

LITERATURE REVIEW: — Parallel String Matching —

Dengyu Liang
University of Ottawa
dengyuliang@cmail.carleton.ca

December 5, 2022

1 Introduction

String Matching is one of the most common problems in computer science, and although Single-pattern algorithms work very well on this problem, the algorithm speed is affected by the linear increase in the size of the matching string, especially when solving Bioinformatics and DNA Sequencing or search engines or content search in large databases, a large amount of data inevitably takes a lot of time. Modern CPUs tend to have a large number of cores, and it is increasingly common to utilize GPUs for data processing. Parallelization has become an important part of algorithm design. Because of the search method of String Matching, it can take full advantage of Parallel Programming to solve large-scale Matching problems efficiently on large-scale systems.

This project is to explore the parallel implementation of traditional sequence string matching algorithms and implement its parallel version over cilk and cuda, then compare the optimization methods of string matching algorithms for different parallel architectures. In this project, I implement six different string-matching algorithms in CPU parallel and three in GPU. some of them also use bit parallel or SSE instruction to speed up. I analyze The speedup obtained by different algorithms, and the speedup obtained in the worst case.

Pattern length and alphabet size influence the effectiveness of different algorithms, choosing the optimal implementation therefore depends on those two parameters. Our evaluation considers different combinations of pattern length and alphabet size. When both parameters are known at runtime this information can be used to choose the optimal algorithm.

2 Literature Review

String Matching is an important problem in computer science, and many people continue to study it. The most recent comparison was Philip Pfafe, who discussed 7 parallelized string matching algorithms based on SIMD[1]. There are different architectures according to Flynn classification, and for different architectures, there have different methods to minimize latency and improve performance. This study compares the advantages and disadvantages of different architectures in solving string-matching problems and measures the performance of CPU parallelism and GPU parallelism.

2.1 Simple String Matching

String Matching is one of the common fundamental problems, and many algorithms have been proposed to solve it. Faster solutions were proposed as early as 1977, the kmp algorithm and the Boyer–Moore algorithm[1]. Since 1970, more than 80 String Matching algorithms have been proposed. Based on previous research those algorithm deformations, combinations, and expansion, String Matching has been continuously optimized. Now, using parallelized algorithms to improve performance is a more mainstream method[1], nonetheless, can benefit from the ideas of these Simple optimization algorithms. By exploring the details of these algorithms, the efficiency of parallelized algorithms can be further improved.

2.2 Multiple core(threads) Parallel String Matching

Parallel computing has great potential and extremely high scalability. Making good use of parallel computing can greatly speed up the calculation speed. Although not all algorithms can benefit from parallel computing, especially some dynamic programming algorithms. Specific to the String Matching algorithm, this requires us to study, compared to the complex single-threaded optimization, to what extent does parallelism improve the operating efficiency?

Parallel String Matching base on MISD

Although there exist MISD architectures dedicated to pattern matching that can be used to solve string match such as Halaas’s recursive architecture [6] could match up to 127 patterns at a clock frequency of 100 MHz, there is not much research in this area , it has not shown better performance for single pattern. MISD processors also lack practical applications, and research in this area has been shelved.

Parallel String Matching base on SIMD

SIMD uses one instruction stream to process multiple data streams. A typical example is the units on the GPU. Most Parallel String Matching benefits from SIMD architecture. Such as Chinta Someswararao’s Butterfly Model [9], and some string matching algorithms are developed based on the SSE instruction set [1], for example the SSEF algorithm. which is an SIMD instruction set developed by intel.

Parallel String Matching base on GPU(CUDA)

Compared with the CPU, modern GPU has huge advantages in parallel computing. A single GPU integrates thousands of computing units, so thousands of parallel computing can be realized on a single GPU. There are also some ways to use CUDA programming provided by Nvidia GPU for String Matching. Giorgos Vasiliadis implements string search and regular expression matching operations for real-time inspection of network packets through the pattern matching library [2], And in 2011 it reached a data volume close to 30 Gbit/s. Efficient GPU algorithms can be 30 times faster than a single CPU core, and Approximate String Matching with k Mismatches reports an 80 times speedup [3]. This makes GPU computing have certain advantages in cost and speed, but the research in this area is not as much as the traditional string algorithm.

Parallel String Matching base on MIMD

MIMD machines can execute multiple instruction streams at the same time. Many modern CPUs belong to this type. In order to fully mobilize multiple instruction streams, targeted parallel algorithms are inevitable. There are not many studies in this area. Hitoshi developed an algorithm call PZLAST use for MIMD processor PEZY-SC2 and compared the performance of BLAST+, CLAST and PZLAST algorithms[11], which are specially optimized for the biological field. However, they didn't explore the percentage of parallel utilization of the algorithm.

Distributed Memory Parallel String Matching

There are few articles discussing String Matching algorithm on Shared and Distributed-Memory Parallel Architectures, except Antonino Tumeo's Aho-Corasick algorithm in 2012 compared and analyzed the distributed memory architecture and shared memory architecture[10]. Results at the time showed that shared-memory architectures based on multiprocessors were the theoretical best performance, but there is an upper limit to the amount of parallelism, at 80 threads he starts to get degraded speedup, beyond 96 threads the speedup becomes marginal. considering cost constraints, GPUs that did not reach the PCI-Express bandwidth limit had the best price/performance ratio. The performance of gpu has developed several times in the past ten years, and theoretically its performance is far faster than that of cpu by now. Although distributed can provide sufficient space and computing resources, limited by the cost of communication, the performance of distributed computing is not satisfactory. Especially for a single match problem. Nonetheless, this can lead to considerable performance gains for multiple search, as shown by Panagiotis' work[8], In a 16-processor cluster, the matching time can be reduced by half of the original.

3 Conclusion

Based on our research, string matching based on parallel algorithm has good performance in theory, especially the GPU-based method can achieve extremely high performance at a considerable cost, and what can multi-thread parallelism and GPU parallelism achieve? Speedup ratio, which is what I want to explore in this project.

References

- [1] Pfaffe, P., Tillmann, M., Lutteropp, S., Scheirle, B., Zerr, K. (2017). Parallel String Matching. In: , et al. Euro-Par 2016: Parallel Processing Workshops. Euro-Par 2016. Lecture Notes in Computer Science(), vol 10104. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58943-5_15
- [2] C. -L. Hung, T. -H. Hsu, H. -H. Wang and C. -Y. Lin, "A GPU-based Bit-Parallel Multiple Pattern Matching Algorithm," 2018 IEEE 20th International Conference on High Performance Computing and Communications; IEEE 16th International Conference on Smart City; IEEE 4th International Conference on Data Science and Systems (HPCC/SmartCity/DSS), 2018, pp. 1219-1222, doi: 10.1109/HPCC/SmartCity/DSS.2018.00205.

- [3] G. Vasiliadis, M. Polychronakis and S. Ioannidis, "Parallelization and characterization of pattern matching using GPUs," 2011 IEEE International Symposium on Workload Characterization (IISWC), 2011, pp. 216-225, doi: 10.1109/IISWC.2011.6114181.
- [4] Yuan Zu, Ming Yang, Zhonghu Xu, Lin Wang, Xin Tian, Kunyang Peng, and Qunfeng Dong. 2012. GPU-based NFA implementation for memory efficient high speed regular expression matching. SIGPLAN Not. 47, 8 (August 2012), 129–140. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2370036.2145833>
- [5] Y. Liu, L. Guo, J. Li, M. Ren and K. Li, "Parallel Algorithms for Approximate String Matching with k Mismatches on CUDA," 2012 IEEE 26th International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops PhD Forum, 2012, pp. 2414-2422, doi: 10.1109/IPDPSW.2012.298.
- [6] A. Halaas, B. Svingen, M. Nedland, P. Saetrom, O. Snove and O. R. Birkeland, "A recursive MISD architecture for pattern matching," in IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, vol. 12, no. 7, pp. 727-734, July 2004, doi: 10.1109/TVLSI.2004.830918.
- [7] Knuth, D. E., Morris, Jr, J. H., Pratt, V. R. (1977). Fast pattern matching in strings. SIAM journal on computing, 6(2), 323-350.
- [8] P. D. Michailidis and K. G. Margaritis, "Performance Evaluation of Multiple Approximate String Matching Algorithms Implemented with MPI Paradigm in an Experimental Cluster Environment," 2008 Panhellenic Conference on Informatics, 2008, pp. 168-172, doi: 10.1109/PCI.2008.13.
- [9] Someswararao, Chinta. (2012). Parallel Algorithms for String Matching Problem based on Butterfly Model. International Journal of Computer Science and Technology.
- [10] A. Tumeo, O. Villa and D. G. Chavarria-Miranda, "Aho-Corasick String Matching on Shared and Distributed-Memory Parallel Architectures," in IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 436-443, March 2012, doi: 10.1109/TPDS.2011.181.
- [11] H. Ishikawa et al., "PZLAST: an ultra-fast sequence similarity search tool implemented on a MIMD processor," 2021 Ninth International Symposium on Computing and Networking (CANDAR), 2021, pp. 102-107, doi: 10.1109/CANDAR53791.2021.00021.