Brief Article

The Author

January 10, 2014

1 Task Knowledge

2 Inference Knowledge

As inference model we use a modified version of the Configuration design template, because given predefined components we need to find and assembly that satisfies the requirements. The inference model deriving from this task can be found in .

inference	Input	Output	Description
specify	requirements	sketal design	the function look- up the default ske-
			tal design: the ba-
			sic structure of a
			trip day (heavy ac-
			tivity during the
			morning, relaxing
			afternoon, evening and meal).
optionalize	needs of the cus-	preferences, re-	the needs and de-
	tomers	quirements, con-	sires are translated
		straints	into preferences ("I
			would like to have
			time for shopping
			and visit many
			cultural places. I am not interested
			so much in food
			places"), require-
			ments ("I want a
			quiet trip") and
			contraints ("In
			Rome I want to
			visit the Colosseum
			and avoid Piazza
			di Spagna").
propose	preferences and re-	filled sketal design	fill the slots of the
	quirements, sketal		sketal design with
	design slots		locations that fits
			the preferences and
			requirements.

verify	contraints, exten-	the list of violated	it checks with
	sion design	contraints	the help of the internal contraints
			and those sup- plied by the user
			whether the cur-
			rent configuration
			is internally con-
			sistent. If the
			verification fails,
			it produces the
			violated contraints
			as an additional
			output
select	fix actions list	fix action	It simply selects an
			action from the fix
			actions list gener-
			ated by the critique
			function.
modify	itinerary design, fix	fixed itinerary de-	it applies the fix ac-
	actions list	sign	tions to the design.
critique	itinerary, viola-	fix actions list	it creates a series of
	tions, customer's		actions which will
	inputs		fix the violations
			of the contraints,
			following also the customer's inputs.
			For example the
			contraint "I abso-
			lutely want to visit
			the Colosseum"
			will produce the
			action "Insert the
			Colosseum into the
			itinerary".

3 Domain knowledge

3.1 Domain schema

The domain schema can be found in figure... This schema seems complicated, for this reason every model is explained in the following list:

Client

The client who goes to the travel agency. He could be a quite person who normally wants to visit a lot of things or very few (activeness). The clients are categorized by their age because ??

Preference

Each client needs to specify a list of preferences, valued from 1 to 5, where 1 is "I'm not so interested" and 5 is "I love to do it!". These preferences are related to the itinerary we want to create, consequently if the same clients wants to create another itinerary, it will specify again all the preferences he wants in this second trip.

Contraint

Each client needs to specify a list of contraints that have to be fulfilled. As for the *Preference*, they are related to the single itinerary.

Itinerary

This represents the itinerary we want to create. It is composed by a fixed number of Day and it is related to a Client who has specified his own list of Contraint and Preference. If there will be kids in the itinerary, the system needs to select some Location that could entertain them. This is a requirement.

The method *selectLocation* takes a list of *Preference* and produces a list of *Location* that could fit this preferences.

Day

This describes a day of the itinerary.

Timeslot

A timeslot is a fixed part of a day. The division of the day came from the expert interview.

Location

This model represents the point of interests that a customer could visit. The attribute *rating* describes the quality of this place, *intensive* describes if the place is not for quite people. The method *distance* takes two locations and returns the distance between them. It is useful in order to create the combination of locations to visit during a trip.

3.2 Rule types

Listing 1: Rules

RULE TYPE constraint-rule;

DESCRIPTION: "rule stating the relation between client and the choice for a location in the itinerary, by means of defining strict boundaries that must be respected.";

ANTECEDENT: Client;

```
CONSEQUENT: Itinerary;
CONNECTION-SYMBOL: restricts;
END RULE-TYPE constraint-rule;
RULE TYPE requirement-rule;
    DESCRIPTION: "rule stating the relation between the client
       and the choice for a location in the itinerary, by means
        of defining boundaries that should be respected.";
ANTECEDENT: Client;
CONSEQUENT: Itinerary;
CONNECTION-SYMBOL: requires;
END RULE-TYPE requirement-rule;
RULE TYPE preference-rule;
    DESCRIPTION: "rule stating the relation between the client
       and the choice for a location in the itinerary, by means
        of defining preferences that could be satisfied with
       probability X (calculated on the input values) .";
ANTECEDENT: Client;
CONSEQUENT: Itinerary;
CONNECTION-SYMBOL: prefers-with-probability;
END RULE-TYPE preference-rule;
```

Listing 2: The client wants to include a destination into the itinerary.

 $\label{eq:client.constraint.location.name} \mbox{=A AND client.constraint.type=} \\ \mbox{include}$

Here are presented also some example in order to better understand all the rule types.

RESTRICTS

∃itinerary.day.timeslot, timeslot.location.name=A;

Listing 3: The client is a quite person

Listing 4: The client expresses four preferences with four ranges (from 1 to 5). The method selectLocation will compose the itinerary selecting the locations that fits the preferences. For example it could select 3 shopping 1 gastronomy and 1 cultural locations.

```
Var A, B, C, D; client.preference;
```

- A.type=shopping AND A.range=x
- B.type=cultural AND B.range=y
- C.type=gastronomy AND C.range=w
- D.type=nightlife AND D.range=z

PREFERS-WITH-PROBABILITY

$$\label{eq:decomposition} \begin{split} \forall it in erary.day.times lot \ , \ times lot \ .location = select Location \left(A, \ B, \ C, \ D\right); \end{split}$$

3.3 Knowledge Base

4 Scenarios