

Supplementary Material for Adaptive Spatial-Temporal Graph Learning-Enabled Short-Term Voltage Stability Assessment against Time-Varying Network Structures

APPENDIX

A. Hyperparameter Setting

All approaches utilize Bayesian optimization based on the tree-structured parzen estimator (TPE) to perform 50 trials of hyperparameter optimization on the training samples of datasets B, with early stopping enabled. For the transfer-learning models (TL-CNN and TL-STGCN), the optimized hyperparameters are applied to the backbone networks trained on dataset B, after which a lightweight fine-tuning stage is performed using a small subset of cases from dataset C. Table S1 presents the set of hyperparameters to be optimized for each method.

In the experiments, the batch size is set to 64, the number of epochs is 50, and the initial learning rate is 0.005. The gradient optimization is performed using the adam algorithm. For DT, which employs C4.5 algorithm, its assessment performance is affected by hyperparameters such as criterion, min splits, and max depth. MLP's construction considers the number of model layers and the feature output dimensions of the hidden layers. CNN's performance is affected by kernel size, layers, and hidden features. The hyperparameters of LSTM include layers and hidden layer feature outputs. The hyperparameters of STGCN include layers, chebyshev filters, time filters, and hidden features. For the proposed ASTGL, it incorporates an additional regularization hyperparameter for adaptive graph learning compared to STGCN. The final layers of MLP, CNN, LSTM, STGCN, and ASTGL all contain a classification module with hidden features of 64, 64, 128, 256, 256, respectively. Additionally, due to the lack of ability to record the temporal order of features, DT's feature input needs to be correspondingly adjusted to a 1-D dimension. For TL-CNN and TL-STGCN, the backbone structures remain identical to their non-transfer counterparts (CNN and STGCN), and only a selected portion of layers is unfrozen during fine-tuning. After the backbone models are first trained on dataset B using the optimized hyperparameters, a lightweight fine-tuning stage is performed on a small subset of cases from dataset C. The hyperparameters considered for transfer learning therefore include the number of unfrozen layers and the learning-rate (LR) scaling factor used during fine-tuning.

To provide a clear reference for reproducibility, Table S2 summarizes the primary structure of the proposed ASTGL model, including its layer organization, output dimensionality, and the hyperparameter settings adopted throughout this study.

TABLE S1
OPTIMIZING MODEL HYPERPARAMETERS WITH OPTUNA

Model	Param	Options	Dataset B/C
DT	Criterion	{gini, entropy}	gini
	Min. split	{2, 3, ..., 5}	2
	Max. depth	{1, 2, ..., 30}	20
MLP	Layers	{1, 2, 3}	3
	Hidden features	{32, 64, 128, 256}	{32, 256, 64}
CNN	Layers	{1, 2, 3}	3
	Kernel size	{1, 3, 5}	3
	Hidden features	{32, 64, 128}	{64, 128, 64}
TL-CNN	Unfrozen layers	{1, 2, 3}	2
	Fine-tune LR	{0.001, 0.002, ..., 0.01}	0.004
LSTM	Layers	{1, 2, 3}	3
	Hidden features	{64, 128, 256}	{128, 128, 128}
STGCN	Layers	{1, 2, 3}	2
	K_s	{2, 3}	3
	Cheb filters	{5, 10, 15, 20}	10
	Time filters	{5, 10, 15}	5
TL-STGCN	Unfrozen layers	{1, 2, 3}	1
	Fine-tune LR	{0.001, 0.002, ..., 0.01}	0.003
ASTGL	Layers	{1, 2, 3}	2
	λ	{0.0001, 0.0002, ..., 0.001}	0.0001
	K_s	{2, 3}	3
	Cheb filters	{5, 10, 15, 20}	10
	Time filters	{5, 10, 15}	5

TABLE S2
PRIMARY STRUCTURE OF THE PROPOSED ASTGL MODEL

Layer	Output Shape	Hyperparameters
Input-X1	(B, 50, 69, $F_{in} = 3$)	-
AGLM	(B, 69, 69)	Learnable parameter: $w_{ij}^A \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 69 \times 3 \times 50}$; activation: ReLU+ Softmax.
SAM	(B, 69, 69)	Learnable parameter: $w^{sp} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 69 \times 69}$; activation: Sigmoid+ Softmax.
Re-STGCN block1	-	Input: $X1$ (B, 50, 69, F_{in}) + A^{sp} (B, 69, 69); contains: Cheb GCN + TCN + Residual Path.
(1) ChebGCN	(B, 50, 69, 10)	$K_s = 2$; filter: $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 3 \times 10}$; activation: ReLU.
(2) TCN	(B, 10, 69, 50)	Conv2D kernel: 1×3; stride: [1,1]; padding: [0,1]; activation: ReLU.
(3) Residual Path-X2	(B, 5, 69, 50)	Conv2D kernel: 1×1; stride: [1,1]; LayerNorm.
Re-STGCN block2	-	Same architecture as block1; input: $X2$ (B, 5, 69, 50) + A^{sp} (B, 69, 69).
(1) ChebGCN	(B, 50, 69, 10)	$K_s = 2$; filter: $\Theta \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 5 \times 10}$; activation: ReLU.
(2) TCN	(B, 10, 69, 50)	Conv2D kernel: 1×3; stride: [1,1]; padding: [0,1]; activation: ReLU.
(3) Residual Path	(B, 5, 69, 50)	Conv2D kernel: 1×1; stride: [1,1]; LayerNorm.
CM	(B, 2)	Flatten \rightarrow Linear($69 \times 5 \times 50 \rightarrow 2$); activation: Softmax.
Output	(B, 2)	Predicted class probabilities (stable / unstable).

Note1: B represents the number of cases in each mini batch. $T=50$ represents the length of the OTW. $N=69$ represents the number of the bus in Sub-system 1. $F_{in} = \{P, Q, V\}$ represents injection active power, reactive power, and voltage magnitude per bus. During backpropagation, the AGLM incorporates a regularization coefficient $\lambda=0.0001$ to constrain the adaptive learnable matrix A_{adp} and maintain numerical stability.