

PROGRESS NOTES

Autologistic model: condition on sum

Given the conditionals: for $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$

$$(0.1) \quad \text{logit } \Pr(L_j = 1 | L_{\{-j\}}) = \beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k \neq j} l_k$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(L_j = l | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) &= \frac{\exp[l(\beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k < j} l_k)]}{1 + \exp[\beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k < j} l_k]} \\ \Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp[\beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k < j} l_k]} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\Pr(L_j = l | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)}{\Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)} = \exp[l(\beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k < j} l_k)]$$

By Brook's lemma, the joint distribution is determined up to a proportionality constant by the conditionals.

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(L_1 = l_1, \dots, L_J = l_J) &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\Pr(L_j | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)}{\Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)} \Pr(L_1 = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) \\ &\propto \prod_{j=1}^J \exp[l_j(\beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k < j} l_k)] \\ &= \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j l_j + \sum_{j=2}^J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \theta_j l_j l_k\right\} \end{aligned}$$

Let the proportionality(normalizing) constant be

$$A(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \left\{ \sum_{l^* \in \{0,1\}^J} \exp\left[\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j l_j^* + \sum_{j=2}^J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \theta_j l_j^* l_k^*\right] \right\}^{-1}$$

Then the joint distribution defined by the autologistic model is

$$(0.2) \quad \Pr(L_1 = l_1, \dots, L_J = l_J) = A(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j l_j + \sum_{j=2}^J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \theta_j l_j l_k\right\}$$

Apparently, this is a special form of the quadratic exponential model in Zhao (1990).

For example, when $J = 3$, the joint density is

$$\Pr(L) = A(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \exp\{\beta_1 l_1 + \beta_2 l_2 + \beta_3 l_3 + \theta_2 l_1 l_2 + \theta_3 l_1 l_3 + \theta_3 l_2 l_3\}$$

Note that θ_1 is not involved in the joint density (0.2). This suggests that in the pair-wise autologistic model (0.1), $\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^J$ leads to over-specification. For a well defined joint density function, the logit $\Pr(L_j = 1 | L_{\{-j\}})$ derived from (0.2) must be equal to the model in (0.1).

$$\begin{aligned}
(0.3) \quad \text{logit } \Pr(L_j = 1 | L_{\{-j\}}) &= \log \frac{\exp\{\beta_j + \sum_{k \neq j} \beta_k l_k + \sum_{r \neq j} \sum_{k \neq j, k < r} \theta_r l_r l_k + \theta_j \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} l_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^J \theta_k l_k\}}{\exp\{\sum_{k \neq j} \beta_k l_k + \sum_{r \neq j} \sum_{k \neq j, k < r} \theta_r l_r l_k\}} \\
&= \beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} l_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^J \theta_k l_k \\
&= \beta_j + \theta_j \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} l_k + \theta_j \sum_{k=j+1}^J l_k
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, J-1$, the following constraint must be satisfied for any value of $l_j \in \{0, 1\}$

$$\theta_j \sum_{k=j+1}^J l_k = \sum_{k=j+1}^J \theta_k l_k$$

By induction, we can show that all $\{\theta_j\}_{j=1}^J$ must have the same value.

Autologistic model: pair-wise saturated

Given the conditionals: for $j = 1, 2, \dots, J$

$$(0.4) \quad \text{logit } \Pr(L_j = 1 | L_{\{-j\}}) = \beta_j + \sum_{k \neq j} \theta_{jk} l_k$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(L_j = l | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) &= \frac{\exp[l(\beta_j + \sum_{k < j} \theta_{jk} l_k)]}{1 + \exp[\beta_j + \sum_{k < j} \theta_{jk} l_k]} \\
\Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp[\beta_j + \sum_{k < j} \theta_{jk} l_k]}
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{\Pr(L_j = l | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)}{\Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)} = \exp[l(\beta_j + \sum_{k < j} \theta_{jk} l_k)]$$

By Brook's lemma, the joint distribution is determined up to a proportionality constant by the conditionals.

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(L_1 = l_1, \dots, L_J = l_J) &= \prod_{j=1}^J \frac{\Pr(L_j | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)}{\Pr(L_j = 0 | L_1, \dots, L_{j-1}, L_{j+1} = 0, \dots, L_J = 0)} \Pr(L_1 = 0, \dots, L_J = 0) \\
&\propto \prod_{j=1}^J \exp[l_j(\beta_j + \sum_{k < j} \theta_{jk} l_k)] \\
&= \exp\left\{\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j l_j + \sum_{j=2}^J \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \theta_{jk} l_j l_k\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

This model leads to the same conditional logit as in (0.4), thus it is a valid model.

Note that this model is equivalent to the quadratic exponential model in Zhao (1990).