## LECTURE 32: LIGHT Wednesday, October 30, 2019

## 0.1 Light and Propagating Fields

Currently, Maxwell's equations look like this:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{D} = \rho$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{B}$$

$$(\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J})^*$$

This last equation is incomplete!

By current conservation (taking the divergence of the last equation),

$$\partial_t \rho + \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J} = 0$$

However, from the first equation,  $partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot \partial_t \vec{D}$ . Therefore, we must have

$$\nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} + \partial_t \vec{D}$$

In free space,

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \rho/\epsilon_0$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{B}$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \partial_t \vec{E}$$

Suppose there is no source in a region  $\Omega$ . Now

$$\mathbf{\nabla} \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{B}$$

and

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \epsilon_0 \partial_t \vec{E}$$

and  $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ . Seemingly by coincidence,  $\mu_0 \epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{c^2}$ !

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t (\nabla \times \vec{B}) = -\partial_t c^{-2} \partial_t \vec{E} = \nabla \nabla \vec{E} - \nabla^2 \vec{E}$$

SO

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \vec{E} = 0$$

and

$$\nabla^2 \vec{B} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \vec{B} = 0$$

which are both wave equations, which have solutions  $\varphi = f(x - ct) + g(x + ct)$ . These are called plane waves because the strength of the field in a given plane is constant.

Let's look for solutions like

$$\vec{E} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \vec{E}_0 e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - i\omega t} \right\}$$

Plugging this into our formula, we find

$$\nabla^2 \vec{E} = -k^2 \vec{E}$$

and

$$\partial_t^2 \vec{E} = (-\imath \omega)^2 \vec{E}$$

so as long as the solution is nonzero,

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c}$$

The curl acting on plane waves is just like  $i\vec{k}\times$ :

$$i\vec{k} \times \vec{E} = -\partial_t \vec{B}$$

SO

$$\vec{B}_0 = \frac{\vec{k} \times \vec{E}_0}{\omega}$$

so the electric and magnetic fields are always perpendicular.

This is the free wave solution, and adding a source will obviously complicate things. For sources, we have

$$\vec{E} = -\nabla \Phi - \partial_t \vec{A}$$

and

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \vec{E}$$

SO

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{A}) = \mu_0 \vec{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \left( -\nabla \cdot \partial_t \Phi - \partial_t^2 \vec{A} \right)$$

If we write the left-hand side as

$$\nabla(\nabla\cdot\vec{A}) - \nabla^2\vec{A}$$

we get

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\vec{A})-\nabla^2\vec{A}=\mu_0\vec{J}-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t\Phi-\frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2\vec{A}$$

We want to keep the parts that look like a wave equation on one side:

$$\nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t \Phi) - \mu_0 \vec{J} = \nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2}\partial_t^2 \vec{A}$$

Recall we have some freedom in defining our potentials (Gauge freedom):

$$\nabla \times \vec{A} + \nabla \chi = \nabla \times \vec{A}$$

and

$$-\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Phi - \partial_t \chi - \partial_t (\vec{A} + \boldsymbol{\nabla}\chi) = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}\Phi - \partial_t \vec{A}$$

SO

$$\vec{A} \mapsto \vec{A} + \nabla \chi$$

and

$$\Phi \mapsto \Phi + \partial_t \chi$$

We would like our final equation to be

$$\nabla^2 \vec{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \vec{A} = -\mu_0 \vec{J}$$

so let the gauge be

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{A} + \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t \Phi = 0$$

Doing the same process with the divergence of the electric field, we get that

$$-\nabla^2 \Phi - \partial_t \nabla \cdot \vec{A} = \rho/\epsilon_0$$

Using our gauge,

$$-\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \Phi = \rho/\epsilon_0$$

What are the solutions for these equations? If we have

$$\nabla^2 \Phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \Phi = f(\vec{x}, t)$$

then we are looking for a Green's function with

$$\nabla^2 G(\vec{x}, t; \vec{x}', t') - \frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 G = -4\pi \delta(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') \delta(t - t')$$

SO

$$G = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'; \omega) e^{i\omega(t - t')} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\omega$$

SO

$$\nabla^2 G(x - x'; \omega + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} G = -4\pi \delta(x - x')$$

Therefore

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)G(x - x'; \omega) = -4\pi\delta(x - x')$$

This is the operator for the Helmholtz equation.

This equation can be solved by

$$G(x - x'l\omega) = \frac{e^{\pm ik|x - x'|}}{|x - x'|}$$

Note that I stopped using vector arrows on x but they are vectors in general. Therefore

$$G(x - x', t - t')^{\pm} = \int e^{\pm ik|x - x'| - i\omega(t - t')} \frac{1}{|x - x'|} \frac{1}{2\pi} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\delta(t - t' \pm \frac{|x - x'|}{c})}{|x - x'|}$$

These solutions must vanish at infinity, so they actually don't describe plane waves. The choice of  $\pm$  concerns causality, and the - case is the one where the past effects the future.

Lecture 32: Light