (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)] &- \\ \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k [\mu_P A (P_k - P_j) + A (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int}) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k] & \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

357 where $(s_e)_k$ and $(s_\rho)_k$ denote the partial derivatives of the entropy s_k with
358 respect to the internal energy e_k and the density ρ_k , respectively. The second
359 term, (a), in the left hand side of Eq. (47) can be set to zero by assuming the
360 following relation between the partial derivatives of the entropy s_k :

$$P_k (s_e)_k + \rho_k^2 (s_\rho)_k = 0. \quad (48)$$

361 The above equation is equivalent to the application of the second thermody-
362 namic law when assuming reversibility:

$$T_k ds_k = de_k - \frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} d\rho_k \text{ with } (s_e)_k = \frac{1}{T_k} \text{ and } (s_\rho)_k = -\frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} (s_e)_k \quad (49)$$

363 Thus, equation Eq. (47) can be rearranged using the relation $(s_\rho)_k = -\frac{P_k}{\rho_k^2} (s_e)_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((s_e)_k)^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k \frac{Ds}{Dt} &= \underbrace{[P_{int} (\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) + P_k (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})] \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}_{(b)} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mu_P (P_k - P_j) (P_k - \bar{P}_{int})}_{(c)} + \underbrace{\lambda_u (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)}_{(d)} \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

364 The right hand side of equation Eq. (50) is split into three terms (b), (c) and (d)
 365 that will be dealt with separately. The terms (c) and (d) can be easily recast
 366 by using the definitions of $\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int}$ and \bar{P}_{int} given in equation Eq. (42):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_P(P_k - P_j)(P_k - \bar{P}_{int}) &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 \\ \lambda_u(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot (\bar{\mathbf{u}}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) &= \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

367 By definition, μ_P , λ_u and Z_k are all positive. Thus, the above terms (c) and
 368 (d) are unconditionally positive.
 369 It remains to look at the last term (b). Once again, by using the definition of
 370 \bar{P}_{int} and \mathbf{u}_{int} , and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k &= \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{P_k - P_j}{Z_k + Z_j} \\ P_{int} - P_k &= \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k) - \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} \frac{Z_k Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j),\end{aligned}$$

371 term (b) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}[P_{int}(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) + P_k(\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})] \cdot \nabla \alpha_k &= (P_{int} - P_k)(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k) \cdot \nabla \alpha_k = \\ &= \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \nabla \alpha_k \cdot \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)(P_j - P_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} Z_j^2 (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j)^2 + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|^2} (P_k - P_j) Z_j (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_j) \right]\end{aligned}\quad (52)$$

The above equation is factorized by $\|\nabla \alpha_k\|$ and then recast under a quadratic form using $\frac{\nabla \alpha_k \cdot \nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|^2} = 1$. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned}[(\mathbf{u}_{int} - \mathbf{u}_k)P_{int} + (\mathbf{u}_k - \mathbf{u}_{int})P_k] \nabla \alpha_k &= \\ \|\nabla \alpha_k\| \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2\end{aligned}\quad (53)$$

Thus, using Eq. (50), Eq. (51), Eq. (52) and Eq. (53), the entropy equation obtained in [1] holds and is recalled here for convenience:

$$\begin{aligned}(s_e)_k^{-1} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mu_P \frac{Z_k}{Z_k + Z_j} (P_j - P_k)^2 + \lambda_u \frac{Z_j}{Z_k + Z_j} (\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{Z_k}{(Z_k + Z_j)^2} \left[Z_j(\mathbf{u}_j - \mathbf{u}_k) + \frac{\nabla \alpha_k}{\|\nabla \alpha_k\|} (P_k - P_j) \right]^2.\end{aligned}$$

372 Appendix B Compatibility of the viscous regularization for the seven- 373 equation two-phase model with the generalized Harten 374 entropies

375 We investigate in this appendix whether the viscous regularization of the
 376 seven-equation two-phase model derived in Section 3 is compatible with some

or all generalized entropy identified in Harten et al. [12]. Considering the single-phase Euler equations, Harten et al. [12] demonstrated that a function $\rho\mathcal{H}(s)$ is called a generalized entropy and strictly concave if \mathcal{H} is twice differential and

$$\mathcal{H}'(s) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{H}'(s)c_p^{-1} - \mathcal{H}'' \geq 0, \quad \forall (\rho, e) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad (54)$$

where $c_p(\rho, e) = T\partial_T s(\rho, e)$ is the specific heat at constant pressure (T is a function of e and ρ through the equation of state). Because the seven-equation two-phase model was initially derived by assuming that each phase obeys the single-phase Euler equation, we want to investigate whether the above property still holds when considering the Seven-Equation two-phase flow Model with viscous regularization. To do so, we consider a phasic generalized entropy, $\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$ and a phasic specific heat at constant pressure, $c_{p,k}(\rho_k, e_k) = T_k\partial_{T_k} s_k(\rho_k, T_k)$ characterized by Eq. (54). The objective is to find an entropy inequality verified by $\rho_k\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$.

We start from the entropy inequality verified by s_k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{Ds_k}{Dt} &= \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla s_k) \\ &\quad - \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Eq. (55) is multiplied by $\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k)$ to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_k \rho_k A \frac{D\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)}{Dt} &= \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \mathcal{H}''_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \|\nabla s_k\|^2 + \\ &\quad \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \mathbf{f}_k \cdot \nabla s_k - \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k \rho_k A \kappa_k \mathbf{Q}_k + \\ &\quad \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Let us now multiply the continuity equation of phase k by $\mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$ and add the result to the above equation to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \\ &\quad \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) + \alpha_k A \kappa_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \rho_k + A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \alpha_k] = \\ &\quad \underbrace{-\mathcal{H}''_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \|\nabla s_k\|^2 - \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathbf{Q}_k}_{\mathbb{T}_0} + \\ &\quad \underbrace{\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) (s_e)_k \alpha_k A \rho_k \mu_k \nabla^s \mathbf{u}_k : \nabla \mathbf{u}_k}_{\mathbb{T}_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

As in Section 3, the left-hand side of Eq. (57) is split into two residuals denoted by \mathbb{T}_0 and \mathbb{T}_1 in order to study the sign of each of them. We start by studying the sign of \mathbb{T}_1 that is positive since it is assumed that $\mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \geq 0$. We now investigate the sign of \mathbb{T}_0 . Using Eq. (54), it is obtained:

$$-\mathbb{T}_0 \leq \mathcal{H}'_k(s_k) \alpha_k A \kappa_k \rho_k \left(c_{p,k}^{-1} \|\nabla s_k\|^2 + \mathbf{Q}_k \right). \quad (58)$$

The right-hand side of Eq. (58) is a quadratic form that was already defined in Appendix 5 of [5] and recast under the matricial form $X_k^t \mathbb{S} X_k$ where \mathbb{S} is a

2×2 matrix and the vector X_k is defined in Section 3. In [5], the matrix \mathbb{S} is proved to be negative semi-definite which allows us to conclude that $-\mathbb{T}_0$ is of the same sign using Eq. (58). Then, knowing the sign of the two residuals \mathbb{T}_0 and \mathbb{T}_1 , we conclude that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t (\alpha_k \rho_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) + \nabla \cdot (\alpha_k \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k A \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)) - \\ & \nabla \cdot [\alpha_k A \rho_k \kappa_k \nabla \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) + \alpha_k A \kappa_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \rho_k + A \kappa_k \rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k) \nabla \alpha_k] \geq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

393 which allows us to conclude that an entropy inequality is satisfied for all gen-
 394 eralized entropies $\rho_k \mathcal{H}_k(s_k)$ when using the viscous regularization derived in
 395 Section 3 for the seven-equation two-phase model. Note that the above inequal-
 396 ity holds for the total entropy of the system when summing over the phases.