

33-756 Homework 2

Nathaniel D. Hoffman

January 31, 2020

1. Total Time Derivative

Show that if you add a total time derivative to the Lagrangian ($\frac{d}{dt}F(x)$), it has no effect on the equations of motion.

Take a modified Lagrangian $L \rightarrow L' = L + \dot{F}(x)$. We can derive the modified Euler-Lagrange equations of motion as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \dot{q}} &= \frac{\partial L'}{\partial q} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} + \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial \dot{q}} \right] &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} + \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial q}\end{aligned}$$

Next, we can remove the unmodified equations of motion $\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = 0$:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial \dot{q}} = \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial q}$$

Next, because $\frac{dt}{dt} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial \dot{q}} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} \\ \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial \dot{q}} &= \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q}\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial \dot{q}} \rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial F}{\partial q} = \frac{\partial \dot{F}}{\partial q}$$

This is true because the derivatives commute. Therefore, the total time derivative has no effect on the equations of motion because it satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equations.

2. Transformations under Rotations

Show that \vec{P} transforms as a vector under an infinitesimal rotation using the representation of \vec{P} and \vec{L} as differential operators.

Consider a rotation of the operator $\vec{P}' = U^\dagger \vec{P} U$ where $U = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta}$. If we expand to first order, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{P}' &= \left(I - \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right) \vec{P} \left(I + \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right) \\ &= \vec{P} - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right) \vec{P} + \vec{P} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right)\end{aligned}$$

so

$$\delta \vec{P} = \vec{P} \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right) - \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{L} \cdot \hat{n} \theta \right) \vec{P}$$

or

$$\delta P_i = [P_i, L_j] \frac{i}{\hbar} n_j \theta$$

We can write these operators in differential form as

$$P_i = \frac{\hbar}{i} \partial_i$$

and

$$L_j = X_a P_b \epsilon_{abj} = \frac{\hbar}{i} X_a \partial_b \epsilon_{abj}$$

The commutator is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}[P_i, L_j] &= -\hbar^2 \epsilon_{abj} [\partial_i, X_a \partial_b] \\ &= -\hbar^2 \epsilon_{abj} \left(\underbrace{[\partial_i, X_a]}_{\delta_{ia}} \partial_b + X_a \underbrace{[\partial_i, \partial_b]}_0 \right) \\ &= -\hbar^2 \epsilon_{abj} \partial_b \delta_{ia} = -\hbar^2 \epsilon_{ibj} \partial_b = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \epsilon_{ibj} P_b\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\delta P_i = \epsilon_{ibj} P_b n_j \theta$$

or

$$\delta \vec{P} = (\vec{P} \times \hat{n}) \theta = -(\hat{n} \times \vec{P}) \theta$$

Therefore, the infinitesimal rotation leads to a differential which acts moves the end of a vector perpendicular to itself, which is how vectors transform under rotations.

3. 3D Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator

Consider the isotropic harmonic oscillator in three dimensions. Its Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = \hbar \omega \left(a_x^\dagger a_x + a_y^\dagger a_y + a_z^\dagger a_z + \frac{3}{2} \right)$$

Naively, one would think that the only symmetry is rotational. Let's assume that's the case—then the degeneracy would be $g = (2N + 1)$. But this underestimates the degeneracy considerably. Show that for $n_x + n_y + n_z = N$, the degeneracy is $g = \frac{1}{2}(N + 1)(N + 2)$. To do this as a counting problem, you have 3 buckets and N marbles. How many ways are there to distribute the marbles?

Let's assume we know how many marbles are being put into the first bucket and call this number $n_1 \in [0, N]$. The other two bucket's contents must sum to $N - n_1$. How many ways are there to divide up $N - n_1$ marbles into two bins? We could lay out all the marbles in a row and place a separator to choose which marbles would go into which bin. Since we can also have the case where zero marbles go into a particular bin, there are $N - n_1 + 1$ spaces in which the separator can be placed.

Finally, to find the total number of ways we can separate N marbles, we have to sum over all the possible values of n_1 :

$$\sum_{n_1=0}^N N - n_1 + 1 = \sum_{n_1=0}^N N + 1 - \sum_{n_1=0}^N n_1$$

The first sum is just the value $N + 1$ summed over $n_1 + 1$ times (since n_1 goes from 0 to N). The second sum is the sum of all the numbers from 0 to N , which is well-known thanks to Gauss: $\frac{1}{2}N(N + 1)$. Therefore, the degeneracy is

$$(N + 1)(N + 1) - \frac{1}{2}N(N + 1) = \frac{1}{2}(n + 1)(n + 2)$$

So we see that there must be another symmetry that explains why the degeneracy grows more quickly with N . For the 3D SHO we have the symmetric tensor

$$T_{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda}(p_i p_j + \lambda^2 r_i r_j)$$

Determine what λ must be in order for this tensor to be conserved. Show that the number of conserved quantities is equal to the number of generators in the group $U(3)$. To determine the number of generators, determine how many independent parameters exist for the most general unitary 3-by-3 matrix. One can in fact show that this system has a $U(3)$ symmetry.

If T_{ij} is conserved, $[T, H] = 0$. To calculate the commutator, I will first rewrite the Hamiltonian in terms of p and r :

$$H = \frac{p_k^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2 r_k^2}{2}$$

so (factoring an $\frac{2}{m}$ to make some of this more symmetric)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = [T, H] &= \frac{2}{\lambda m} \{ (p_i p_j + \lambda^2 r_i r_j)(p_k p_k + m^2 \omega^2 r_k r_k) - (p_k p_k + m^2 \omega^2 r_k r_k)(p_i p_j + \lambda^2 r_i r_j) \} \\ &= (\textcolor{blue}{p_i p_j p_k p_k}) + m^2 \omega^2 (p_i p_j r_k r_k) + \lambda^2 (r_i r_j p_k p_k) + \textcolor{red}{\lambda^2 m^2 \omega^2 (r_i r_j r_k r_k)} \\ &\quad - (\textcolor{blue}{p_k p_k p_i p_j}) - m^2 \omega^2 (r_k r_k p_i p_j) - \lambda^2 (p_k p_k r_i r_j) - \textcolor{red}{\lambda^2 m^2 \omega^2 (r_k r_k r_i r_j)} \end{aligned}$$

Since the position and momentum operators are all self-commuting, the red and blue terms cancel. The remaining terms can be written as:

$$0 = m^2 \omega^2 [p_i p_j, r_k r_k] - \lambda^2 [p_k p_k, r_i r_j]$$

The first commutator is

$$\begin{aligned} [p_i p_j, r_k r_k] &= p_i [p_j, r_k r_k] + [p_i, r_k r_k] p_j \\ &= p_i ([p_j, r_k] r_k + r_k [p_j, r_k]) + ([p_i, r_k] r_k + r_k [p_i, r_k]) p_j \\ &= p_i (-2i\hbar r_k \delta_{jk}) + (-2i\hbar r_k \delta_{ik}) p_j \\ &= -2i\hbar (p_i r_j + \textcolor{red}{r_i p_j}) \\ &= -2i\hbar \{p_i, r_j\} \end{aligned}$$

The second commutator is

$$\begin{aligned}
[p_k p_k, r_i r_j] &= [p_k p_k, r_i] r_j + r_i [p_k p_k, r_j] \\
&= (p_k [p_k, r_i] + [p_k, r_i] p_k) r_j + r_i (p_k [p_k, r_j] + [p_k, r_j] p_k) \\
&= (-2i\hbar p_k \delta_{ik}) r_j + r_i (-2i\hbar p_k \delta_{jk}) \\
&= -2i\hbar (p_i r_j + r_i p_j) \\
&= -2i\hbar \{p_i, r_j\}
\end{aligned}$$

As we can see, these commutators are the same, so we can cancel them, leaving

$$\lambda^2 = m^2 \omega^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \lambda = \pm \sqrt{m\omega}$$

All unitary matrices are diagonalizable. Diagonalizing an N -by- N matrix will result in a diagonal matrix with N independent eigenvalue coefficients and a transformation matrix of N orthogonal basis vectors of dimension N . However, you only need to know $N-1$ orthogonal vectors to be able to exactly determine the last one, so in total a general unitary matrix has $N + N(N-1) = N^2$ independent coefficients (generators). Therefore, a 3-by-3 unitary matrix has 9 generators. Since T_{ij} is symmetric, it contains 6 independent parameters. The other 3 come from the 3D rotational symmetry group $\text{SO}(3)$, which has 3 generators.