

## Lecture 17: Dielectrics

Mon Sep. 30 2019

## 0.1 Dielectrics

In isotropic and homogeneous materials, we said that

$$\vec{P} = \epsilon_0 \chi \vec{E} \quad (1)$$

so

$$\vec{D} = \epsilon_0 \vec{E} + \vec{P} \quad (2)$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho_{\text{free}} \quad (3)$$

Of course, we also must satisfy

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = \vec{0} \Rightarrow \vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi \quad (4)$$

so

$$\vec{D} = \underbrace{(\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0 \chi)}_{\epsilon} \vec{E} \quad (5)$$

so

$$\epsilon \nabla^2 \Phi = -\rho_{\text{free}} \quad (6)$$

In more general cases,

$$D_i = \epsilon_{ij}(x) E_j \quad (7)$$

so

$$\partial_i(\epsilon_{ij}(x) \partial_j \Phi) = -\rho_{\text{free}} \quad (8)$$

which is in general pretty hard to solve.

If we recall our boundary conditions:

Quote

“Do I have enough c’s in ‘across’? You know in French there are always more letters than you think there should be.”

$$\vec{E}_t \text{ is continuous across a boundary} \quad (9)$$

and

$$\vec{D}_n \text{ is continuous} \quad (10)$$

**Example.** For a dielectric ( $\epsilon \neq 0$ ) sphere inserted into a uniform electric field),

$$\vec{E}_0 = E_0 \hat{z} \quad (11)$$

There are no free charges and symmetry around  $z$

$$\Phi_{\text{in}} = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} A_l r^l P_l(\cos(\theta)) \quad (12)$$

The potential cannot go to zero at infinity, since there is an electric field there, so

$$\Phi_{\text{out}} = -E_0 z + B_0 + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l r^{-(l+1)} P_l(\cos(\theta)) \quad (13)$$

We can set  $B_0 = 0$  because the potential is invariant up to a constant. We know that  $\Phi$  must be continuous across the  $r = a$  boundary, so

$$\Phi(a)_{\text{out}} = -E_0 a \underbrace{P_1(\cos(\theta))}_{\cos(\theta)} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} C_l a^{-(l+1)} P_l(\cos(\theta)) \quad (14)$$

so for  $l = 1$

$$A_1 = -E_0 + \frac{C_1}{a^3} \quad (15)$$

and for  $l \neq 1$

$$A_l = \frac{C_l}{a^{2l+1}} \quad (16)$$

We know that  $D = \varepsilon E$  and we know the inside and outside permittivity, so to maintain continuity,

$$(-\varepsilon \nabla \Phi) \cdot \hat{n} \Big|_{r \rightarrow a^-} = (-\varepsilon_0 \nabla \Phi) \cdot \hat{n} \Big|_{r \rightarrow a^+} \quad (17)$$

or

$$-\varepsilon \frac{\partial \Phi_{\text{in}}}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=a} = -\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \Phi_{\text{out}}}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=a} \quad (18)$$

so

$$\varepsilon E_0 P_1(\cos(\theta)) - \varepsilon \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [-(l+1)a^{-(l+2)} C_l P_l(\cos(\theta))] = -\varepsilon_0 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l A_l a^{l-1} P_l(\cos(\theta)) \quad (19)$$

so when  $l \neq 1$ :

$$\varepsilon(l+1)C_l a^{-(l+2)} = -\varepsilon_0 l A_l a^{l-1} \quad (20)$$

and when  $l = 1$ :

$$\varepsilon E_0 + \varepsilon(1+l)a^{-3}C_1 = -\varepsilon_0 A_1 \quad (21)$$

From this and the previous boundary condition, we see that all of the  $l \neq 1$  terms are 0, and solving between the remaining  $l = 1$  terms, we see that

$$A_1 = -\frac{3\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} E_0 \quad (22)$$

and

$$C_1 = \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} a^3 E_0 \quad (23)$$

so

$$\Phi_{\text{in}} = -\frac{3\varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} E_0 r \cos(\theta) \quad (24)$$

and

$$\Phi_{\text{out}} = -E_0 r \cos(\theta) + \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} \frac{a^3 E_0}{r^2} \cos(\theta) \quad (25)$$

Taking the proper derivatives, we see that

$$\vec{E}_{\text{in}} = \frac{3\varepsilon_0 E_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} \hat{z} \quad (26)$$

and if we say that

$$\vec{p} = (4\pi a^3) \left( \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}{\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon_0} \right) \varepsilon_0 E_0 \hat{z} \quad (27)$$

$$\vec{E}_{\text{out}} = E_0 \hat{z} + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left[ \frac{3(\vec{p} \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r} - \vec{p}}{r^3} \right] \quad (28)$$

We see here that the field inside is a reduction of the constant field outside, and the field outside has been amplified by the inclusion of the dielectric (unless the material is “active”),  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_0$ . It is also clear here that  $\vec{P} = \vec{D} - \varepsilon_0 \vec{E}$ .  $\diamond$

#### Quote

“What do we want to do with this example? Of course, we don’t want to do anything with it - minimum action principle.”

**Example.** Imagine two media which meet at a straight boundary. On the left side, we have  $\varepsilon_2$  and on the right we have  $\varepsilon_1$ . Imagine placing a charge  $q$  a distance  $d$  from the boundary. We know that maintaining the boundary condition on the interface (the Green’s function must vanish) must create some sort of image charge at  $-d$ . On the right side,  $z > 0$ ,

$$\Phi = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - d)^2}} + \frac{q'}{4\pi\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z + d)^2}} \quad (29)$$

and on the other side,

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{r\pi\varepsilon_2} \frac{q''}{\sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - d)^2}} \quad (30)$$

where  $q''$  is some “blurred” charge seen from the left side of the boundary. However, since  $\Phi$  is continuous across the boundary, we know that

$$\frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{\rho^2 + d^2}} + \frac{q'}{4\pi\varepsilon_1 \sqrt{\rho^2 + d^2}} = \frac{q''}{4\pi\varepsilon_2 \sqrt{\rho^2 + d^2}} \quad (31)$$

so

$$q + q' = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon_2} q'' \quad (32)$$

By taking  $\vec{D}$  having no jump across the boundary,

$$q - q' = q'' \quad (33)$$

$\diamond$