

## Lecture 18: Image Method in Mediums, Continued

Mon Sep 30 2019

### 0.1 Image Method in Mediums, Continued

From last time, we had, from the continuity of the potential

$$q + q' = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} q'' \quad (1)$$

and from continuity of  $D$ :

$$-\varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0^-} = -\varepsilon_2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} \Big|_{z \rightarrow 0^+} \Rightarrow q'' = q - q' \quad (2)$$

Therefore,

$$q' = -\frac{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} q \quad (3)$$

and

$$q'' = \frac{2\varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} q \quad (4)$$

In this sense, conductors can be thought of as dielectrics with  $\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty$  limits. If we take the plane to be normal to  $\hat{z}$  with region  $\varepsilon_1$  in the positive direction, we find that

$$\vec{P}_2 \cdot \hat{n}_{12} + \vec{P}_2 \cdot \hat{n}_{21} = \vec{P}_2 \cdot \hat{z} - \vec{P}_1 \cdot \hat{z} \quad (5)$$

$$= \underbrace{\varepsilon_2 \vec{E}_2 \cdot \hat{z} - \varepsilon_1 \vec{E}_1 \cdot \hat{z}}_{=0 \text{ since } \vec{D} \text{ is continuous}} + \varepsilon_0 [\vec{E}_1 \cdot \hat{z} - \vec{E}_2 \cdot \hat{z}] \quad (6)$$

so

$$\sigma_{\text{excess}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon_1} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2} \right] \frac{qd}{[\rho^2 + d^2]^{3/2}} \quad (7)$$

#### 0.1.1 Energy Considerations in Dielectrics

For a number of dielectrics in a space,

$$\delta W = \int_{\Omega} \delta \rho_{\text{free}} \cdot \Phi \, d^3x = \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot (\delta \vec{D} \Phi \, d^3x = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^N \oint_{\Sigma_k \Phi \delta \vec{D}} \cdot d\vec{a}}_{=0} + \int_{\Omega} \vec{E} \cdot \delta \vec{D} \, d^3x \quad (8)$$

so

$$\delta W = \int_{\Omega} E_i \varepsilon_{ij}(x) \delta E_j \, d^3x \quad (9)$$

or

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{ij} E_i E_j \, d^3x \quad (10)$$

In our special case for homogeneous dielectrics,

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon E^2 \, d^3x \quad (11)$$

In the no dielectric case, we have  $\frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{D}_0$ . When a dielectric is inserted, we can look at the change in energy, or

$$\Delta W = \frac{1}{2} \int \vec{E} \cdot \vec{D} d^3x - \frac{1}{2} \int \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{D}_0 d^3x \quad (12)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int \vec{E} \cdot \vec{D}_0 d^3x - \int \vec{E}_0 \cdot \vec{D} d^3x + \int (\vec{E} + \vec{E}_0) \cdot (\vec{D} - \vec{D}_0) d^3x \right] \quad (13)$$

The final term here is

$$\int (\vec{E} + \vec{E}_0) \cdot (\vec{D} - \vec{D}_0) d^3x = - \int \nabla \cdot (\Phi + \Phi_0) \cdot (\vec{D} - \vec{D}_0) d^3x \quad (14)$$

$$= - \int \nabla \cdot [(\vec{D} - \vec{D}_0)(\Phi + \Phi_0)] d^3x \quad (15)$$

$$- \left\{ \sum_k \oint_{\Sigma_k} \vec{D}(\Phi + \Phi_0) d\vec{a} - \oint_{\Sigma_k} \vec{D}_0(\Phi + \Phi_0) d\vec{a} \right\} = 0$$

$$+ \underbrace{\int [\nabla \vec{D} - \nabla \vec{D}_0] (\Phi + \Phi_0) d^3x}_{=0} \quad (16)$$

$$= 0 \quad (17)$$

so

$$\Delta W = -\frac{1}{2} \int \vec{P} \cdot \vec{E}_0 d^3x \quad (18)$$

Again, the field will be  $\vec{E}_0$  if there were no dielectrics.

We can find the force due to this dielectric:

$$F_i = - \frac{\partial W}{\partial \xi^i} \bigg|_{Q_k = \text{fixed}} \quad (19)$$

where  $\xi$  is some displacement of the dielectric.

We also know that  $W = \frac{1}{2} \int \rho \Phi$  so

$$\delta W = \frac{1}{2} \int (\delta \rho \Phi + \rho \delta \Phi) d^3x = \int \delta \rho \Phi d^3x \quad (20)$$

so if you have batteries keeping the dielectrics at constant potential, they will do some work on the system  $\sum_k \delta Q_k \Phi_k$  which will have to be accounted for.