

---

LECTURE 7: REPRESENTATIONS OF SU(2)  
Wednesday, January 29, 2020

---

From last lecture, we were examining the (irreducible) representations of SU(2). We found that  $-(2j+1) \leq m \leq (2j+1)$  and that the dimensionality of any representation of this form is

$$\dim(R) = 2j + 1 \quad j \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}$$

$$J^2 |jm\rangle = \hbar^2 j(j+1) |jm\rangle$$

$$J_z |jm\rangle = \hbar m |jm\rangle$$

and

$$J_{\pm} |m\rangle = c_{\pm} |m \pm 1\rangle$$

where

$$c_{\pm} = \hbar \sqrt{(j \pm m)(j \pm m + 1)}$$

Consider the 3-dimensional representation ( $j = 1$ ). We can write down the matrix elements of any given group element:

$$\langle m' | J_x | m \rangle = \langle m' | \frac{1}{2}(J_+ + J_-) | m \rangle = c \delta_{m', m+1} + c' \delta_{m', m-1}$$

We also discussed the unitary operator which comes from exponentiating the group elements and defined these as the Wigner matrices:

$$U(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) = e^{-i \frac{\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{J}}}{\hbar} \theta}$$

$$\langle jm | U(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) | jm' \rangle = D_{mm'}^{(j)}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$$

We also showed that

$$D_{m'm}^{(j)} |jm\rangle = |jm'\rangle$$

so

$$J^2 |jm'\rangle = J^2 D_{m'm}^{(j)} |jm\rangle = D_{m'm}^{(j)} \hbar^2 j(j+1) |jm\rangle = \hbar^2 j(j+1) D_{m'm}^{(j)} |jm\rangle = \hbar^2 j(j+1) |jm'\rangle$$

The Wigner matrices form an irreducible representation of SU(2):

$$D_{mm'}^{(j)}(R_1) D_{m'm''}^{(j)}(R_2) = D_{mm''}^{(j)}(R_1 R_2)$$

### 0.0.1 Euler Angles

Any rotation can be written as a sum of rotations about three axes. By convention, we call the magnitudes of the rotations  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ , where the rotations are over the axes  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$  again in that order. We can write the Wigner matrices in terms of Euler angles:

$$D_{m'm}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \langle m' | e^{-i\alpha J_z/\hbar} e^{-i\beta J_y/\hbar} e^{-i\gamma J_z/\hbar} | m \rangle = e^{-i(\alpha m' + \gamma m)} \underbrace{\langle m' | e^{-i\beta J_y/\hbar} | m \rangle}_{d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta)}$$

## 0.1 Orbital Angular Momentum

Let's now look at the observable  $\vec{\mathbf{L}}$ . We can carry some similar terms over from the discussion of  $\vec{\mathbf{J}}$ . Eigenstates will be written as

$$|lm\rangle \quad -(2l+1) \leq m \leq 2l+1$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{n}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{n}}(\theta, \varphi)$$

We want to write our eigenvectors in terms of the axis of rotation  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ :

$$\langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | L_z | lm \rangle = \hbar m \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle$$

Define

$$F_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | R_z(\delta\varphi) | lm \rangle &\xrightarrow{\varphi \rightarrow 0} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | \left( I - i \frac{L_z}{\hbar} \delta\varphi \right) | lm \rangle \\ &= \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle - i \frac{\delta\varphi L}{\hbar} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | L_z | lm \rangle \\ \langle \theta, \varphi | R_z(\delta\varphi) | lm \rangle &= \\ \langle \theta, \varphi + \delta\varphi | \approx \langle \theta, \varphi | - \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \langle \theta, \varphi | \delta\varphi &= \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle - i \frac{\delta\varphi}{\hbar} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | L_z | lm \rangle &= \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle - \delta\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle \\ \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | L_z | lm \rangle &= \hbar m \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \langle \hat{\mathbf{n}} | lm \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The solutions to this differential equation are the spherical harmonics:

$$F_{lm} \rightarrow Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) \implies -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) = \hbar m Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$$

However, this only clears up the  $\varphi$  dependence. Now we need to figure out how  $\theta$  works:

$$L^2 Y_{lm} = \hbar^2 l(l+1) Y_{lm}$$

We can write

$$L_x = -i\hbar \left[ -\sin(\varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot(\theta) \cos(\varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right]$$

and

$$L_y = -i\hbar \left[ \cos(\varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \cot(\theta) \sin(\varphi) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right]$$

so

$$L^2 = \left[ -\frac{1}{\sin(\theta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) - \frac{1}{\sin^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} \right]$$

In certain cases, the Wigner matrices are actually equivalent to the spherical harmonics. Consider  $|\hat{\mathbf{n}}\rangle = D(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle$ . If we use our Euler rotation convention, the  $\gamma$  rotation is about  $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , but we are acting on  $|\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle$ , so this rotation does nothing:

$$D(R) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = D(\alpha = \varphi, \beta = \theta, 0) |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle$$

Let's insert the identity:

$$|\hat{\mathbf{n}}\rangle = \sum_{lm} D(R) |lm\rangle \langle lm | \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$$

Next, project onto  $|l'm'\rangle$ :

$$\langle l'm' | \hat{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = \sum_{lm} \langle l'm' | D(R) | lm \rangle \langle lm | \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$$

Rotation matrices don't change the length of the vector, so

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l'm' | \hat{\mathbf{n}} \rangle &= \sum_m \langle l'm' | D(R) | lm \rangle \langle lm | \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rangle \\ &= \sum_m D_{m'm}^{(l)}(R) \underbrace{\langle lm | \hat{\mathbf{z}} \rangle}_{Y_{lm}^*(\theta=0, \varphi)} \end{aligned}$$

Note

$$e^{iL_z\varphi} |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle \implies L_z |\hat{\mathbf{z}}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_z |m=0\rangle = 0$$

Therefore

$$\langle lm' | \hat{\mathbf{n}} \rangle = D_{m'0}^{(l)}(R) Y_{l0}^*(\theta=0, \varphi) = Y_{lm'}^*(\theta, \varphi)$$

We already know the  $\varphi$ -dependence:

Aside

The professor is not implying anything by raising the  $l$ -index (no Condon-Shortley phase)

$$L_z Y_m^l = \hbar m Y_m^l = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} Y_m^l \implies Y_m^l \sim e^{im\varphi} F(\theta)$$

Therefore

$$Y_{l0}^*(\theta=0, \varphi) = Y_{l0}^*(\theta=0, \varphi=0) = \text{const.}$$

since all the  $\varphi$ -dependence only happens when  $m \neq 0$ .

For homework, we will show that

$$Y_0^l(0, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}$$

Finally, this means that

$$Y_{lm'}^*(\theta, \varphi) = D_{m'0}(\alpha = \varphi, \beta = \theta, \gamma = 0) \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{4\pi}}$$

We have found that

$$D_{m'0}^{(l)}(\varphi, \theta, 0) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1}} Y_{lm'}^*(\theta, \varphi)$$