

Table 2

*Moran’s I test of spatial dependence of using Inverse Distance Weights (IDW)*

Neighbors	<i>I</i>	<i>E(I)</i>	var( <i>I</i> )	St. Deviate	<i>p</i> -value
IDW 807.88 KM	0.111	-0.001	0.0003	6.0981	< .001
IDW 862.16 KM	0.311	-0.001	0.0003	17.582	< .001

The Radii specifications are shown in Figure 2 and were identified via machine learning.

Table 3

*SAR models including institutions with and without neighbors–full models including all predictors discussed in the literature can be seen in the online appendix in table A1*

Panel A: net\_price\_i and Net Tuition Models

	Dependent variable: net_price_i				Dependent variable: net_tuition_i			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
neighbor? (0,1)	−460.168* (267.602)				−8.375 (97.522)			
number_neighbors		7.874 (6.739)				−5.432** (2.524)		
neigh_public? (0,1)			−194.135 (226.379)				−23.742 (81.827)	
neigh_private? (0,1)			−275.697 (228.207)				−78.824 (82.471)	
neigh_profit? (0,1)			−202.789 (204.714)				−178.029** (74.071)	
number_public				38.597 (61.577)				−0.977 (22.639)
number_private				−5.124 (16.873)				0.044 (6.183)
number_profit				26.170 (32.463)				−30.219** (12.112)
AIC	17,785.420	17,787.000	17,787.890	17,790.130	15,882.220	15,877.620	15,877.970	15,876.990
Log Likelihood	−8,854.708	−8,855.500	−8,853.943	−8,855.064	−7,902.109	−7,899.809	−7,897.984	−7,897.495
Lambda	0.009	0.09	0.009	0.09	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.017
Moran’s I SAR $\epsilon_i^\dagger$	−0.008	−0.007	−0.09	−0.006	0.024	0.023	0.023	0.022

Num. obs. = 939, <sup>†</sup>Model residuals as depicted in equation (2), SE in parentheses, \*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01

All control variables are shown in Table 1 and model selection is depicted in Figure 8 per outcome of interest