LECTURE 24: FORCE ACTING ON A LOCALIZED CURRENT DISTRIBUTION Fri Oct 11 2019

From the previous lecture, we found that

$$\vec{m} = \frac{1}{2} \int \vec{x} \times \vec{J}(x) \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

We assume that

$$\vec{F} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$$

or

$$\vec{F} = \int \vec{J} \times \vec{B} \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

We will Taylor expand this in components (to deal with the cross product):

$$F_{i} = \int \epsilon_{ijk} J_{j} \left[B_{k}(0) + x_{l} \partial_{l} \Big|_{0} B_{k} + \frac{1}{2} (x_{l} \partial_{l})^{2} \Big|_{0} B_{k} + \cdots \right] dx$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijk} B_{k}(0) \int J_{j} d^{3}x + \int d^{3}x \, \epsilon_{ijk} J_{j} x_{l} \partial_{l} \Big|_{0} B_{k} + \cdots$$

Recall the way we split up index notation in last lecture:

$$\int x_l J_j \, \mathrm{d}^3 x = \int x_{[l} J_{j]} + \underbrace{x_{(l} J_{j)}}^{0} \, \mathrm{d}^3 x = \frac{1}{2} \int \underbrace{x_l J_j - x_j J_l}_{\epsilon_{ljm}(\vec{x} \times \vec{J})_m} \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

SO

$$F_{i} = \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{ljm} \frac{1}{2} \int (\vec{x} \times \vec{J})_{m} d^{3}x \, \partial_{l} \bigg|_{0} B_{k}$$

$$= (\delta_{il} \delta_{km} - \delta_{im} \delta_{kl}) m_{m} \partial_{l} \bigg|_{0} B_{k} = m_{k} \partial_{i} \bigg|_{0} B_{k} - \underbrace{m_{i} \partial_{k}}_{0} \underbrace{B_{k}}_{0}$$

We can then say that

$$ec{F} pprox
abla \left| \vec{m} \cdot \vec{B} \right|$$

Recall that since $\nabla \times \vec{B} = 0$ (we suppose this magnetic field is external), $\partial_i B_k - \partial_k B_i = 0$, so

$$m_k \partial_i B_k = m_k \partial_k B_i$$

SO

$$\vec{F} \approx (\vec{m} \cdot \vec{\nabla}) \bigg|_{0} \vec{B}$$

What is the torque on this system?

Notation

Jackson uses "n", but we will use \mathcal{T}

$$\mathcal{T} = \int \vec{x} \times (\vec{J} \times \vec{B}) \, \mathrm{d}^3 x$$

Again, let's look at the elements:

$$\mathcal{T}_i = \int (J_i(x_k B_k) - B_i(x_k J_k)) d^3x$$
$$= \int J_i(x_k B_k d^3x - \int B_i x_k J_k d^3x)^0$$

because we can expand B_i as

$$B_i(0) + \vec{x} \cdot \nabla \bigg|_0 \vec{B} + \cdots$$

and

$$B_i(0) \int x_k J_k \, \mathrm{d}^3 x = 0$$

because these are symmetrized indices.

We can expand the other side as

$$\mathcal{T}_{i} = \int J_{i}x_{k}[B_{k}(0) + (\vec{x} \cdot \nabla) \Big|_{0} \vec{B} + \dots] d^{3}x$$

$$= \int \frac{1}{2} (x_{k}J_{i} - x_{i}J_{k})B_{k}(0) d^{3}x + \dots$$

$$= \epsilon_{kil}m_{l}B_{k}(0)$$

$$= \epsilon_{ilk}m_{l}B_{k}(0)$$

$$= \vec{m} \times \vec{B}(0)$$

Remark

$$\nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \vec{J}$$

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \vec{B} = \mu_0 \nabla \times J$$

$$\nabla \nabla \vec{B} - \nabla^2 \vec{B} = \mu_0 \nabla \times \vec{J}$$

so

$$\nabla^2 \vec{B} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times \vec{J}$$

From quantum mechanics, (circularly polarized light, for example), we know that these fields must carry some information about angular momentum. This can't be derived from our current expansions of \vec{B} and \vec{E} . There is a more "transparent" expansion, but of course, it requires a "roundabout" way of doing the expansion. In the special case of

 $\vec{J} = 0$, we find that $\nabla \times \vec{B} = 0$ so $\vec{B} = -\nabla \cdot \Phi_M$, where Φ_m is some scalar potential for the magnetic field. There is a problem with this. If we were to look at some path of current and integrate over a path overlapping it (passing through x_0),

$$\oint_{\Gamma} \vec{B} \cdot d\vec{l} = \mu_0 I$$

this would imply that

$$\int \mathbf{\nabla} \Phi_m \cdot d\vec{l} = \Phi_m(\vec{x}_0) - \Phi_m(\vec{x}_0) = 0$$

unless we allow the potential to be multivalued (which we shouldn't).

If we look at $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B}$ instead, we see that

$$\vec{x} \cdot \nabla^2 \vec{B} = \nabla^2 (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B}) - 2 \nabla \cdot \vec{B}$$

SO

$$\nabla^2 \vec{B} = -\mu_0 \nabla \times \vec{J} \implies \vec{x} \cdot \nabla^2 \vec{B} = -m u_0 \vec{x} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{J} = \nabla^2 (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B})$$

We can now start playing with this expression:

$$\nabla^{2}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B}) = -\mu_{0}\vec{x} \cdot \nabla \times \vec{J}$$

$$\rightarrow x_{i}\epsilon_{ijl}\partial_{j}J_{l}$$

$$= \epsilon_{ijl}x_{i}\partial_{j}J_{l}$$

$$= (x \times \nabla) \cdot \vec{J}$$

SO

$$\nabla^{2}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B}) = -\mu_{0}(\vec{x} \times \nabla) \cdot \vec{J}$$

$$= -i\mu_{0}(\underbrace{-i\vec{x} \times \nabla}_{\vec{\mathbb{L}}}) \cdot \vec{J}$$

$$= -i\mu_{0}\vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J}$$

SO

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{i\mu_0}{4\pi} \int \frac{\vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J}(x')}{|\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|} d^3x'$$

We now expand the denominator in terms of our spherical harmonics:

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B} = \frac{i\mu_0}{4\pi} \int (\vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J})(x') \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} Y_{lm}^*(\theta', \varphi') Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi) \frac{r_{<}^l}{r_{>}^{l+1}} d^3 x'$$

$$= \frac{i\mu_0}{4\pi} = \int \sum_{l,m} Y_{lm}^*(\Omega') \vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J}(\Omega', r') d\Omega' dr' \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \frac{r_{<}^l}{r_{>}^{l+1}} d^3 x' Y_{lm}(\Omega)$$

It turns out that we can also express

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{B} = -r \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial r}$$

SO

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \Phi_m}{\partial r} &= \frac{-\imath \mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l,m} \int \frac{4\pi}{2l+1} Y_{lm}^*(\Omega') (\vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J}) (\Omega', r') r'^l \, \mathrm{d}\Omega' \, \mathrm{d}r' \, \frac{Y_{lm}(\Omega)}{r^{l+1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{-\imath \mu_0}{4\pi} \right) \sum_{l,m} \left(\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \int Y_{lm}^*(\Omega) \vec{\mathbb{L}} \cdot \vec{J} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega' \, r'^l \, \mathrm{d}r' \right) \frac{Y_{lm}}{r^{l+1}} \\ &= \Phi_M = \frac{\imath \mu_0}{\sqrt{l+1}} \sqrt{l} \sum_{l,m} \left(\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \right) \left\{ \int \frac{\vec{\mathbb{L}} Y_{lm}^*}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \cdot \vec{J} \, \mathrm{d}\Omega' \, r'^l \, \mathrm{d}r' \right\} \frac{Y_{lm}}{r^{l+1}} \end{split}$$

where $\vec{\mathbb{L}}Y_{lm}^*$ are the vector spherical harmonics