Table 6: Microservice smells

Group	Name (by study)	Category (by study)	DT #1[17] (Arcan)	DT #2 [22] (MSANose)	Studies
API Management	API Versioning Static Contract Pitfall Inadequate Use of APIs Leak of Service Abstraction	MsS MsP ATD MsP		X	[20] [20] [8] [20]
Dependency Management	Cyclic Dependency Problematic dependency Inter-service dependency (Ripples) Microservice coupling Distributed monolith Sloth Integrating Legacy Code Microservices Integration Shared Libraries Reusing third-party implementations Outdated Library	MsS LoM ChE ATD AAP MsP ChE ChE MsS ATD LoM / MTD	X	X	[20] [3] [4] [8] [9] [20] [4] [4] [20] [6] [8] [3] [12]
Middleware	ESB usage ESB misuse Not Having an API Gateway	MsS ArcS MsS / MDT / ArcS		X	[20] [15] [20][12][15]
Discovery	Hard-coded endpoints Manual handling of network issues Endpoint-based Service Interactions Too Many Pont-to-Point (PtP) Connections Woobly Service Interactions Timeout (Dogpiles)	MsS / MsP ATD ArcS MTD ArcS MsP	X	X	[20] [8] [15] [7] [18] [15] [20]
Data Management	Shared Persistence Data ownership Shared Database Inappropriate Service Intimacy Insufficient metadata in the messages Unplanned data sharing and synchronization	MsS / ArcS MsP MTD MsS ATD ATD	X	X X	[20] [15] [20] [12] [20] [8] [8]
Decomposition	Microservice Greedy Greedy Service Container Multiple Services in One Container Grinding Dusty Coarse Services Wrong Cuts Service Cutting Envy Large/complex components Excessive number of small products Mega-Service	MsS ArcS ArcS ArcS ArcS MsS ChE MsP LoM ATD MsP		X	[20] [5] [15] [5] [5] [20] [4] [20] [3] [8] [20]
Team/Product Management	Greed Single Layer Teams Adding functionality takes longer High issue resolution time Coordination Between Decentralized Teams Communicating the Importance of Assurance	MsP ArcS LoM LoM ChE ChE			[20] [5] [15] [3] [3] [4] [4]

Table 7: Microservice smells (cont.)

Group	Name	Category	DT #1 [17]	DT #2 [22]	Studies
_	(by study)	(by study)	(Arcan)	(MSANose)	
Architectural	Too Many Standards	MsS		X	[20]
Standards	Lust	MsP			[20]
	Gluttony	MsP			[20]
	Architectural erosion	LoM			[3]
	Duplicate code	LoM			[3]
	No System-Centric View	ChE			[4]
	Mastering Technologies	ChE			[4]
	Technological Heterogeneity	ChE / ATD			[4] [8]
	Architectural/Technical Complexity	ChE / ATD			[4] [8]
	Tool/Process Frustration and Patronization	ChE			[4]
	Distributed Code Repositories	ChE			[4]
	No Standardized Communication Model	MTD / ATD			[18] [7] [8]
	Business Logic Inside Communication Layer	MTD / ATD			[18] [7] [8]
	Different Midlleware Technologies for Communication	MTD			[18] [7]
	Overwhelming amount of unnecessary settings	ATD			[8]
Quality	Wrath	MsP			[20]
Assurance	Pride	MsP			[20]
	Inadequate Testing	LoM / ChE			[3] [4]
	Defects with new releases	LoM			[3]
	Unhealthy Metric Usage	ChE			[4]
DevOps	Single DevOps Toolchain	ArcS			[5]
(CI/CD)	Low release frequency	LoM			[3]
,	Inadequate deployment process	LoM			[3]
Documentation	Dismiss Documentation	ArcS			[5]
	Missing / Outdated Documentation	LoM / ChE			[3] [4]
	Weak Source Code and Knowledge management	MTD			[18] [7]
Migration	Thinking Microservices Are a Silver Bullet	MigS			[5]
	Rewrite All Services into Microservices at Once	MigS			[5]
	Learn as You Go	MigS			[5]
	Forgetting About the CAP Theorem	MigS			[5]