

Take on Wall Street August 28 <sup>th</sup> – September 5 <sup>th</sup> , 2016				Strategy •	Precision • Impact		
Gende	r	N=	TOTAL 1000	DEM 393	IND /DK 222	REP 349	
acriac							
	Men Women			44 56	51 49	50 50	
State							
	Florida Missouri Ohio Pennsylvania		25 25	23 22 26 28	28 32 24 16	25 24 26 25	
Party r	egistration						
r urty r	DemocraticRepublicanUnaffiliated /OtherNon-Party Reg. State		28 21	49 8 21 22	17 17 34 32	7 57 13 24	
1.	Before we begin, I need to know if I have reach where you can talk safely? [IF NOT ON A CEL						
	Yes, cell and can talk safelyYes, cell and cannot talk safely <b>TE</b> l			49	54	36	
	No, not on cell, but own one			46	41	56	
	No, not on cell, and do not own one(Don't know/Refused)			6	6	8	
	[IF Q1= 2] And is there a better time to reach	n you	u? [SCHEI	OULE CALL	BACK]		
2.	While it is a long way off, how likely are you to vote in the November 2016 election for President, U.S. Senate, Congress, and local offices —are you almost CERTAIN to vote, will you PROBABLY vote, are the chances about 50-50, are you probably NOT going to vote, or are you DEFINITELY not going to vote?						
	Almost Certain		93	95	90	93	
	Probably			5	10	7	
	50-50 <b>TE</b> l			-	•		
	Probably notTEI						
	Definitely notTEI	RMIN	NATE				
	(Don't know) TEI	RMIN	NATE				

www.LakeResearch.com Washington, DC | Berkeley, CA | New York, NY

			שמוו	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

13

60

13

59

3. Now I'd like to ask you about some public figures and institutions. For each, please tell me whether you have a VERY favorable, SOMEWHAT favorable, somewhat UNFAVORABLE, or VERY unfavorable impression. If you have heard of the person or institution, but do not know enough to have an opinion, or if you have never heard of them, just say so, and we will move on.

[PROMPT EVERY THIRD NAME] Do you have a very favorable, somewhat favorable, somewhat unfavorable, or very unfavorable impression, or do you not know enough to have an opinion?

#### **RANDOMIZE LIST**

Sorted	bv	"Verv	favo	rable"
--------	----	-------	------	--------

Sorted by "Very favorable"			
3c.The consumer financial protection bureau 16	22	9	
3d.The financial industry10	7	9	
3a.Wall Street9	6	6	
B3f.Big banks 6	5	2	
3e.The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act 5	9	5	
A3b.Wall Street banks5	5	3	
B3h.Hedge funds4	3	4	
A3g.Hedge fund managers3	2	3	
_Wall Street			
Very favorable9	6	6	
Somewhat favorable23	17	22	
Somewhat unfavorable23	28	21	
Very unfavorable	27	24	
No opinion	22 0	25 2	
Favorable	23	28	
Unfavorable44	54	45	
_SSA: Wall Street Banks			
Very favorable5	5	3	
Somewhat favorable10	8	10	
Somewhat unfavorable	28	29	
Very unfavorable	33	31	
NO ODINION 9/	24	25	

Favorable......15

Unfavorable ......54

20

45

a.

b.

Take on Wall Street Page 3

Take	on Wall Street			Page
	TOTAL N= 1000	DEM 393	IND /DK 222	REP 349
C.	_The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau			
	Very favorable16	22	9	13
	Somewhat favorable26	27	32	21
	Somewhat unfavorable8	7	7	11
	Very unfavorable5	4	5	8
	No opinion28	25	31	30
	Never heard16	15	17	17
	Favorable42	49	40	34
	Unfavorable14	10	12	19
d.	_The financial industry			
	Very favorable10	7	9	14
	Somewhat favorable27	25	27	30
	Somewhat unfavorable23	23	27	20
	Very unfavorable17	19	17	15
	No opinion21	24	19	20
	Never heard2	2	1	2
	Favorable37	32	36	43
	Unfavorable40	42	44	35
e.	_The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform Act			
	Very favorable5	9	5	2
	Somewhat favorable8	11	11	4
	Somewhat unfavorable	6	3	9
	Very unfavorable9	3	7	18
	No opinion	26	25	26
	Never heard45	44	50	42
	Favorable14	20	15	6
	Unfavorable 16	10	10	27
f.	_SSB: Big Banks			
	Very favorable6	5	2	10
	Somewhat favorable19	15	18	25
	Somewhat unfavorable23	23	26	22
	Very unfavorable29	33	31	23
	No opinion 21	23	22	18
	Never heard2	1	2	2
	Favorable25	20	19	35
	Unfavorable 52	57	57	45

lake	on Wall Street				Page 4
				IND	
		TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
		N= 1000	393	222	349
g.	_SSA: Hedge fund managers				
	Very favorable	3	2	3	4
	Somewhat favorable	7	7	6	10
	Somewhat unfavorable	21	27	17	17
	Very unfavorable	25	25	28	23
	No opinion	29	26	34	32
	Never heard		14	11	15
	Favorable	10	9	9	13
	Unfavorable	46	52	46	40
h.	_SSB: Hedge funds				
	Very favorable	4	3	4	6
	Somewhat favorable	10	6	14	13
	Somewhat unfavorable	18	20	18	13
	Very unfavorable	21	23	22	18
	No opinion		37	31	41
	Never heard		11	11	9
	Favorable	14	9	18	19
	Unfavorable		43	40	32

**4.** \_SSA: If the November 2016 General Election for President were held today and the candidates were [RANDOMIZE] \_Democrat Hillary Clinton, [and] \_Republican Donald Trump, for whom would you vote, or are you undecided?

[IF CANDIDATE:] Would you say you support that candidate strongly or not so strongly? [IF UNDECIDED:] Well, if you had to decide today and couldn't be undecided, toward which candidate would you lean?

Clinton - strongly	9	25 6	4 1
Undecided - lean Clinton4	6	3	0
Trump - strongly 30	3	18	70
Trump - not-so-strongly4		4	9
Undecided - lean Trump	0	3	3
Undecided /DK14	8	29	9
(Other) 4	3	11	2
(Refused)1	0	0	0
Clinton	86	35	5
Trump	3	25	83

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

5. \_SSB: If the November 2016 General Election for President were held today and the candidates were [RANDOMIZE] \_Democrat Hillary Clinton, \_Republican Donald Trump, \_Libertarian Gary Johnson, [and] \_Green Party candidate Jill Stein, for whom would you vote, or are you undecided?

[IF CANDIDATE:] Would you say you support that candidate strongly or not so strongly? [IF UNDECIDED:] Well, if you had to decide today and couldn't be undecided, toward which candidate would you lean?

Clinton - strongly29	59	16	4
Clinton - not-so-strongly 4	6	4	2
Undecided - lean Clinton4	7	3	0
Trump - strongly28	3	18	63
Trump - not-so-strongly4	0	5	9
Undecided - lean Trump4	1	3	7
Johnson - strongly 4	5	5	2
Johnson – not so strongly 1	1	2	1
Undecided – lean Johnson 1	1	4	0
Stein - strongly2	2	5	0
Stein – not so strongly 1	1	2	0
Undecided - lean Stein 0	0	0	0
Undecided /DK15	10	29	10
(Other) 1	0	1	2
(Refused) 1	1	2	0
Clinton	73	24	5
Trump	5	26	79
Johnson 7	8	11	3
Stein	4	7	0

Take off Wall Street			INID	Faye	. 0
	TOTAL	DEM	IND /DK	REP	
	N= 1000	393	222	349	
	N= 1000	393	222	349	
4/5.PRESIDENTIAL BALLOTS COMBINED					
Clinton - strongly	32	65	21	4	
Clinton - not-so-strongly	5	8	5	1	
Undecided - lean Clinton	4	7	3	0	
Trump - strongly		3	18	67	
Trump - not-so-strongly	4	0	4	9	
Undecided - lean Trump	3	1	3	5	
Johnson - strongly		3	3	1	
Johnson – not so strongly		0	1	1	
Undecided – lean Johnson	1	1	2	0	
Stein - strongly		1	3	0	
Stein – not so strongly		1	1	0	
Undecided - lean Stein	0	0	0	0	
Undecided /DK		9	29	10	
(Other)		1	6	2	
(Refused)	1	1	1	0	
Clinton		80	29	5	
Trump		4	25	81	
Johnson		4	6	2	
Stein	2	2	4	0	

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

### [MATRIX OF CANDIDATES FOR 2016 BG STATES]

And if the election for US Senate were held today, would you vote for the \_Republican Candidate, the Democratic candidate, [or] are you undecided?

[IF ANSWER] and do you feel that way strongly or not so strongly?

[IF UNDECIDED] Well, if you could not be decided, then which way would you lean?

Republican - strongly	5	5 1 1	25 4 4	66 10 6
Democrat - strongly  Democrat - not-so-strongly	5	61 8	24 4	3 2
Undecided - lean Democrat	5	7	7	0
Undecided - lean another party	1	0	3	1
Undecided /DK	18	16	29	13
(Other)		1	1	0
(Refused)	1	0	1	0
Republican	39	7	33	81
Democrat	40	76	34	5
Other	2	1	4	1

7. SSA: Now I am going to read you a small list of proposals that are being considered to reform the financial system. For each, please tell me if you support or oppose the proposal. If you don't know, just say so and we will move on.

[IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE] And do you support/oppose that proposal strongly or somewhat?

## Sorted by "Strongly support"

A8b.Eliminate "carried interest loophole"	55	66	53	45
A8e.Eliminate "performance pay loophole"	53	61	51	46
A8a.Separate commercial from investment				
banking	51	58	47	47
A8f.Break up biggest banks	45	55	40	37
A8d.Prohibit bonuses for working in government				
jobs	36	40	31	35

Separating commercial banking from investment banking so banks will not be able to take investment a. risks with government-insured customer deposits.

Strongly support	51	58	47	47
Somewhat support		20	16	16
Somewhat oppose	6	3	15	4
Strongly oppose		5	7	8
(Not sure)	18	13	15	25
(Refused)	0	1	0	1

78

8

63

22

63

11

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

b. Eliminate the "carried interest loophole," which allows big Wall Street money managers to pay lower tax rates than middle income families.

Strongly support	55	66	53	45
Somewhat support		9	20	13
Somewhat oppose		5	7	6
Strongly oppose	15	13	9	21
(Not sure)	11	8	10	14
(Refused)	0	0	0	1
Support	68	74	73	58
Oppose		18	16	27

c. Prohibit financial industry firms from giving senior employees bonuses for going to work in high power government jobs

Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose (Not sure) (Refused)	15 13 19 16	40 17 13 15 13 2	31 19 13 20 17 0	35 10 13 23 19 0
Support Oppose		57 28	49 33	45 36

d. Eliminate the "performance pay loophole" which allows Fortune 500 companies to lower their tax bills by deducting CEO bonuses over a million dollars

Strongly support	53	61	51	46
Somewhat support	14	11	20	13
Somewhat oppose		6	4	7
Strongly oppose		14	13	18
(Not sure)		9	11	14
(Refused)	0	0	0	1
Support	67	72	71	60
Oppose		19	17	25

e. f. Break up the biggest banks, and cap how big any bank can grow in order to prevent a government bailout in the event of another financial crisis

Strongly support	45	55	40	37
Somewhat support		24	19	25
Somewhat oppose		6	8	4
Strongly oppose	9	3	13	11
(Not sure)		11	19	20
(Refused)	1	0	0	2
Support	68	79	60	62

N=	TOTAL 1000	DEM 393	IND /DK 222	REP 349
Oppose	15	9	22	16

8. \_SSB: Now I am going to read you a small list of proposals that are being considered to reform the financial system. For each, please tell me if you would be more or less likely to vote for a candidate for public office that SUPPORTS that proposal or whether it would make no difference to your vote decision.

[IF MORE/LESS LIKELY] And would that be much more/less likely or somewhat more/less likely?

## **RANDOMIZE LIST**

## Sorted by "Much more likely"

B9b.Eliminate "carried interest loophole"	. 38	47	41	26
B9f.Break up biggest banks	. 36	44	32	31
B9a.Separate commercial from investment				
banking	. 36	45	32	27
B9e.Eliminate "performance pay loophole"	. 36	47	33	24
B9d.Prohibit bonuses for working in government				
jobs	. 27	34	27	19

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

Separating regular commercial banking from investment banking so banks will not be able to take a. investment risks with government-insured customer deposits.

Much more likely	36	45	32	27
Somewhat more likely			19	23
No difference		20	24	25
Somewhat less likely	5	3	7	7
Much less likely		3	7	8
(Not sure)		5	11	9
(Refused)	0	0	0	1
More likely	58	68	51	51
Less likely	11	6	14	15

Eliminate the "carried interest loophole," which allows big Wall Street money managers to pay lower tax b. rates than middle income families

Much more likely	38	47	41	26
Somewhat more likely		19	17	18
No difference	17	13	13	23
Somewhat less likely	7	5	10	7
Much less likely	13	11	10	17
(Not sure)	7	3	9	10
(Refused)	0	0	0	0
More likely	56	67	58	44
Less likely	20	17	19	23

Prohibit financial industry firms from giving senior employees bonuses for going to work in high power c. government jobs

Much more likely	27	34	27	19
Somewhat more likely		14	15	10
No difference		19	17	33
Somewhat less likely		10	7	14
Much less likely		11	16	14
(Not sure)		12	17	10
(Refused)	0	0	1	0
More likely	40	48	42	29
Less likely		21	24	28

d. Eliminate the "performance pay loophole" which allows Fortune 500 companies to lower their tax bills by deducting CEO bonuses over a million dollars

Much more likely	36	47	33	24
Somewhat more likely		17	15	17
No difference	19	15	15	27
Somewhat less likely	. 9	3	14	10
Much less likely	14	13	14	17

	TOTAL N= 1000	DEM 393	IND /DK 222	REP 349
(Not sure)	6	6	9	6
(Refused)	0	0	0	0
More likely	51	64	48	41
Less likely	23	16	28	27

e. Break up the biggest banks, and cap how big any bank can grow in order to prevent a government bailout in the event of another financial crisis

Much more likely36	6 44	32	31
Somewhat more likely22	2 22	31	18
No difference 18	3 16	22	18
Somewhat less likely7	7 8	1	10
Much less likely	3 4	7	11
(Not sure)	7	8	10
(Refused)	0	0	1
More likely 58	3 65	62	49
Less likely15	5 12	8	21

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REF
N=	1000	393	222	349

9. SSA: If you knew that a candidate or member of Congress had received significant campaign donations from big banks and Wall Street executives would that make you more or less likely to vote for them, or would it not make a difference to you?

[IF MORE/LESS LIKELY, ASK] Is that much more/less likely or somewhat more/less likely

Much more likely	5	6	7	2
Somewhat more likely	2	0	7	2
Somewhat less likely	17	20	9	18
Much less likely	32	30	37	32
No difference	38	40	35	41
(Don't know)	5	4	5	4
(Refused)	0	0	0	0
More likely	7	6	14	4
Less likely	50	50	46	51

10. SSB: If you knew that a candidate or member of Congress refused to accept campaign donations from big banks and Wall Street executives, would that make you more or less likely to vote for them, or would it not make a difference to you?

[IF MORE/LESS LIKELY, ASK] Is that much more/less likely or somewhat more/less likely?

Much more likely	33	33	37	33
Somewhat more likely	16	21	19	9
Somewhat less likely	4	1	6	5
Much less likely	6	6	4	7
No difference	38	36	34	44
(Don't know)	3	4	0	3
(Refused)	0	0	0	0
More likely	49	53	56	42
Less likely	10	7	10	11

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

11. Now I am going to read you a list of arguments Democrats running for Senate have made in favor of enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street. After listening to each, please tell me if you think it is a VERY convincing, SOMEWHAT convincing, NOT TOO convincing, or NOT AT ALL convincing argument in favor of enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street.

[REPEAT PROMPT IF NECESSARY] do you think that is a VERY convincing, SOMEWHAT convincing, NOT TOO convincing, or NOT AT ALL convincing argument in favor of enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street.

#### **RANDOMIZE LIST**

#### Sorted by "Very convincing"

A14c.Same tricks - avoid another crash 5.	2 6	31	51	42
A14a.Not just billionaires /inequality5	1 6	67	49	35
14f.Power and influence5	0 6	62	47	38
14g.Weakening for regulations4	5 5	58	43	32
B14b.Grievances4	4 5	56	45	29
14e.Get back to core business4	3 5	53	43	35
B14d.Effective management -avoid another crash 4	1 5	53	39	30

SSA: [NOT JUST BILLIONAIRES/INEQUALITY] a.

> Big banks and Wall Street billionaires have rigged the economy. All the gains go to those at the top while millions of families are still struggling to get back on their feet. Wall Street billionaires use loopholes to pay lower taxes than teachers, and hedge fund managers squeeze more and more profit out of companies while reducing benefits and eliminating jobs. We need to re-write the rules to make the economy work for working families, not just billionaires and big banks.—81 words

Very convincing	51	67	49	35
Somewhat convincing	29	26	27	34
Not too convincing	6	0	4	13
Not at all convincing	7	0	12	12
(Don't know)		4	8	6
(Refused)		1	0	0
Convincing	80	94	76	68
Not convincing		1	17	26
<u> </u>				

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REF
N=	1000	393	222	349

#### b. **SSB:** [GRIEVANCES]

Financial reform is about putting an end to the greed of the big Wall Street banks that cost people their jobs, their savings, and billions in taxpayer bailouts. Tough new laws will make sure that bank CEOs are kept accountable to the American people, and put an end to runaway executive pay. Taxpayers should not have to pay the price for Wall Street recklessness while those responsible continue to make outrageous profits. Laws should protect working Americans, not just billionaires. - 79 words

Very convincing	32 7 9	56 28 6 2	45 41 3 6	29 33 11 18
(Don't know) (Refused)  Convincing  Not convincing	1	8 1 84 7	4 0 86 9	7 2 63 28

#### SSA: [SAME TRICKS – AVOID ANOTHER CRASH] C.

The big Wall Street banks that crashed our economy are bigger than ever, and they are up to the same risky bets that put the entire economy at risk. We need an economy that's healthy and stable. We can't let banks gamble with our money and risk another financial collapse. We need tough rules and strong penalties to make banks smaller, simpler, and safer. Bank failures can never be allowed to place our entire economy at risk - 77 words

Very convincing	28 . 6 . 8	61 29 3 2	51 25 8 10	42 28 9 15
(Refused)		1	0	0
Convincing		89 6	77 18	70 25

#### d. **SSB:** [EFFECTIVE MANAGEMENT – AVOID ANOTHER CRASH]

Three of the four biggest banks are larger today than when we bailed them outin 2008. And the biggest financial firms have become too complex and involved in too much, increasing the likelihood these firms will get into trouble and once again put our economy at risk. We need to break up the banks that are too big to fail so they can't bring down the entire economy when something goes wrong - 72 words

Very convincing  Somewhat convincing  Not too convincing	31	53 27	39 40 8	30 30 11
Not at all convincing	11	5	6	20
(Don't know)(Refused)		7 1	7 1	5 3
Convincing  Not convincing	72	80 12	79 13	61 31

			טאו	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

INID

## e. \_ [GET BACK TO CORE BUSINESS]

A healthy economy needs a healthy financial system. But today Wall Street and the big banks have become more focused on complicated wheeling and dealing that increases bank profits instead of making loans that allow businesses to create jobs and families to buy homes. We need to reform our financial system to make it work for main street and ordinary families. Banks should be focused on making sustainable loans to businesses and families, not just financial speculation- 77 words

Very convincing	13	53	13	35
Somewhat convincing		22	35	21
		33	•	31
Not too convincing	8	4	5	13
Not at all convincing	8	2	11	13
(Don't know)		7	6	7
(Refused)	1	1	0	1
Convincing	76	86	78	65
Not convincing		6	16	27

## f. \_ [POWER AND INFLUENCE]

Too many politicians in both parties are beholden to Wall Street. The big banks and hedge fund managers spend millions on lobbyists and millions more on political contributions. And the revolving door between Washington and Wall Street ensures that banks always have access to government decision makers. Our elected officials need to work for everyone, not just the wealthy and well-connected. And that means standing up to Wall Street and the big banks – 73 words.

Very convincing		62 29	47 32	38 33
Not too convincing	5	1	4	10
Not at all convincing	8	2	10	13
(Don't know)		4	7	4
(Refused)	1	1	0	2
Convincing	81	91	79	71
Not convincing	13	3	14	23

## g. \_ [WEAKENING REGULATIONS]

Our economy is still recovering from a financial crisis that was caused by weakening regulations that had kept our economy safe for decades. Common sense regulation is needed to prevent banks from engaging in risky bets with our money and to protect consumers from the abuses and deceptive practices of credit card companies and payday lenders. When it comes to Wall Street, we can't have the fox watching the hen house. We need to put rules in place to prevent another crash – 82 words

Very convincing	45	58	43	32
Somewhat convincing	31	30	39	29
Not too convincing	8	4	4	14
Not at all convincing	9	2	9	17
(Don't know)	5	5	4	7
(Refused)	1	1	0	2
Convincing	76	88	82	61
Not convincing		6	13	31

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REF
N=	1000	393	222	349

## [MATRIX OF CANDIDATES FOR 2016 BATTLEGROUND]

Assuming the Democratic candidate for Senate in your state supports the reforms we just discussed...If the election for **US Senate** were held today, would you vote for the \_Republican Candidate, the \_Democratic candidate, [or] are you undecided?

[IF ANSWER] and do you feel that way strongly or not so strongly? [IF UNDECIDED] Well, if you could not be decided, then which way would you lean?

Republican - strongly	30	4	19	66
Republican - not-so-strongly	. 4	0	2	8
Undecided - lean Republican		1	6	4
Democrat - strongly	32 6	63	23	4
Democrat - not-so-strongly	. 6	11	7	1
Undecided - lean Democrat	. 6	7	11	1
Undecided - lean another party	. 1	0	3	1
Undecided /DK		12	27	13
(Other)	. 1	1	1	1
(Refused)	. 0	0	0	0
Republican	37	6	27	79
Democrat	44 8	31	42	6
Other	. 2	1	4	2

			טאוו	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

INID

13. Now I am going to read you a list of arguments Republicans running for Senate have made in opposition to enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street. After listening to each, please tell me if it raises SERIOUS doubts, SOME doubts, MINOR doubts, or NO REAL DOUBTS about enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street.

[REPEAT PROMPT IF NECESSARY] Does that raise SERIOUS doubts, SOME doubts, MINOR doubts, or NO REAL DOUBTS about enacting tougher reforms and restrictions on Wall Street?

#### **RANDOMIZE LIST**

#### Sorted by "Serious doubts"

16a.Regulatory agencies don't work	29	28	28	30
16b.Nanny-state /no choices	25	24	24	27
16c.Dodd-Frank failed	21	22	19	23

### a. [REGULATORY AGENCIES DON'T WORK] "

More government bureaucracy isn't the solution. There were 26 regulatory agencies that were supposed to be overseeing and regulating the financial system, and predict the upcoming financial crisis — yet every one of these agencies missed it. Before adding more expensive and inefficient government agencies, we need to figure out why the ones we already have aren't doing their jobs. More government isn't the answer. 64 words

Serious doubts	25 14 25 6	28 29 15 22 5 2	28 23 13 28 7 0	30 22 14 28 5 1
Doubts No doubts	_	57 37	51 42	52 42

#### b. [NANNY-STATE/NO CHOICES]

Regular people -- not Government bureaucrats -- should be able to decide what financial products and services are right for them. Instead the federal government is adopting new rules that limit consumer choices and restrict the flow of loans to small businesses that need funds to create jobs and expand their business. The government needs to stop interfering in our personal financial choices 62 words

Serious doubts	25	24	24	27
Some doubts	26	29	26	24
Minor doubts	14	17	16	11
No real doubts	27	23	26	32
(Don't know)	6	6	8	6
(Refused)	1	1	0	1
Doubts	51	53	50	51
		30	50	01
No doubts	41	40	42	43

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

## c. \_ [DODD-FRANK FAILED]

The Wall Street Reform Act of 2010 has been a failure. The big banks are bigger than ever and we've had the slowest economic recovery since the Great Depression. We don't need, burdensome new regulations that slow economic growth, and kill jobs. Instead let's reduce red tape and stop punishing the job creators and small businesses that drive our economy. That's what it takes to grow our economy. 67 words

Serious doubts	21	22	19	23
Some doubts	26	28	23	26
Minor doubts	15	16	18	13
No real doubts	29	27	31	30
(Don't know)	7	6	8	6
(Refused)		1	0	1
Doubts	47	50	42	49
No doubts	44	43	49	43

### [MATRIX OF CANDIDATES FOR 2016 BATTLEGROUND]

Assuming the Republican candidate for Senate in your state OPPOSES the reforms we just discussed...If the election for **US Senate** were held today, would you vote for the \_Republican Candidate, the \_Democratic candidate, [or] are you undecided?

[IF ANSWER] and do you feel that way strongly or not so strongly? [IF UNDECIDED] Well, if you could not be decided, then which way would you lean?

Republican - strongly29Republican - not-so-strongly4Undecided - lean Republican3	5	18	66
	0	4	9
	1	4	5
Democrat - strongly	63	24	5
	9	7	2
	7	9	1
Undecided - lean another party2	0	5	1
Undecided /DK       16         (Other)       1         (Refused)       1	13	26	9
	1	2	1
	0	0	0
Republican	6	26	80
	79	41	8
	1	7	2

			טאוו	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

15. Now I'm going to read you a pair of statements about a proposal to institute a small tax of a few cents per hundred dollars, on Wall Street financial transactions, including the purchase of derivatives, stocks, bonds, or other financial assets. This tax is sometimes referred to as a financial transactions tax.

For most Americans it's getting harder and harder to pay for college or save for retirement. But Wall Street profits are at record highs. A tiny tax on Wall Street transactions could raise billions of dollars to strengthen retirement security, create jobs, pay for college tuition for millions of families, or provide badly needed infrastructure improvements. Working families pay sales taxes on almost everything from a pair of shoes to a car -- shouldn't Wall Street traders pay sales taxes when they buy millions in stocks?

This tax may sound like a good idea, but it will only hurt people by passing on the costs on to everyone who invests in the market, including retirement accounts and 401Ks. This tax will hurt small investors, including seniors, and will make it harder for Americans to build up their pensions and mutual funds by charging people for every transaction, not just those done by Wall Street banks. This tax will lead to reduced job growth, inefficient markets, and less money in Americans' retirement savings. – 86 words

Sometimes over the course of a survey like this, people change their minds. Do you support or oppose instituting a small tax on certain Wall Street financial transactions, including the purchase of stocks, bonds, or other financial assets, or are you undecided?

[IF SUPPORT/OPPOSE] Would you say you support/oppose strongly or not so strongly [IF UNDECIDED] Well, which way would you say you lean?

Support - strongly	32	43	25	21
Support - not-so-strongly		9	12	8
Undecided - lean support		12	7	8
Oppose - strongly	17	7	18	28
Oppose - not-so-strongly	7	4	7	10
Undecided - lead oppose	3	3	3	3
Undecided /DK	24	21	29	23
Support	50	65	43	37
Oppose	26	14	28	40

			IND	-
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REP
N=	1000	393	222	349

# The remaining questions are for statistical purposes only.

# **16.** What is your age?

18-24 years	8	10	8	6
25-29 years	8	10	9	5
30-34 years	7	8	9	5
35-39 years	7	8	8	6
40-44 years	7	5	9	7
45-49 years	9	9	10	8
50-54 years		7	11	7
55-59 years		7	12	11
60-64 years		12	7	12
65-69 years	7	7	6	8
70-74 years	6	5	5	9
Over 74 years	12	12	5	16
(Refused)	0	0	0	0

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REF
N=	1000	393	222	349

Generally speaking, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent or something 17. else?

[IF REPUBLICAN/DEMOCRAT:] Do you consider yourself a strong or a not-so-strong (Republican/Democrat)? [IF INDEPENDENT:] Would you say you lean more towards the Republicans or more towards the Democrats?

Strong Democrat	69	0	0
	14	0	0
	17	0	0
Democrat39Independent21Republican35	100	0	0
	0	94	0
	0	0	100
Independent - Iean Republican7Not-so-strong Republican7Strong Republican21	0	0	20
	0	0	19
	0	0	61
(Other)       2         (Don't know)       1         (Refused)       2	0	0	0
	0	6	0
	0	0	0
What is the last year of schooling that you have complete	d?		
1 - 11th Grade       2         High School Graduate       20         Non-College Post H.S       2         Some College       28         College Graduate       30         Post-Graduate School       16         (Refused)       2	2 23 3 29 29 12 2	2 18 2 29 29 19	2 20 3 26 31 17 1

Are you or is any member of your household an active or retired member of a labor or teachers union? 19. [IF YES: ARE YOU ACTIVE, OR RETIRED?]

Yes - active teachers	4	3	4	6
Yes - active labor	6	7	6	5
Yes - active both	1	1	0	1
Yes - retired teachers	3	4	3	3
Yes - retired labor	5	7	4	5
Yes - retired both	0	1	0	0
Yes, combined	20	23	16	21
Neither	77	73	82	78
(Don't Know)	3	4	2	1

18.

			IND	
	TOTAL	DEM	/DK	REF
N=	1000	393	222	349

**20.** Just to make sure we have a representative sample, could you please tell me whether you are from a Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish-speaking background? [IF "NO", ASK:] What is your race - white, black, Asian, or something else?

White	75	62	76	90
Black/African American	14	28	9	2
Spanish speaking/Latino (Puerto Rican, Mexi	can,			
etc	5	6	5	4
Native American	0	0	1	1
Asian/Pacific	1	1	2	1
(Other)	1	1	3	1
(Don't know / Refused)	3	2	4	2