


## Performance

Values are estimated and may vary. The [performance score is calculated](#) directly from these metrics. [See calculator.](#)

▲ 0–49

50–89

90–100



### METRICS

First Contentful Paint

1.6 s

Expand view

Largest Contentful Paint

2.5 s


Total Blocking Time

**0 ms**

Cumulative Layout Shift

**0**

Speed Index

**1.9 s**

💡 Later this year, insights will replace performance audits. [Learn more and provide feedback here.](#)

**Try insights**Show audits relevant to: All [FCP](#) [LCP](#) [TBT](#) [CLS](#)

## DIAGNOSTICS

⚠ Eliminate render-blocking resources — Est savings of 720 ms

⚠ Properly size images — Est savings of 576 KiB

⚠ Reduce initial server response time — Root document took 660 ms

⚠ Reduce unused CSS — Est savings of 10 KiB

Image elements do not have explicit `width` and `height`

Serve static assets with an efficient cache policy — 8 resources found

Largest Contentful Paint element — 2,520 ms

○ Avoid chaining critical requests — 5 chains found

○ Minimize third-party usage — Third-party code blocked the main thread for 0 ms

- Avoid long main-thread tasks — 2 long tasks found



More information about the performance of your application. These numbers don't [directly affect](#) the Performance score.

## PASSED AUDITS (28)

Show



## Accessibility

These checks highlight opportunities to [improve the accessibility of your web app](#). Automatic detection can only detect a subset of issues and does not guarantee the accessibility of your web app, so [manual testing](#) is also encouraged.

### NAMES AND LABELS

- ▲ Buttons do not have an accessible name



- ▲ Links do not have a discernible name



These are opportunities to improve the semantics of the controls in your application. This may enhance the experience for users of assistive technology, like a screen reader.

### CONTRAST

- ▲ Background and foreground colors do not have a sufficient contrast ratio.



These are opportunities to improve the legibility of your content.

### NAVIGATION

- ▲ Heading elements are not in a sequentially-descending order



These are opportunities to improve keyboard navigation in your application.

## ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (10)

Show

These items address areas which an automated testing tool cannot cover. Learn more in our guide on [conducting an accessibility review](#).

---

**PASSED AUDITS (10)****Show**

---

**NOT APPLICABLE (43)****Show**

## Best Practices

### TRUST AND SAFETY

---

- Ensure CSP is effective against XSS attacks ▼
  - Use a strong HSTS policy ▼
  - Ensure proper origin isolation with COOP ▼
  - Mitigate clickjacking with XFO or CSP ▼
- 

---

**PASSED AUDITS (15)****Show**

---

**NOT APPLICABLE (2)****Show**

## SEO

These checks ensure that your page is following basic search engine optimization advice. There are many additional factors Lighthouse does not score here that may affect your search ranking, including performance on [Core Web Vitals](#). [Learn more about Google Search Essentials](#).

### CONTENT BEST PRACTICES

⚠ Document does not have a meta description ▼

Format your HTML in a way that enables crawlers to better understand your app's content.

ADDITIONAL ITEMS TO MANUALLY CHECK (1)

Show

Run these additional validators on your site to check additional SEO best practices.

PASSED AUDITS (7)

Show

NOT APPLICABLE (2)

Show

■ Captured at Jun 30, 2025,

12:40 PM GMT+3

■ Initial page load

■ Emulated Moto G Power with

Lighthouse 12.6.0

■ Slow 4G throttling

■ Single page session

■ Using Chromium 137.0.0.0  
with devtools

Generated by **Lighthouse** 12.6.0 | [File an issue](#)