# Report on BPL data analysis

# Zhou Zhihao, 2014-2-18

#### data summar:

```
use recent 5 seasons, 1900 observations
HomeTeam = HomeTeam
AwayTeam = Away Team
FTHG = Full Time Home Team Goals
FTAG = Full Time Away Team Goals
HS = Home Team Shots
AS = Away Team Shots
HST = Home Team Shots on Target
AST = Away Team Shots on Target
HC = Home Team Corners
AC = Away Team Corners
HF = Home Team Fouls Committed
AF = Away Team Fouls Committed
HR = Home Team Red Cards
AR = Away Team Red Cards
Missing data:
HO = Home Team Offsides
AO = Away Team Offsides
HY = Home Team Yellow Cards
AY = Away Team Yellow Cards
```

### datat manipulation

```
#read 5 years data
d1 = read.csv("PL2012-13.csv",header = T)
d2 = read.csv("PL2011-12.csv",header = T)
d3 = read.csv("PL2010-11.csv",header = T)
d4 = read.csv("PL2009-10.csv", header = T)
d5 = read.csv("PL2008-09.csv", header = T)
#qet desired columns
label = c("HomeTeam", "AwayTeam", "FTHG", "FTAG", "HS", "AS", "HST", "AST", "HC", "AC", "HF", "AF", "HR", "AR", "Lamb
data = d1[,label]
data = rbind(data,d2[,label])
data = rbind(data,d3[,label])
data = rbind(data,d4[,label])
data = rbind(data,d5[,label])
#label 4 class
H_minus_lambda = data[,"FTHG"] - data[,"Lambda.H."]
A_minus_lambda = data[,"FTAG"] - data[,"Lambda.A."]
num class =4
m1 = ifelse((H_minus_lambda + A_minus_lambda>=0),1,0)
m2 = ifelse((H_minus_lambda - A_minus_lambda>=0),1,0)
m3 = as.matrix(cbind(m1,m2))
```

Can perform any statistical test on attributes under different class?

#### Apply Feed-forward Neural Networks with logistic output

Several Problems on ANN:

- 1. treat all match as same or need to classify them based on different team? I treat all game same here.
- 2. Model ends with wired result, predicts all case into class 4.
- 3. Is normalization necessary?
- 4. how to set proper parameter when training ANN model?
- 5. Suppose we get a well-trained ANN model, how to explain it? Can we get any useful information?

```
#construct target table for logistic output in nueral network
target = matrix(c(rep(0,nrow(d)*num_class)),nrow=nrow(d),ncol=num_class)
for( i in 1:nrow(d)){
  target[i,d[i,13]]=1
}
library(nnet)
#apply Feed-forward Neural Networks
#seems wired why fixed result?
#is normalization necessary?
ann = nnet(d[,1:12],target,size =10,decay=0,rang = 0,maxit =20000)
## # weights: 174
## initial value 1900.000000
## iter 10 value 1618.978922
## iter 20 value 1498.650460
## iter 30 value 1418.229274
## final value 1417.929474
## converged
#why all predict class 4?
p = max.col(ann$fit)
table(p,Res_class)
```

#### Try Multinomial Logistic Regression

1. Is it useful?

Res\_class

1 2 3 4 4 506 455 392 547

##

## p

2. how to preperly explain coefficients?

```
test<-multinom(Res_class~.,data=d[,3:13])</pre>
## # weights: 48 (33 variable)
## initial value 2633.959286
## iter 10 value 2494.568568
## iter 20 value 2470.609045
## iter 30 value 2415.924443
## iter 40 value 2410.417412
## iter 40 value 2410.417412
## iter 40 value 2410.417412
## final value 2410.417412
## converged
summary(test)
## Call:
## multinom(formula = Res_class ~ ., data = d[, 3:13])
##
## Coefficients:
##
     (Intercept)
                        HS
                                  AS
                                         HST
                                                   AST
                                                             HC
        -1.2259 -0.006296 -0.095523 0.07925 0.33470 -0.03574 -0.0336
## 2
## 3
         0.1057 -0.078064 0.028858 0.09515 -0.03811 -0.10889 0.1040
         -0.2738 -0.119186 -0.009147 0.33102 0.05416 -0.12502 0.0524
##
            HF
                     ΑF
                             HR
                                     AR
## 2 0.012617 -0.01792 0.2277 0.04652
## 3 -0.006772 0.01203 -1.2897 0.71301
## 4 -0.004152 -0.02076 -1.2023 0.78508
##
## Std. Errors:
     (Intercept)
                      HS
                              AS
                                     HST
                                              AST
                                                       HC
                                                                       HF
## 2
          0.4707 0.02561 0.03047 0.03610 0.04254 0.02420 0.02887 0.01981
## 3
          0.4783 0.02735 0.02989 0.03850 0.04267 0.02609 0.02838 0.02028
          0.4492 0.02541 0.02886 0.03566 0.04069 0.02376 0.02769 0.01917
## 4
                 HR
##
          AF
                        AR
## 2 0.01834 0.2241 0.2582
## 3 0.01865 0.3150 0.2353
## 4 0.01753 0.3044 0.2216
## Residual Deviance: 4821
## AIC: 4887
#how to performing model diagnostics?
z<-summary(test)$coefficients/summary(test)$standard.errors
#The multinom package does not include p-value calculation for the regression coefficients,
#so calculate p-values using Wald tests (here z-tests).
(p<-(1-pnorm(abs(z),0,1))*2) # 2-tailed z test
##
     (Intercept)
                        HS
                                 AS
                                        HST
                                                   AST
                                                              HC
## 2
        0.009199 8.058e-01 0.001721 0.02817 3.553e-15 1.398e-01 0.2444840
## 3
        0.825060 4.316e-03 0.334395 0.01345 3.719e-01 2.998e-05 0.0002492
## 4
        0.542190 2.727e-06 0.751283 0.00000 1.832e-01 1.424e-07 0.0584453
                                    AR
##
        _{
m HF}
                AF
                          HR
```

```
## 2 0.5241 0.3287 3.096e-01 0.8569932
## 3 0.7385 0.5190 4.230e-05 0.0024434
## 4 0.8285 0.2362 7.809e-05 0.0003968
```

```
#exp(coef(test)) #how to explain coefficients?
pre = max.col(fitted(test)) #predicted probabilities for each of our outcome levels
table(pre,Res_class) #training table
```

```
## Res_class
## pre 1 2 3 4
## 1 207 121 118 100
## 2 95 170 62 92
## 3 59 36 95 54
## 4 145 128 117 301
```

## Some General Problems

- 1. not fully undertand the meaning of respones? What information do they contain?
- 2. several key attributes are missing in data set(yellow cards, number of offsides)
- 3. data before year 2004-2005 didn't have attributes BdAvH, BdAvD and BdAvA. Can't estimated implied lambda.