

# Linguistic Type Database Update

Francis **Bond**,  
Michael Wayne Goodman and Luís Morgado da Costa

Department of Asian Studies,  
Palacký University, Olomouc, Czechia

[<bond@ieee.org>](mailto:bond@ieee.org)

DELPH-IN 2022



Faculty  
of Arts

# Why the LTDB?

- It is hard to work on a grammar that you did not write (just like any software)
  - ▶ Or that you wrote in collaboration
  - ▶ Or that you wrote sometime ago
  - ▶ Or that is generated by the MATRIX
- It is hard to be consistent within a treebank
  - ▶ Especially if it has multiple annotators
  - ▶ Or that you treebanked some bits some time ago
  - ▶ Or just if it is very big
- LTDB is an attempt to store the information you had in your mind when you wrote the grammar and make it more accessible
  - ▶ inspired by literate programming (Knuth, 1992)
  - ▶ store documentation **about the grammar — in the grammar files**



- Completely rewritten Lexical Type Database (**Hashimoto et al., 2007a,b**)
- Generalized in 2014 to handle all types (and some instances)

## The Linguistic Type Database

status	thing	source	endi
type	normal type		
ltype	lexical type	(type and in lexicon)	lt
rule	grammar rule	(LKB::*RULES)	c
lrule	lexical rule	(LKB::*LRULES)	
irule	inflectional rule	(LKB::*LRULES and (inflectional-rule-p id))	
root	start symbol	(LKB::*root-entries*)	

Rules also list number of daughters and head daughter.

# Headedness

We are **Head-driven** Phrase Structure Grammar, so it is nice to know the headedness of rules. We record 5 different possibilities:

- ▲ unary: headed
- △ unary: non-headed
- ▲ binary: left-headed
- ▲ binary: right-headed
- △ binary: non-headed

For each rule, in look for the daughters of the rule, see if `*head-daughter-path*` exists (only implemented for LKB at the moment).



# Use the new-ish comment field

Originally:

```
; <type val="n_-_c_le">  
; <description>Intransitive count noun (icn)  
; <ex>The dog barked.  
; <nex>  
; <todo>  
; </type>  
n_-_c_le := n_intr_lex_entry.
```

This becomes (ltype-comment):

```
n_-_c_le := n_intr_lex_entry  
""Intransitive count noun (icn)  
<ex>The dog barked.  
<nex>Much dog bark."".
```



# Other Changes

- Integration with grammar catalogue
- Description written in Restructured Text
  - ▶ Allows more flexible formatting
  - ▶ Special macros for positive and negative examples
- Scripts written in python3
- Source available in github:  
<https://github.com/fcbond/ltdb>



# 2020 enhancements

- ACE, LKB and PET now allow docstrings with `""" """` on all types and instances, to read them all
  - ▶ Thanks everyone for their support.
- The fftb can link to this for rules and lexical types
  - ▶ Maybe we should include an LTDB url in the metadata
- Moved to python3
- Now read tdl with PyDelphin
- You can specify a particular grammar (script file or ace config)  
latest version a branch on github, will move next week



# 2022 Enhancements

- Trees and MRS displayed using javascript (like viz-demo)
- Search for MRS predicates in the corpus, as well as types and words
- Slightly more robust
- Can read grammars with LKB (using `lkb/script`) or PyDelphin (using `ace/config.tdl`) or both
- Can pre-load some lisp before reading the config file e.g. to load the `mal` grammar:

```
./make-ltdb.bash --lisp '(push :mal *features*)'  
--script /path/to/grammar/lkb/script  
--acecfg /path/to/grammar/ace/config-mal.tdl
```





## Other useful information

- Make the conversion logs available (so the grammar developer or user can see if there are any known issues) — typically not all MRS's can be converted to DRMS or JSON
- Give a link to a compressed version of the database, so people can download it — may be easier to access the trees and MRSs for non-delph-in users  
there have been issues with people failing to get MRSs in the past, ...



# Discussion I

- Who is using this?
- Any requests?
- We will try to host Itdb
- It could interface with fftb better
- Can the matrix add doc-strings?
  - ▶ 232 types, some more features
  - ▶ 10 grammarians could probably do it in an hour, ...
  - ▶ Can we do it now, while we have so many knowledgeable matrix people, ...?
  - ▶ Can matrix libraries add doc-strings for new types?
- Should we attempt to add links to other ontologies such as GOLD or the Norwegian subcat lexicon



# Discussion II

- If we want to annotated features (like INFLECTED of MC), where should this go? In the type that first introduces them? Is there a way to index this (i.e. can we output it automatically from the lkb or pydelph(in))?
- Still need help from John to get doc-strings for lexicons, ...
- Should link the examples linked to test framework



# References I

- Chikara Hashimoto, Francis Bond, and Dan Flickinger. 2007a. The lextype DB: A web-based framework for collaborative multilingual grammar and treebank development. In *First International Workshop on Intercultural Collaboration (IWIC-2007)*, pages 44–58.
- Chikara Hashimoto, Francis Bond, Takaaki Tanaka, and Melanie Siegel. 2007b. Semi-automatic documentation of an implemented linguistic grammar augmented with a treebank. *Language Resources and Evaluation*, 42(2):117–126. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10579-008-9065-9>, (Special issue on Asian language technology).
- Donald E. Knuth. 1992. *Literate Programming*. CSLI Publications.

