

Hi Rory,

Thank you for reaching out and for the opportunity to clarify a number of points ahead of publication.

I welcome thoughtful public discussion around ethics, privacy, and digital tools in the democratic sphere, particularly in Canada, where public trust and the protection of personal information are foundational values.

First, it is important to clarify a key point regarding the suggestion of “centralized speech” or AI-generated responses.

The LogiVote Victory App is not a bot, not an avatar, and it does not publish comments or generate likes on behalf of users.

It has no ability to post or insert content into social media platforms in place of a person.

Any comment, post, or engagement is carried out solely by an individual who chooses whether to participate and what to say.

Our use of AI is limited to assisting users with suggested phrasing or ideas, similar to how many individuals today use AI tools to refine language before publishing content. Users may adopt a suggestion, edit it, or write their own response entirely. The decision and the action always remain with the user, not with the system.

It is also important to accurately frame the purpose of the product. The concept behind the LogiVote Victory App is the opposite of obscuring origin or manufacturing noise.

Its objective is to strengthen democratic participation by real citizens, improve transparency around agendas and messages that come from clearly identifiable sources, and help address challenges that weaken the public sphere, including disinformation, bots, and foreign interference.

Rather than leaving political discourse solely within opaque algorithmic environments on social media, we aim to reinforce authentic human connections and dialogue grounded in trust within real social circles. Moreover, the application was developed in response to concerns that have

become central to public debate in Canada and globally: coordinated foreign influence campaigns, disinformation, and automated accounts posing as organic voices. Our intention is to support authentic civic engagement by real people, not to create artificial mechanisms of influence.

From the perspective of political organizations, the system enables the clear and accessible communication of policy principles and positions, while helping protect digital assets and narratives against coordinated disinformation and misleading content.

The purpose is not to evade detection, but to respond responsibly to a landscape in which disinformation and influence tactics have become significant democratic challenges.

With respect to contact privacy and concerns about classification without consent, it is important to clarify the technological framework.

The system supports different operational configurations, including models aligned with GDPR principles and based on user control and data-use limitation. These configurations can be adapted to the regulatory framework applicable in any given jurisdiction, including Canada.

We are fully aware of the public and regulatory sensitivity in Canada regarding privacy protection, and we operate with a commitment to implementing the system in a manner consistent with applicable regulatory requirements and the standards of public accountability expected of technology operating in the democratic space.

Sincerely,  
Yair Chen  
CEO