

MIREX Evaluation Results Poster 2

J. Stephen Downie & IMIRSEL
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
email: jdownie@illinois.edu

Audio Classical Composer Identification		
Rank	Participant	Accuracy
1	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (2) (true, rca)	62.05%
2	Cao & Li (1)	60.97%
3	Cao & Li (2)	60.03%
4	Xu, Zhen & Zheng (RUC_2)	57.18%
5	Wang (1)	56.35%
6	Burred & Peeters (1)	55.66%
7	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (1) (full)	55.34%
8	Burred & Peeters (2)	54.76%
9	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (1) (false, rca)	54.73%
10	Liu, Zheng & Gao (RUC_1)	54.40%
11	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (1) (WMV)	53.57%
11	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (2) (BWWV)	53.57%
12	Xu, Liu, Zheng, Zhen & Gao (RUC_1)	53.54%
13	Wang (2)	53.10%
14	Seyerlehner & Schedl	52.56%
15	Tzanetakis (2) (stereo)	51.48%
16	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (6) (true, svm)	50.36%
17	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (5) (false, svm)	49.75%
18	Peeters	48.85%
19	Rao & Kini (1)	48.41%
20	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (4) (true, simca)	48.20%
21	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (3) (false, simca)	48.12%
22	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (2) (template)	45.92%
23	Tsunoo, Tzanetakis, Ono & Sagayama	44.37%
24	Tzanetakis (1) (mono)	43.69%
25	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (1) (tcca)	43.33%
26	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (3) (tcc1)	42.24%
27	Anonymous	41.77%
28	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (4) (tcpk)	29.04%
29	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (2) (tcck)	15.84%

Audio Genre Classification (Latin Set)		
Rank	Participant	Accuracy
1	Cao & Li (1)	74.66%
2	Cao & Li (2)	73.58%
3	Burred & Peeters (1)	67.31%
4	Seyerlehner & Schedl	64.69%
5	Burred & Peeters (2)	63.52%
6	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (6) (true, svm)	63.16%
7	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (1) (full)	62.79%
8	Peeters	62.63%
9	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (2) (true, rca)	62.39%
10	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (1) (false, rca)	61.68%
11	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (5) (false, svm)	61.14%
12	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (1) (WMV)	58.37%
13	Rao & Kini (1)	57.11%
14	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (1) (tcca)	56.32%
15	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (3) (tcc1)	56.22%
16	Liu, Zheng & Gao (RUC_1)	55.96%
17	Xu, Liu, Zheng, Zhen & Gao (RUC_1)	55.25%
17	Xu, Zhen & Zheng (RUC_2)	55.25%
18	Ren, Chen & Jang (4)	55.22%
19	Wang (1)	54.72%
20	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (2) (BWWV)	54.49%
21	Tsunoo, Tzanetakis, Ono & Sagayama	53.70%
22	Tzanetakis (2) (stereo)	52.82%
23	Ren, Chen & Jang (2)	52.43%
24	Wang (2)	52.28%
25	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (2) (template)	49.84%
26	Tzanetakis (1) (mono)	49.75%
27	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (4) (true, simca)	47.79%
28	Ren, Chen & Jang (3)	46.78%
29	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (3) (false, simca)	45.80%
30	Ren, Chen & Jang (1)	38.93%
31	Anonymous	38.87%
32	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (4) (tcpk)	30.05%

Audio Genre Classification (Mixed Set)		
Rank	Participant	Accuracy
1	Cao & Li (2)	73.33%
2	Cao & Li (1)	73.23%
3	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (1) (full)	71.23%
4	Burred & Peeters (1)	70.63%
5	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (5) (false, svm)	70.44%
6	Xu, Zhen & Zheng (RUC_2)	69.36%
7	Xu, Liu, Zheng, Zhen & Gao (RUC_1)	68.93%
8	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (1) (WMV)	68.84%
9	Burred & Peeters (2)	68.51%
10	Liu, Zheng & Gao (RUC_1)	68.29%
11	Tsunoo, Tzanetakis, Ono & Sagayama	67.89%
12	Tzanetakis (2) (stereo)	67.87%
13	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (2) (BWWV)	67.39%
14	Seyerlehner & Schedl	66.60%
15	Wang (1)	65.99%
16	Wang (2)	65.31%
17	Tzanetakis (1) (mono)	65.10%
18	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (1) (false, rca)	64.79%
19	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (1) (tcca)	64.47%
20	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (3) (tcc1)	64.34%
21	Peeters	64.24%
22	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (3) (false, simca)	64.06%
23	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (4) (true, simca)	64.00%
24	Rao & Kini (1)	61.41%
25	Anonymous	60.50%
26	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (2) (template)	60.14%
27	Ren, Chen & Jang (4)	50.99%
28	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (4) (tcpk)	45.16%
29	Ren, Chen & Jang (3)	37.71%
30	Ren, Chen & Jang (1)	32.50%
31	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (2) (tcck)	20.90%

Audio Mood Classification		
Rank	Participant	Accuracy
1	Cao & Li (1)	65.67%
2	Cao & Li (2)	65.50%
3	Peeters	63.67%
4	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (5) (false, svm)	62.83%
5	Wang (2)	61.67%
5	Liu, Zheng & Gao (RUC_1)	61.67%
6	Wang (1)	61.33%
7	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (1) (full)	60.83%
8	Feng, Chen & Yang (1)	60.33%
9	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (2) (BWWV)	60.17%
10	Xu, Zhen & Zheng (RUC_2)	60.00%
11	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (3) (false, simca)	59.83%
12	Burred & Peeters (2)	59.67%
13	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (6) (true, svm)	59.50%
14	Tzanetakis (1) (mono)	59.33%
14	Lidy, Grecu, Rauber, Pertusa, Léon & Iñesta (1) (WMV)	59.33%
14	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (4) (true, simca)	59.33%
15	Seyerlehner & Schedl	58.83%
16	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (1) (tcca)	58.67%
16	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (3) (tcc1)	58.67%
17	Feng, Chen & Yang (2)	58.33%
18	Burred & Peeters (1)	58.17%
19	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (1) (false, rca)	57.67%
20	Wack, Guaus, Laurier, Meyers, Marxer, Bogdanov, Serrà & Herrera (2) (true, rca)	57.50%
21	Xu, Liu, Zheng, Zhen & Gao (RUC_1)	57.00%
22	Tzanetakis (2) (stereo)	56.83%
22	Tsunoo, Akase, Ono & Sagayama	56.83%
23	Rao & Kini (1)	53.17%
24	Grecu, Lidy & Rauber (2) (template)	53.00%
25	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (4) (tcpk)	51.17%
26	Anonymous	50.67%
27	Rao & Kini (2)	41.33%
28	Hasegawa, Nishimoto, Ono & Sagayama (2) (tcck)	34.67%

Audio Tag Classification (Major Minor)			
Rank	Participant	F-Measure (Tag)	AUC-ROC (Tag)
1	Lo, Wang & Wang (2)	0.311	0.807
2	Tzanetakis (2)	0.293	0.786
3	Burred & Peeters (2)	0.290	0.761
3	Tzanetakis (1)	0.290	0.784
4	Lo, Wang & Wang (1)	0.289	0.782
5	Burred & Peeters (1)	0.277	0.742
6	Cao & Li (4)	0.263	0.749
7	Cao & Li (2)	0.241	0.791
8	Cao & Li (1)	0.209	0.762
9	Cao & Li (3)	0.170	0.721
10	Hoffman, Blei & Cook	0.044	0.736
11	Peeters	0.012	—

Audio Tag Classification (Mood Set)			
Rank	Participant	F-Measure (Tag)	AUC-ROC (Tag)
1	Lo, Wang & Wang (2)	0.219	0.701
2	Tzanetakis (1)	0.211	0.649
3	Tzanetakis (2)	0.209	0.655
4	Lo, Wang & Wang (1)	0.204	0.667
5	Burred & Peeters (1)	0.195	0.648
6	Burred & Peeters (2)	0.193	0.632
7	Cao & Li (4)	0.183	0.646
8	Cao & Li (2)	0.180	0.681
9	Cao & Li (1)	0.172	0.652
10	Cao & Li (3)	0.147	0.629
11	Peeters	0.084	—
12	Hoffman, Blei & Cook	0.063	0.664

Special Thanks to: The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, the National Science Foundation (Grant No. NSF IIS-0327371 and No. NSF IIS-0328471), the content providers, Evalutron graders, the MIR community, all of the IMIRSEL group (M. Bay, M. Davies, A. Ehmann, C. Harte, X. Hu, A. Gruz, M. C. Jones, J. H. Lee, K. Muntashir, K. West, D. Wright, G. Zhu) and the ISMIR 2009 organizing committee

