

## Lecture 4: Laplace Equation

September 19, 2019

### 0.1 Review

Dirichlet Problem:

$$G_D(x, x') = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int G_D(x, x') \rho(x') + \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\Sigma} \frac{\partial G_D}{\partial n'_+} da' \Phi(x') \quad (2)$$

$$G_D(x, x') = G_D(x', x) \quad (3)$$

Neumann Problem:

We can't impose  $\frac{\partial G_N}{\partial n_-} \Big|_{\Sigma} = 0$ , so we will impose  $\frac{\partial G_N}{\partial n_-} \Big|_{\Sigma} = -\frac{4\pi}{\text{Area}(\Sigma)}$ :

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int G_N(x, x') \rho(x') + \langle \Phi \rangle_{\Sigma} + \oint_{\Sigma} G_N(x, x') \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial n'_-} da' \quad (4)$$

$$G_N(x, x') = G_N(x', x) \quad (5)$$

If we only have conductors raised to potentials  $\Phi_i$  (constants), then the charge in the  $j$ th conductor becomes:

$$Q_j = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \oint_{\Sigma_j} \oint_{\Sigma_i} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial n_+ \partial n'_+} da da' \Phi_i \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_j C_{ji} \Phi_i \quad (7)$$

**Remark.** For  $\nabla^2 \Phi = 0$ , the potential satisfies this equation at charge free regions. In charge free regions,  $\Phi(x)$  is given by an average over any sphere around  $x$  as long as the sphere is in the charge free region:

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi b^2} \int_{S^2} \Phi(x + b\hat{\xi}) da \quad (8)$$

where  $b$  is the radius of the sphere and  $\hat{\xi}$  is the normal outwards.  $da = b^2 d\Omega$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \langle \Phi \rangle_{S_b^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \Phi(x + b\hat{\xi}) d\Omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \oint \nabla \Phi \cdot \hat{\xi} d\Omega = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_V \nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi) d^3x = 0 \quad (9)$$

since  $\nabla \cdot (\nabla \Phi) = 0$ . This implies  $\Phi$  has no max or min apart from the charged regions or boundaries. Suppose there was a maximum at  $x_*$ . Take a small sphere around  $x_*$  and average it, all the values on the sphere will be less than  $\Phi(x_*)$ , so the average will be less than the “true” value. Therefore, there are no true stable equilibrium points in electrostatics.

## 0.2 Energy Considerations

In free space, if we have point charges,

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \frac{q_i q_j}{|x_i - x_j|} \quad (10)$$

(Jackson uses “ $W$ ” for energy). This is like the cost of bringing in charges from infinity. Alternatively,  $W = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \int_{\text{everywhere}} E^2 d^3x$  for continuous charge distributions (for point charges, you get infinities).

Let us derive  $W = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_0 \int E^2 d^3x$ :

The work to add an infinitesimal charge  $\delta\rho(x)$  to a continuous distribution is

$$\delta W = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) \delta\rho(x) d^3x \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \delta E = \delta\rho/\epsilon_0 \quad (12)$$

$$\delta W = \epsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x) \nabla \cdot (\delta E) d^3x = \epsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \cdot [\Phi(x) \delta E] d^3x - \epsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} \nabla \Phi \cdot \delta E d^3x \quad (13)$$

$$= \epsilon_0 \oint_{\Sigma} \Phi(x) \delta E \cdot d\vec{a}_- + \epsilon \int_{\Omega} (-\nabla \Phi) \cdot \delta E d^3x \quad (14)$$

$$= \epsilon_0 \sum_i \left( \oint_{\Sigma_i} \delta E \cdot da_- \right) \Phi_i + \epsilon_0 \int_{\Omega} E \cdot \delta E d^3x \quad (15)$$

$$\epsilon_0 \sum_i \left( \oint_{\Sigma_i} \delta E \cdot da_- \right) \Phi_i = 0, \quad (16)$$

so

$$\delta W = \epsilon \int_{\Omega} E \cdot \delta E d^3x = \delta \left( \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} E^2 d^3x \right) \quad (17)$$

The 1/2 here comes from pulling the  $\delta$  out of the integral.

So  $W = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int_{\Omega} E^2 d^3x + W_0$ .  $W \rightarrow 0$  as  $|E| \rightarrow 0$  so  $W_0 \equiv 0$ .

In the presence of conductors,

$$W = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \Phi \rho d^3x + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N Q_k \Phi_k \quad (18)$$

**Remark.**  $\delta W = \sum_i (C^{-1})_{ik} Q_k \delta Q_i$ , therefore  $\delta W = \delta \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum Q_i (C^{-1})_{ik} Q_k \right)$ .