# Contents

1	Lan	guage	1
	1.1	Integrity constraint	1
	1.2	Choice rules	1
	1.3	Cardinality rules	1
			1
			2
		11	2
			2
<b>2</b>	Pro	gram definition	<b>2</b>
	2.1	Herbrand universe $\mathcal{T}$ & base $\mathcal{A}$	2
		2.1.1 Definition	2
			3
	2.2		3
3	Con	sequences $Cn(P)$ of $P$	3
_	3.1	1 /	3
	3.2		3
	3.3	1	3
4	Тъ-	Operator	3
	4.1	±	3
	4.2		4
	4.3	•	4
5	Red	$\operatorname{act} P^{\mathbf{X}}$	4
_	5.1		4
	5.2		4
	5.3	1	4
6	Sim	ole Solving algorithm	5
•		0 0	5
	6.2		5
	6.3	1	5
7	Cla	ks Completion $CF(P)$	6
•	7.1	-	6
	7.2		6
	7.3	1	6
	7.4	v	6
8	Pos	tive atom dependency graph	6
J	8.1	1 0 1	6
	8.2		7
	8.3	1	7
	$\circ.\circ$	I III Out	1

9	Loo	p
	9.1	Definition
	9.2	Description
	9.3	Fill out
10	Loo	p Formula
	10.1	Definition
		Description
	10.3	Usefull symbols
	10.4	Fill out $ES_P(L)$
		Fill out $LF(P)$
11	Unf	ounded Sets
	11.1	Definition
	11.2	Description
		Usefull symbols
		Fill out all unfounded sets $u_p \langle T, F \rangle$ of $P$
		Fill out loop formulas for the unfounded sets $u_p \langle T, F \rangle$ of $P \ldots \ldots$
		Fill out table of unfounded sets and loop formulas
		Fill out greatest unfounded set $U_P \langle T, \hat{F} \rangle$ of $P$
12	Fitt	ing semantics
		Definition
		Description
		Fill out
13	Wel	l-founded semantics
	13.1	Definition
		Description
		Fill out
14	Nog	${ m goods}$
	_	Definition
		First UIP

# 1 Language

### 1.1 Integrity constraint

An integrity constraint of the form:

$$\leftarrow a_1, \ldots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \ldots, \neg a_n$$

can be written as a normal rule:

$$x \leftarrow a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n, \neg x$$

#### 1.2 Choice rules

A choice rule of the form:

$$\{a_1,\ldots,a_m\} \leftarrow a_{m+1},\ldots,a_n,\neg a_{n+1},\ldots,\neg a_o$$

can be written as 2m + 1 normal rules:

$$x \leftarrow a_{m+1}, \dots, a_n, \neg a_{n+1}, \dots, \neg a_o$$

$$x_1 \leftarrow \neg a_1$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$x_m \leftarrow \neg a_m$$

$$a_1 \leftarrow x, \neg x_1$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$a_m \leftarrow x, \neg x_m$$

### 1.3 Cardinality rules

#### 1.3.1 CR with lower bound

A cardinality rule of the from:

$$a_0 \leftarrow l\{a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n\}$$

can be translated into the normal rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_0 & \leftarrow & x(1,l) \\ x(i,k+1) & \leftarrow & x(i+1,k), a_i \\ x(i,k) & \leftarrow & x(i+1,k) \\ x(j,k+1) & \leftarrow & x(j+1,k), \neg a_j \\ x(j,k) & \leftarrow & x(j+1,k) \end{array}$$

with:

$$\begin{aligned} &0\leqslant k\leqslant l,\\ &1\leqslant i\leqslant m\\ &m+1\leqslant j\leqslant n \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3.2 CR with lower and upper bound

A cardinality rule of the from:

$$a_0 \leftarrow l\{a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n\}u$$

can be translated into normal rules:

$$a_0 \leftarrow x, \neg y$$

$$x \leftarrow l\{a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n\}$$

$$y \leftarrow u + 1\{a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n\}$$

#### 1.3.3 CR in the head

A cardinality rule of the from:

$$l\{a_1, \ldots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \ldots, \neg a_n\}u \leftarrow a_{n+1}, \ldots, a_o, \neg a_{o+1}, \ldots, \neg a_p$$

can be translated into the normal rules:

$$\begin{cases}
 x &\leftarrow a_{n+1}, \dots, a_o, \neg a_{o+1}, \dots, \neg a_p \\
 \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} &\leftarrow x \\
 y &\leftarrow l\{a_1, \dots, a_m, \neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n\}u \\
 &\leftarrow x, \neg y
 \end{cases}$$

#### 1.3.4 Full fledged CR

A rule of the form:

$$l_0 S_0 u_0 \leftarrow l_1 S_1 u_1, \dots, l_n S_n u_n$$

can be translated into normal rules:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
x & \leftarrow & y_1, \dots, y_n, \neg z_1, \dots, \neg z_n \\
S_0^+ & \leftarrow & x \\
& \leftarrow & x, \neg y_0 \\
& \leftarrow & x, z_0 \\
y_i & \leftarrow & l_i S_i \\
z_i & \leftarrow & u_i + 1 S_i
\end{array}$$

# 2 Program definition

### 2.1 Herbrand universe $\mathcal{T}$ & base $\mathcal{A}$

#### 2.1.1 Definition

- $\mathcal{T}$ : set of variable-free terms
- $\mathcal{A}$ : set of variable-free atoms constructed from  $\mathcal{T}$

$$ground(r) = \{r\theta \mid \theta : var(r) \to \mathcal{T} \text{ and } var(r\theta) = \varnothing\}$$
 (1)

$$ground(P) = \bigcup_{r \in P} ground(r)$$
 (2)

A set X of ground atoms is a stable model of P, if X is a stable model of ground(P)

#### 2.1.2 Fill out

$$\mathcal{T} = \{atom1, atom2, atom3, ..\}$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \{atom1, atom2, atom3, ..\}$$

$$ground(P) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \end{array} \right\}$$

### 2.2 Fill out

$$P = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \end{array} \right\}$$

# 3 Consequences Cn(P) of P

### 3.1 Definition

The consequences of a program P Cn(P) is the smallest set **closed** under P. A set X of atoms is closed under a positive program P if  $h(r) \in X$  whenever  $B(r)^+ \subseteq X$  for all  $r \in P$ .

# 3.2 Description

• what can be derived from the positive logic program P using its rules and facts

### 3.3 Fill out

$$Cn(P) = \{atom1, atom2, atom3, ..\}$$

# 4 T<sub>P</sub>-Operator

#### 4.1 Definition

Iteratively calculating the consequences of a program Cn(P), by applying:

$$T_P X = \{ h(r) \mid r \in P, \ B(r)^+ \subseteq X, \ B(r)^- \cap Y = \emptyset \}$$
(3)

### 4.2 Description

• initialize  $T_P^0(X)$ 

• apply iteration:  $T_P^i(X) = T_P(T_P^{i-1}(X))$ 

• stop if  $T_P(X_i) = T_P(X_{i+1})$ 

•  $Cn(P) = \bigcup_{i \geqslant 0} T_P^i(\varnothing)$ 

### 4.3 Fill out

	X	$T_P(X)$
$X_0$	Ø	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$
$X_1$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$
$X_2$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$
$X_3$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$

# $5 \quad Reduct P^X$

### 5.1 Definition

The reduct  $P^X$  of a program P relative to a set X of atoms is defined as:

$$P^X = \{h(r) \leftarrow B(r)^+ \mid r \in P, B(r)^- \cap X = \emptyset\}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

# 5.2 Description

• get rid of rules satisfying  $B(r)^- \cap X = \emptyset$ 

• get rid of remaining negative body literals

• a set X is a stable model of P if:

$$-Cn(P^X) = X$$

### 5.3 Fill out

$$P^{X} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \end{array} \right\}$$

# 6 Simple Solving algorithm

### 6.1 Definition

Approximate a stable model X by L a lower bound X and by U an upper bound on X. Use the expand procedure:

$$expand_{P} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} L' \leftarrow L \\ U' \leftarrow U \\ L \leftarrow L' \cup Cn(P^{U'}) \\ U \leftarrow U' \cap Cn(P^{L'}) \end{array} \right\}$$

- $\bullet$  at each step L becomes larger or stays in size
- ullet at each step U becomes smaller or stays in size
- continue expand procedure until L = L' and U = U'
- $L = U \Rightarrow L$  is a stable model of P
- $L \nsubseteq U \Rightarrow P$  has no stable model

### 6.2 Description

- 1. start with  $L' = \emptyset$  and U' = A(P)
- 2. compute the consequences of L' and  $U' \Rightarrow Cn(L'), Cn(U')$
- 3. for L compute the union  $L' \cup Cn(P^{U'})$
- 4. for U compute the intersection  $U' \cap Cn(P^{L'})$
- 5. if L = L' and U = U' is not reachible make a guess:
  - (a) set one atom a to true
  - (b) start with L' = a and U' = A(P)
  - (c) continue from step 2.
- 6. if L = L' and U = U' is reached
  - (a) compute also the complement of the guess  $\neg a$
  - (b) start with  $L' = \emptyset$  and  $U' = A(P) \setminus a$
  - (c) continue from step 2.

#### 6.3 Fill out

L'	$Cn(P^{U'}$	L	U'	$Cn(P^{L'}$	U
Ø	$\{atom1, atom2, atom3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$
$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$
$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$
$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$	$\{a1, a2, a3\}$

# 7 Clarks Completion CF(P)

### 7.1 Definition

Let P be a normal logic program. Clarks Completion CF(P) is defined as:

$$CF(P) = \left\{ a \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{r \in P, \ h(r) = a} BF(B(r)) \mid a \in A(P) \right\}$$
 (5)

with BF(B(r)):

$$BF(B(r)) = \bigwedge_{a \in B(r)^{+}} a \wedge \bigwedge_{a \in B(r)^{-}} \neg a \tag{6}$$

## 7.2 Description

- find rules with the same head
- combine the body literals of a rule with an and-operator  $\wedge$  (6)
- combine all rules with an or-operator  $\vee$  (5)

Every stable model of P is a model of CF(P), but not vice versa. The models of CF(P) are called **supported models**.

### 7.3 Usefull symbols

- ^
- ∨
- ¬
- $\bullet \leftrightarrow$
- ullet  $\longrightarrow$
- ←
- •
- T

### 7.4 Fill out

$$CF(P) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} a & \leftrightarrow & (\neg b \land c) \lor d \\ a & \leftrightarrow & (\neg b \land c) \lor d \\ a & \leftrightarrow & (\neg b \land c) \lor d \end{array} \right\}$$

# 8 Positive atom dependency graph

#### 8.1 Definition

$$G(P) \ = \ (A(P), \ \big\{(b,a) \mid r \in P, \ b \in B(r)^+, \ h(r) = a\big\})$$

### 8.2 Description

- go through each rule of CF(P) or P
- for each positive body literal b in a rule create a tuple (b, a), where a is the head of the rule
- If G(P) is acyclic  $\rightarrow$  P is called **tight**
- $\Rightarrow$  supported models = stable models
- build graph and get tex code from: http://madebyevan.com/fsm/

### 8.3 Fill out

$$G(P) = (\{a, b, c, d, ...\}, \{(b, a), (c, a), (d, a), (b, b), (c, b), (d, b), (b, c), (c, c), (d, c)\})$$

# 9 Loop

### 9.1 Definition

Let G(P) = (A(P), E) be the positive atom dependency graph of a normal logic program P.

A set  $\emptyset \subset L \subseteq A(P)$  is a **loop** of P, if it induces a non-trivial strongly connected subgraph of G(P):  $\Rightarrow loop(P)$ .  $loop(P) = \emptyset \Rightarrow P$  is acyclic/**tight**.

# 9.2 Description

- draw the graph
- look for  $\longleftrightarrow$
- look for cycles

Note: If there are 2 loops with a common node the union of nodes is a loop as well.

### 9.3 Fill out

$$loop(P) = \{\{loop1\}, \\ \{loop2\}, \\ \{loop3\}\}$$

# 10 Loop Formula

### 10.1 Definition

Let P be a normal logic program for  $L \subseteq A(P)$ , define the **external support** of L for P as:

$$ES_P(L) = \{ r \in P \mid h(r) \in L, \ B(r)^+ \cap L = \emptyset \}$$

$$(7)$$

It follows the **Loop Formula** for a loop  $L \in loop(P)$ :

$$LF_P(L) = (\bigvee_{a \in L} a) \to \left(\bigvee_{r \in ES_P(L)} BF(B(r))\right)$$
 (8)

The **Loop Formula** for P is:

$$LF_P(L) = \{ LF(L) \mid L \in loop(P) \}$$
(9)

### 10.2 Description

- Compute the external support  $ES_P(L)$  for each loop:
  - take the rule of P if:
    - 1. the head of the rule is in L
    - 2. the positive body literal is not in L
- $\bullet$  combine the atoms of the loop L with or-oprator  $\vee$
- ullet  $\longrightarrow$
- combine the rules of the **external support** with an or-oprator  $\vee$
- $\Rightarrow$  if a supported model is also a model of LF(P) it is a stable model.

# 10.3 Usefull symbols

- ^
- ∨
- ¬
- $\bullet \rightarrow$
- ←

# 10.4 Fill out $ES_P(L)$

$$ES_P(L) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \\ head \leftarrow body \end{array} \right\}$$

### 10.5 Fill out LF(P)

$$LF(P) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} loop1 & \rightarrow & ES_P(loop1)rule1 \lor ES_P(loop1)rule2 \\ loop2 & \rightarrow & ES_P(loop2)rule1 \lor ES_P(loop1)rule2 \\ loop3 & \rightarrow & ES_P(loop3)rule1 \lor ES_P(loop1)rule2 \end{array} \right\}$$

### 11 Unfounded Sets

### 11.1 Definition

Let P be a normal logic program and let  $\langle T, F \rangle$  be a partial interpretation. A set  $U \subseteq A(P)$  is an unfounded set of P with respect to  $\langle T, F \rangle$  if for each rule  $r \in P$  such that  $h(r) \in U$  one of the condition holds:

- 1.  $B(r)^+ \cap F \neq \emptyset$
- 2.  $B(r)^- \cap T \neq \emptyset$
- 3.  $B(r)^+ \cap U \neq \emptyset$

### 11.2 Description

- $\emptyset$  is an unfounded set per definition
- find the greatest unfounded set  $U_P$  with respect to  $\langle T, F \rangle$  (compare: 13.2)
- check all subsets of  $U_P \langle T, F \rangle$  for the conditions of a unfounded set

# 11.3 Usefull symbols

- ^
- ∨
- ¬
- ullet  $\longrightarrow$
- >
- (
- ⊥
- T

# 11.4 Fill out all unfounded sets $u_p \langle T, F \rangle$ of P

$$u_p \langle T, F \rangle = \{ \{ \emptyset \},$$
  
 $\{unfoundedset1 \},$   
 $\{unfoundedset2 \},$   
 $\{unfoundedset3 \}\}$ 

Note: I used  $u_p \langle T, F \rangle$  (lower case u) as the set of unfounded sets.

# 11.5 Fill out loop formulas for the unfounded sets $u_p \langle T, F \rangle$ of P

$$LF(unfoundedset) = \left\{ \ atomsofunfoundedset \ \rightarrow \ ES_P(unfoundedset) \ \right\}$$

### 11.6 Fill out table of unfounded sets and loop formulas

U	$LF_P(U)$	
Ø	$\perp \rightarrow \perp$	
U1	$a1 \lor a2 \lor a3 \to ES_P(U1)$	
U2	$a1 \lor a2 \lor a3 \to ES_P(U2)$	
U3	$a1 \lor a2 \lor a3 \to ES_P(U3)$	

# 11.7 Fill out greatest unfounded set $U_P \langle T, F \rangle$ of P

$$U_p \langle T, F \rangle = \{greatest \ unfounded \ set\}$$

# 12 Fitting semantics

#### 12.1 Definition

Let P be a normal logic program and  $\langle T, F \rangle$  a partial interpretation. The **fitting operator**  $\Phi_P$  is defined as:

$$\Phi_P\langle T, F \rangle = \langle T_P\langle T, F \rangle, F_P\langle T, F \rangle \rangle \tag{10}$$

$$T_P\langle T, F \rangle = \{ h(r) \mid r \in P, B(r)^+ \subseteq T, \ B(r)^- \subseteq F \}$$
(11)

$$F_P\langle T, F \rangle = \{a \in A(P) \mid B(r)^+ \cap F \neq \emptyset \text{ or } B(r)^- \cap T \neq \emptyset, \ \forall r \in P \text{ s.t. } h(r) = a\}$$
 (12)

Using  $\Phi_P$  iteratively leads to the **fitting semantics**:

$$\begin{split} \Phi_P^0 \langle T, F \rangle &= \langle T, F \rangle \\ \Phi_P^{i+1} \langle T, F \rangle &= \Phi_P \Phi_P^i \langle T, F \rangle \\ \Rightarrow & \bigsqcup_{i \geq 0} \Phi_P^i \langle \varnothing, \varnothing \rangle \end{split}$$

- $\Phi_P\langle T, F \rangle = \langle T, F \rangle$  is called fitting fixpoint
- the fitting semanstics corresponds with the least fixpoint
- the least fixpoint can be expanded
- the total fixpoints corresponds to the supported models

### 12.2 Description

- for each iteration compute  $T_P$  and  $F_P$ :
- $T_P$ :
  - 1. search in P for positive body literals, which are also in T of the current partial interpretation

- 2. search in P for negative body literals, which are also in F of the current partial interpretation
- 3. if a rule is satisfied add its head to T
- $\bullet$   $F_P$ :
  - 1. search in P for positive body literals, which are in F of the current partial interpretation  $\Rightarrow$  rule becomes inapplicable
  - 2. search in P for negative body literals, which are in T of the current partial interpretation  $\Rightarrow$  rule becomes inapplicable
  - 3. if all rules for a paticular head are inapplicable  $\Rightarrow$  add this atom to F
- repeat until  $\Phi_P^i\langle T, F\rangle = \Phi_P^{i+1}\langle T, F\rangle$

### **12.3** Fill out

$$\begin{split} \Phi_P^0\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle \\ \Phi_P^1\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \\ \Phi_P^2\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \\ \Phi_P^3\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \\ \Phi_P^4\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \\ \bigsqcup_{i\geqslant 0} \Phi_P^i\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle &= \langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \end{split}$$

### 13 Well-founded semantics

#### 13.1 Definition

Let P be a normal logic program and  $\langle T, F \rangle$  a partial interpretation. The **well-founded** operator  $\Omega_P$  is defined as:

$$\Omega_P \langle T, F \rangle = \langle T_P \langle T, F \rangle, U_P \langle T, F \rangle \rangle \tag{13}$$

Recall:  $U_P$  is the Greatest Unfounded Set.

$$T_P\langle T, F \rangle = \{ h(r) \mid r \in P, B(r)^+ \subseteq T, \ B(r)^- \subseteq F \}$$
(14)

$$U_P\langle T, F \rangle = A(P) \backslash Cn(\{r \in P \mid B(r)^+ \cap F = \varnothing\}^T)$$
(15)

Note:

$$Cn(\{r \in P \mid B(r)^+ \cap F = \varnothing\}^T) = Cn(\{h(r) \leftarrow B(r)^+ \mid r \in P, B(r)^+ \cap F = \varnothing, B(r)^- \cap T = \varnothing\})$$

Using  $\Omega_P$  iteratively leads to the well-founded semantics:

$$\begin{split} \Omega_P^0 \big\langle T, F \big\rangle &= \big\langle T, F \big\rangle \\ \Omega_P^{i+1} \big\langle T, F \big\rangle &= \Omega_P \Omega_P^i \big\langle T, F \big\rangle \\ \Rightarrow & \bigsqcup_{i \geqslant 0} \Omega_P^i \big\langle \varnothing, \varnothing \big\rangle \end{split}$$

### 13.2 Description

- for each iteration compute  $T_P$  and  $U_P$ :
- $\bullet$   $T_P$ :
  - 1. search in P for positive body literals, which are also in T of the current partial interpretation
  - 2. search in P for negative body literals, which are also in F of the current partial interpretation
  - 3. if a rule is satisfied add its head to T
- $\bullet$   $U_P$ :
  - 1. search in P for positive body literals, which are in F of the current partial interpretation  $\Rightarrow$  rule becomes inapplicable
  - 2. search in P for negative body literals, which are in T of the current partial interpretation  $\Rightarrow$  rule becomes inapplicable
  - 3. get rid of all remaining negative body literals
  - 4. compute the consequences Cn(P) of the remaining program P
  - 5. the greates unfounded set  $U_P$  is the difference of all atom A(P) and Cn(P)
- repeat until  $\Omega_P^i\langle T, F\rangle = \Omega_P^{i+1}\langle T, F\rangle$

#### 13.3 Fill out

$$\begin{split} \Omega_P^0\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle\\ \Omega_P^1\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle\\ \Omega_P^2\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle\\ \Omega_P^3\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle\\ \Omega_P^4\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle\\ \sqcup_{i\geqslant 0}\Omega_P^i\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle\\ \\ \sqcup_{i\geqslant 0}\Omega_P^i\langle\varnothing,\varnothing\rangle&=\langle\{a1,a2\},\{a1,a2\}\rangle \end{split}$$

# 14 Nogoods

### 14.1 Definition

Two types of **nogoods**:

- 1. computation negoods  $\Delta_P$ 
  - (a) atom-oriented nogoods
    - i. given rules :  $a \leftrightarrow v_{B_1} \lor \ldots \lor v_{B_k}$ , yields:
    - ii.  $\Delta(a) = \{\{F_a, T_{B_1}\}, \dots, \{F_a, T_{B_k}\}\}\$   $\rightarrow$  it cannot be the case, if a is False, that one of its bodies  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  is True.

- iii.  $\delta(a) = \{T_a, F_{B_1}, \dots, F_{B_k}\}\$   $\rightarrow$  it cannot be the case, if a is True, that all of its bodies  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  are False.
- (b) body-oriented nogoods
  - i. given a body:  $v_B \leftrightarrow a_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge a_m \wedge \neg a_{m+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \neg a_n$ , yields:
  - ii.  $\Delta(B) = \{\{T_B, F_{a_1}\}, \dots, \{T_B, F_{a_m}\}, \{T_B, T_{a_{m+1}}\}, \dots \{T_B, T_{a_n}\}\}$   $\rightarrow$  it cannot be the case, if B is True, that one of its pos. body lit.  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  is False and one of its neg. body lit.  $\neg a_{m+1}, \dots, \neg a_n$  is True.
  - iii.  $\delta(B) = \{F_B, T_{a_1}, T_{a_m}, F_{a_{m+1}}, F_{a_n}\}$  $\rightarrow$  it cannot be the case, if B is False, that all of its pos. body lit.  $a_1, \ldots, a_m$  are True and all of its neg. body lit.  $\neg a_{m+1}, \ldots, \neg a_n$  are False.
- 2. loop nogoods  $\Lambda_P$ 
  - (a) given a loop  $U \varnothing \subset U \subseteq A(P)$ , the loop nogood of an atom  $a \in U$  is:
  - (b)  $\lambda(a, U) = \{T_a, F_{B_1}, \dots, F_{B_k}\}$ , where:
  - (c)  $EB_P(U) = \{B_1, \dots, B_K\}$  is the external support for the loop.
    - $\rightarrow$  it cannot be the case, if a is *True*, that all body literals of its external support are *False*.
  - (d)  $\Lambda_P = \bigcup_{\varnothing \subset U \subset A(P)} \{\lambda(a, U) \mid a \in U\}$

### 14.2 First UIP

Derive a conflict nogood from a violated nogood, by iteratively resolving violating literals.

- 1. start from the violated nogood
- 2. look for the latest derivation of a literal at the current decision level
- 3. copy every literal of the nogood except the one to resolve
- 4. resolve the literal using the associated nogood
- 5. repeat until only one literal of the current decision level is part of the conflict nogood
- 6. undo the latest decision level and continue with the derived conflict nogood

```
\Delta_P = \{
            \{T_a, F\{\neg b, \neg d\}, F\{\neg c, e\}\},\
   \{F_a, T\{\neg b, \neg d\}\}, \{F_a, T\{\neg c, e\}\},\
                               \{T_b, F\{\neg c, g\}\},\
                               \{F_b, T\{\neg c, g\}\},\
                               \{T_c, F\{a, \neg d\}\},\
                               \{F_c, T\{a, \neg d\}\},\
                                  \{T_d, F\{\neg c\}\},\
                                   \{F_d, T\{\neg c\}\},\
           \{T_e, F\{a, \neg q\}, F\{\neg b, \neg f\}\},\
  \{F_e, T\{a, \neg g\}\}, \{F_e, T\{\neg b, \neg f\}\},\
                                 \{T_f, F\{a, d\}\},\
                                 \{F_f, T\{a, d\}\},\
                           \{T_q, F\{\neg b, \neg f\}\},\
                            \{F_a, T\{\neg b, \neg f\}\},\
\{T\{\neg b, \neg d\}, T_b\}, \{T\{\neg b, \neg d\}, T_d\},\
                      \{F\{\neg b, \neg d\}, F_b, F_d\},\
       \{T\{\neg c, e\}, T_c\}, \{T\{\neg c, e\}, F_e\},
                          \{F\{\neg c, e\}, F_c, T_e\},\
      \{T\{\neg c, g\}, T_c\}, \{T\{\neg c, g\}, F_g\},
                         \{F\{\neg c, g\}, F_c, T_g\},\
     \{T\{a, \neg d\}, F_a\}, \{T\{a, \neg d\}, T_d\},
                         \{F\{a, \neg d\}, T_a, F_d\},\
                                   \{T\{\neg c\}, T_c\},
                                   \{F\{\neg c\}, F_c\},\
     \{T\{a, \neg g\}, F_a\}, \{T\{a, \neg g\}, T_g\},
                        \{F\{a, \neg g\}, T_a, F_g\},
\{T\{\neg b, \neg f\}, T_b\}, \{T\{\neg b, \neg f\}, T_f\},
                      \{F\{\neg b, \neg f\}, F_b, F_f\},\
           {T{a,d}, F_a}, {T{a,d}, F_d},
                            \{F\{a,d\}, T_a, T_d\},\
                             loop(P) = \{a, e\}
                                           \Lambda_P = \{
         \{T_a, F\{\neg b, \neg d\}, F\{\neg b, \neg f\}\}\
         \{T_e, F\{\neg b, \neg d\}, F\{\neg b, \neg f\}\}
```