



# Aviation Investigation Final Report

<b>Location:</b>	Manhattan, Kansas	<b>Accident Number:</b>	CEN24LA031
<b>Date &amp; Time:</b>	October 21, 2023, 08:28 Local	<b>Registration:</b>	N52631
<b>Aircraft:</b>	Powrachute Pegasus	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Defining Event:</b>	Miscellaneous/other	<b>Injuries:</b>	2 Serious
<b>Flight Conducted Under:</b>	Part 91: General aviation - Personal		

## Analysis

Before departing on the local area flight in the experimental powered parachute, the pilot installed a large heavy-duty deer feed bag that partially covered the radiator. He was concerned that the experimental engine would run “too cold” during the flight unless the radiator was partially covered. The powered parachute was flying about 400 ft above ground level when the pilot noticed that the engine overheat light illuminated. The pilot decided to continue flying. Shortly after, the engine sustained a total loss of engine power. The pilot performed a forced landing to a road and the powered parachute came to rest upright on a grass embankment near the road. The powered parachute sustained substantial damage to the fuselage and engine mount.

Postaccident examination revealed no mechanical anomalies with the engine. The large heavy-duty deer feed bag was found covering about 3/4 of the radiator surface area and was secured with two bungee cords. According to the airframe and engine manufacturers, neither company has published any guidance on covering up the radiator for flight operations.

The large heavy-duty deer feed bag obstructed the radiator inlet and restricted its designed cooling capability. The engine then did not have a source of adequate cooling, which likely resulted in the engine overheating and a total loss of engine power. The pilot noticed the engine overheat light was illuminated and continued with normal flight operations when guidance from the engine manufacturer states to perform a precautionary landing.

## Probable Cause and Findings

The National Transportation Safety Board determines the probable cause(s) of this accident to be:

The pilot's improper modification of the engine cooling system that resulted in an engine overheat and total loss of power. Contributing to the accident was the pilot's decision to continue the flight after the engine overheat light illuminated instead of performing a precautionary landing.

## Findings

<b>Personnel issues</b>	Unnecessary action - Pilot
<b>Personnel issues</b>	Decision making/judgment - Pilot
<b>Aircraft</b>	(general) - Failure
<b>Aircraft</b>	Recip eng liquid cooling - Incorrect use/operation

# Factual Information

## History of Flight

Prior to flight	Aircraft maintenance event
Maneuvering-low-alt flying	Miscellaneous/other (Defining event)
Emergency descent	Off-field or emergency landing

On October 21, 2023, about 0828 central daylight time, a Powrachute LLC Pegasus powered parachute, N52631, sustained substantial damage when it was involved in an accident near Manhattan, Kansas. The pilot and passenger sustained serious injuries. The powered parachute was operated as a Title 14 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 91 personal flight.

The pilot reported that, before departure from his farm field, he installed a large heavy-duty deer feed bag that partially covered the radiator because he was concerned that the experimental engine would run “too cold” during the flight unless the radiator was partially covered. The radiator, located above the engine, sits aft and above the rear seat. The pilot reported that he departed on the local area flight with a full tank of fuel.

The experimental powered parachute was flying about 400 ft above ground level when the pilot noticed that the engine overheat light illuminated. The pilot decided to continue flying. Shortly after, the engine sustained a total loss of engine power. The pilot performed a forced landing to a road and the powered parachute came to rest upright on a grass embankment near the road. The powered parachute sustained substantial damage to the fuselage and the engine mount.

The postaccident examination revealed that the intact fuel tank was about 7/8 full of fuel with no fuel leaks observed on the airframe. Coolant was drained from the radiator, and the coolant appeared to be in a normal condition. The large heavy-duty deer feed bag was found covering about 3/4 of the radiator surface area and was secured with two bungee cords. The engine was rotated, internal engine continuity was confirmed, and engine compression was noted to meet minimum specifications.

According to the airframe and engine manufacturers, neither company has published any guidance on covering up the radiator for flight operations. According to the Rotax Aircraft Engines 582 UL Operator’s Manual, if an abnormal engine temperature is observed while in flight, “reduce engine power setting to the minimum necessary and carry out a precautionary landing.”

The Federal Aviation Administration Powered Parachute Flying Handbook FAA-H-8083-29 discusses radiators and states in part:

*Liquid-cooled engines can overheat for a number of reasons, such as coolant not at proper levels, a leak, a failed water pump, or a blockage of the radiator. Operating an engine above its maximum design temperature can cause a loss of power and detonation. It will also lead to serious permanent damage, such as scoring the cylinder walls and damaging the pistons and rings. Monitor the engine temperature instruments to avoid high operating temperature.*

*Operating the engine lower than its designed temperature range can cause piston seizure and scarring on the cylinder walls. This happens most often in liquid-cooled powered parachutes in cold weather where large radiators designed for summer flying may need to be partially blocked off.*

### Pilot Information

<b>Certificate:</b>	Sport Pilot	<b>Age:</b>	70,Male
<b>Airplane Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Seat Occupied:</b>	Front
<b>Other Aircraft Rating(s):</b>	Powered-lift	<b>Restraint Used:</b>	4-point
<b>Instrument Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Second Pilot Present:</b>	No
<b>Instructor Rating(s):</b>	None	<b>Toxicology Performed:</b>	
<b>Medical Certification:</b>	None None	<b>Last FAA Medical Exam:</b>	
<b>Occupational Pilot:</b>	No	<b>Last Flight Review or Equivalent:</b>	April 21, 2023
<b>Flight Time:</b>	(Estimated) 165 hours (Total, all aircraft), 165 hours (Total, this make and model), 165 hours (Pilot In Command, all aircraft), 8 hours (Last 90 days, all aircraft), 4 hours (Last 30 days, all aircraft)		

## Aircraft and Owner/Operator Information

<b>Aircraft Make:</b>	Powrachute	<b>Registration:</b>	N52631
<b>Model/Series:</b>	Pegasus Undesignated Series	<b>Aircraft Category:</b>	Powered parachute
<b>Year of Manufacture:</b>	2006	<b>Amateur Built:</b>	
<b>Airworthiness Certificate:</b>	Experimental (Special)	<b>Serial Number:</b>	A181PEG
<b>Landing Gear Type:</b>	Tricycle	<b>Seats:</b>	2
<b>Date/Type of Last Inspection:</b>	June 8, 2023 Condition	<b>Certified Max Gross Wt.:</b>	1100 lbs
<b>Time Since Last Inspection:</b>		<b>Engines:</b>	1 Reciprocating
<b>Airframe Total Time:</b>	228 Hrs at time of accident	<b>Engine Manufacturer:</b>	Rotax Aircraft Engines
<b>ELT:</b>	Not installed	<b>Engine Model/Series:</b>	582 UL
<b>Registered Owner:</b>	On file	<b>Rated Power:</b>	64 Horsepower
<b>Operator:</b>	On file	<b>Operating Certificate(s) Held:</b>	None
<b>Operator Does Business As:</b>	On file	<b>Operator Designator Code:</b>	None

## Meteorological Information and Flight Plan

<b>Conditions at Accident Site:</b>	Visual (VMC)	<b>Condition of Light:</b>	Day
<b>Observation Facility, Elevation:</b>	KMHK, 1048 ft msl	<b>Distance from Accident Site:</b>	8 Nautical Miles
<b>Observation Time:</b>	07:52 Local	<b>Direction from Accident Site:</b>	210°
<b>Lowest Cloud Condition:</b>	Clear	<b>Visibility</b>	10 miles
<b>Lowest Ceiling:</b>	None	<b>Visibility (RVR):</b>	
<b>Wind Speed/Gusts:</b>	/	<b>Turbulence Type Forecast/Actual:</b>	None / None
<b>Wind Direction:</b>		<b>Turbulence Severity Forecast/Actual:</b>	N/A / N/A
<b>Altimeter Setting:</b>	29.9 inches Hg	<b>Temperature/Dew Point:</b>	6°C / 4°C
<b>Precipitation and Obscuration:</b>	No Obscuration; No Precipitation		
<b>Departure Point:</b>	Manhattan , KS (None)	<b>Type of Flight Plan Filed:</b>	None
<b>Destination:</b>	Manhattan , KS (None)	<b>Type of Clearance:</b>	None
<b>Departure Time:</b>	08:00 Local	<b>Type of Airspace:</b>	Class G

## Wreckage and Impact Information

<b>Crew Injuries:</b>	1 Serious	<b>Aircraft Damage:</b>	Substantial
<b>Passenger Injuries:</b>	1 Serious	<b>Aircraft Fire:</b>	None
<b>Ground Injuries:</b>	N/A	<b>Aircraft Explosion:</b>	None
<b>Total Injuries:</b>	2 Serious	<b>Latitude, Longitude:</b>	39.246181,-96.594065(est)

## Administrative Information

<b>Investigator In Charge (IIC):</b>	Hodges, Michael
<b>Additional Participating Persons:</b>	Richard Terrell; FAA Wichita FSDO; Wichita, KS Bernhard Kobylík (Accredited Representative); Austrian Federal Safety Investigation Authority; Vienna, OF Jordan Paskevich (Technical Advisor); Rotax Aircraft Engines; Gunskirchen, OF
<b>Original Publish Date:</b>	May 30, 2024
<b>Last Revision Date:</b>	
<b>Investigation Class:</b>	<a href="#">Class 3</a>
<b>Note:</b>	The NTSB did not travel to the scene of this accident.
<b>Investigation Docket:</b>	<a href="https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=193345">https://data.nts.gov/Docket?ProjectID=193345</a>

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating every civil aviation accident in the United States and significant events in other modes of transportation—railroad, transit, highway, marine, pipeline, and commercial space. We determine the probable causes of the accidents and events we investigate, and issue safety recommendations aimed at preventing future occurrences. In addition, we conduct transportation safety research studies and offer information and other assistance to family members and survivors for each accident or event we investigate. We also serve as the appellate authority for enforcement actions involving aviation and mariner certificates issued by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) and US Coast Guard, and we adjudicate appeals of civil penalty actions taken by the FAA.

The NTSB does not assign fault or blame for an accident or incident; rather, as specified by NTSB regulation, “accident/incident investigations are fact-finding proceedings with no formal issues and no adverse parties ... and are not conducted for the purpose of determining the rights or liabilities of any person” (Title 49 *Code of Federal Regulations* section 831.4). Assignment of fault or legal liability is not relevant to the NTSB’s statutory mission to improve transportation safety by investigating accidents and incidents and issuing safety recommendations. In addition, statutory language prohibits the admission into evidence or use of any part of an NTSB report related to an accident in a civil action for damages resulting from a matter mentioned in the report (Title 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b)). A factual report that may be admissible under 49 *United States Code* section 1154(b) is available [here](#).