
LECTURE 40: ANGULAR MOMENTUM
Monday, November 18, 2019

Chapter 1

Angular Momentum

In Classical mechanics, we know that the orbital angular momentum is $\vec{L} = \vec{r} \times \vec{p}$. We can examine components of this using the cross product and cyclic permutations ($L_x = yp_z - zp_y$).

In Quantum mechanics, we promote position and momentum to operators. L_x contains two terms and so does L_y so any commutator will contain four terms:

$$[\hat{L}_x, \hat{L}_y] = [\hat{Y}\hat{P}_z - \hat{Z}\hat{P}_y, \hat{Z}\hat{P}_x - \hat{X}\hat{P}_z] = \underbrace{[\hat{Y}\hat{P}_z, \hat{Z}\hat{P}_x]}_{\hat{Y}[\hat{P}_z, \hat{Z}]\hat{P}_x} + \underbrace{[\hat{Z}\hat{P}_y, \hat{X}\hat{P}_z]}_{\hat{X}[\hat{Z}, \hat{P}_z]\hat{P}_{uy}} = -i\hbar\hat{Y}\hat{P}_x + i\hbar\hat{X}\hat{P}_y = i\hbar\hat{L}_z$$

This is the orbital angular momentum for a single particle, but we might have many particles. Let's call total angular momentum $\vec{L} = \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{L}^{(i)}$. We can also have the total angular momentum, which includes the spin: $\vec{J} = \vec{L} + \vec{S}$.

Additionally, $[\hat{J}_x, \hat{J}_y] = i\hbar\hat{J}_z$ (along with the other cyclic permutations).

There is another operator we want to look at:

$$\hat{J}^2 = \vec{J} \cdot \vec{J} = \hat{J}_x^2 + \hat{J}_y^2 + \hat{J}_z^2$$

This total angular momentum squared has interesting commutation relations:

$$[\hat{J}^2, \hat{J}_x] = [\hat{J}_y^2, \hat{J}_x] + [\hat{J}_z^2, \hat{J}_x] = 0$$

We see that \hat{J}^2 commutes with \hat{J}_x , and it can be shown that it commutes with the other two components also. We are looking for a complete commuting set of observables (CCSO). Customarily, we choose this to be $\{\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}^2\}$ (because 20th century physicists love Jay-Z according to Dr. Widom).

Let's define

$$\hat{J}_{\pm} = \hat{J}_x \pm i\hat{J}_y$$

such that we can redefine

$$\hat{J}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{J}_+\hat{J}_- + \hat{J}_-\hat{J}_+) + \hat{J}_z^2$$

We can show that

$$[\hat{J}_z, \hat{J}_{\pm}] = \pm\hbar\hat{J}_{\pm},$$

$$[\hat{J}_+, \hat{J}_-] = 2\hbar\hat{J}_z,$$

and

$$[\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm}] = 0$$

Let's now talk about the eigenstates of angular momentum. Since $\langle \psi | \hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 | \psi \rangle \geq 0$, we know that its eigenvalues must be non-negative. Note that $\hat{\mathbf{J}}$ is Hermitian, so the eigenvalues are real, therefore squaring them will result in non-negative numbers.

Let's call the eigenstates $|j\rangle$ and say that they have eigenvalue $j(j+1)\hbar^2$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 |j\rangle \equiv j(j+1)\hbar^2 |j\rangle$$

Similarly, we can define the eigenstates of $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_z$:

$$\hat{\mathbf{J}}_z |m\rangle = m\hbar |m\rangle$$

Note that j and m do not need to be (and rarely are) integers.

We will label shared eigenstates of $\{\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2, \hat{\mathbf{J}}_z\}$ as $|kjm\rangle$, where we include k in case there's some extra degeneracy for which we need to distinguish states.

Some facts about these eigenstates:

- $-j \leq m \leq j$ Proof: $0 \leq |\hat{\mathbf{J}}_+ |jm\rangle|^2 = \langle jm | \hat{\mathbf{J}}_- \hat{\mathbf{J}}_+ |jm\rangle = \langle jm | (\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 - \hat{\mathbf{J}}_z^2 - \hbar \hat{\mathbf{J}}_z) |jm\rangle$. Next, we can evaluate each of these operators acting on the state:

$$0 \leq (j(j+1) - m^2 - m)\hbar^2$$

so $m \leq j$. If we do the same trick starting with $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-$ we will find the other half of the inequality.

- $j \geq 0$ ($\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2 \geq 0$)
- $m = \pm j$ iff $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle = 0$.

$$|\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle|^2 = \pm(j(j+1) - m(m+1))\hbar^2 = 0$$

- If $m \leq -j$ then $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_z(\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle) = (m \pm 1)\hbar(\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{J}}^2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle) = j(j+1)\hbar^2(\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm} |jm\rangle)$, so $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{\pm}$ acts like a raising/lowering operator for m but leaves j unchanged.
- $j \in \mathbb{Z}/2$ (is a half-integer or integer) Proof: Consider lowering the state $|jm\rangle$ p times using $\hat{\mathbf{J}}_-$, we would find that $-j \leq m - p \leq -j + 1$ where p is an integer. Recall that if $m = -j$, lowering it will give us zero. $m - p - 1$ can't be less than $-j$. We could also end up exactly at $-j$, and if we tried to lower it again we would get 0. Therefore $\exists p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m - p = -j$. We can also say that $\exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m + q = +j$ using the raising process. We can take these two assertions and subtract them, which would give $p + q = 2j \in \mathbb{Z}$.