## Count Data

The count data comes from Poisson:

$$Y \sim P(\mu)$$

In this part, we consider two ways to analyze the data, through Poisson and through Binomial.

## **Discussion of Poisson and Binomial**

## Poisson Model

## **Model Assumption:**

Y: the number of events in a Poisson process of rate  $\exp(x^{\top}\beta)$  observed for a period T, where  $\mu = T \exp(x^{\top}\beta) = \exp(x^{\top}\beta + \log T)$ .

#### **Explanation:**

- 1. The canonical link of Possion is  $\mu = \exp(x^{\top}\beta)$ ;
- 2. The expected mean increases proportional to the T;
- 3. This is a log-linear model with linear predictor  $\eta' = x^{\top} \beta + \log T$ , where  $\log T$ , a fixed part, is a *offset* term.

**Note:** The offset term can also be the amount of population.

## Binomial Model derived by Poission Model

 $Y_i \sim P(\mu_i), i=1,2$  are independent. Then,

$$Y_1|Y_1+Y_2=m\sim \mathrm{Bin}(n,\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_1+\mu_2})$$

Since Y are output of Poission model, we can use the log-linear model discussed above, that is,  $\mu_1 = \exp(\gamma + x_1^T \beta)$  and  $\mu_2 = \exp(\gamma + x_2^T \beta)$ . Then,

$$\pi = \exp\Bigl\{(x_2 - x_1)^\mathrm{T}\beta\Bigr\}/[1 + \exp\Bigl\{(x_2 - x_1)^\mathrm{T}\beta\Bigr\}]$$

In this case, we can use logistic model to estimate  $\beta$ , but we cannot estimate  $\gamma$ .

<u>Note</u>: The analysis of binomial model requires observations, otherwise it will lose some information. Therefore,  $se_{Poission}(\beta) \leq se_{Binomial}(\beta)$ .

## **Contingency Tables**

There are several sampling schemes for obtaining continegency tables ( $R \times C$ ):

1. No constraints on the row and column totals. For the count in the (r,c) cell,  $y_{rc} \sim P(\mu_{rc})$ . The likelihood is:

$$\prod_{cc} \left\{ rac{\mu^{ ext{sc}}_{tc}}{y_{yc}!} e^{-\mu_{c_c}} 
ight\}$$

2. Fix the total number  $\sum_{rc}y_{rc}=m$ . Then, the data are multinomially distributed. Denoting  $\pi_{rc}=\mu_{rc}/\sum_{s.t}\mu_{st}$ , the likelihood is:

$$rac{m!}{\prod_{r,c} y_{rc}!} \prod_{r,c} \pi^{y_{rc}}_{rc}, \quad \sum_{r,c} \pi_{rc} = 1$$

3. Fix the row totals  $m_r=\sum_c y_{rc}$ . Then, the data are independently multinomial distributions for each row. Denoting  $\pi_{rc}=\mu_{rc}/\sum_t \mu_{rt}$ , the likelihood is:

$$\prod_r \left\{rac{m_r!}{\prod_c y_{rc}!} \prod_c \pi_{rc}^{y_{rc}}
ight\}, \quad \sum_c \pi_{1c} = \cdots = \sum_c \pi_{Rc} = 1$$

## Estimation

Noting that count data is discrete, we use GLM to analyze it. Here, we use a link  $\mu_{rc} = \exp(\gamma_r + x_{rc}^{\top}\beta)$  and consider sampling scheme 1 (Poisson) and 2 (Multinomial).

Then, some derivations show that:

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Poiss} = \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Mult}, \widehat{\mathrm{sd}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Poiss}) = \widehat{\mathrm{sd}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Mult})$$

<u>Note</u>: Some softwares only depends on log-linear model. With this result, data comes from sampling method 2 can be analyzed with log-linear model.

#### **Derivation**

The relation of the likelihood is shown following:

$$egin{aligned} \ell_{ ext{Poiss}}\left(eta, au
ight) &= \sum_{r,c} \left(y_{rc}\log\mu_{rc} - \mu_{rc}
ight) \ &= \sum_{r} \left(m_{r}\gamma_{r} + \sum_{c} y_{rc}x_{rc}^{ op}eta - e^{\gamma_{r}}\sum_{c} e^{x_{rc}^{ op}eta}
ight) \ &\equiv \sum_{r} \left(m_{r}\log au_{r} - au_{r}
ight) + \sum_{r} \left\{\sum_{c} y_{rc}x_{rc}^{ op}eta - m_{r}\log\left(\sum_{c} e^{x_{r}^{ op}eta}
ight)
ight\}
ight\} \ &= \ell_{ ext{Poiss}}\left( au; m
ight) + \ell_{ ext{Mult}}\left(eta; y \mid m
ight) \end{aligned}$$

where  $au_r = \sum_c \mu_{rc} = e^{\gamma_r} \sum_c e^{x_{rc}^r}$  .

So that

$$\frac{\partial \ell_{\text{Poiss}}\left(\beta,\tau\right)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial \ell_{\text{Multi}}\left(\beta,\tau\right)}{\partial \beta}$$

This implies the estimation of  $\beta$  are equal.

The expected information for  $\beta$  is:

$$\hat{I}_{Poiss}(eta) = \sum_r \hat{ au}_r rac{\partial^2 \log \left(\sum_c e^{x_{rc}^{
m T} \widehat{eta}}
ight)}{\partial eta \partial eta^{
m T}} = \sum_r m_r rac{\partial^2 \log \left(\sum_c e^{x_{rc}^{
m T} \widehat{eta}}
ight)}{\partial eta \partial eta^{
m T}} \ \hat{I}_{Mult}(eta) = \sum_r m_r rac{\partial^2 \log \left(\sum_c e^{x_{rc}^{
m T} \widehat{eta}}
ight)}{\partial eta \partial eta^{
m T}}$$

So that

$$\widehat{\mathrm{sd}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Poiss}) = \widehat{\mathrm{sd}}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{Mult})$$

<u>Note</u>: In fact, the expected information matrixes for these two sampling scheme are different. It's interesting to find that if  $\tau_r$  is unknown and need estimation, the estimated expected information matrixes under the two circumstances are the same.

# References

• Statstical Models