The Asymmetry Crucible: Managing Information Imbalances and Data Architecture in the Enterprise Microcosm

Executive Summary

Information asymmetry (IA)—the condition where one party possesses superior information relative to another—is not merely an external market phenomenon but a foundational feature of organizational structure. Within the enterprise microcosm, IA manifests as Principal-Agent conflicts, data silos, and bureaucratic exploitation, leading to sub-optimal resource allocation, reduced productivity, and systemic risks.
This report establishes that resolving internal IA requires a dual strategic approach: first, leveraging integrated technological platforms (ERP, BI, DLT) as targeted economic interventions against specific IA types; and second, institutionalizing robust data governance and cross-functional cooperation as necessary cultural and structural integrity tools. Centralized systems offer a "single version of truth," mitigating operational IA (Moral Hazard), while modern architectures like Data Mesh distribute ownership to address expertise asymmetry. Ultimately, successful IA management must transition from simply monitoring behavior to actively fostering trust and transparency across all internal relationships, viewing data quality as a strategic signal of organizational reliability.

I. Foundational Framework: The Economic Reality of Internal Information Asymmetry

1.1. Defining Information Asymmetry in the Corporate Context

Information asymmetry is an acknowledged, fundamental condition wherein one party in a relationship maintains more or superior information compared to the counterparty. This state is not incidental; it is a core assumption underpinning many leading management theories and organizational research models. The existence of IA creates an inherent imbalance of power in transactions, which can result in inefficiency and, in severe cases, market failures.
The traditional visualization of IA involves a scale where power shifts to the party with the better information—be it the seller (e.g., a used car vendor knowing the vehicle's true condition) or the buyer (e.g., an individual withholding health information from an insurer). In the corporate microcosm, this phenomenon maps directly onto organizational power structures. Central management (the principal or political overseer) often interacts with specialized functional departments (the agent or internal bureaucracy). Research derived from political science concerning bureaucratic IA provides a precise analogy: bureaucrats inherently possess an informational advantage over their political overseers regarding policy-relevant variables, an advantage that can be exploited by the agency. This structure directly parallels the relationship

between a CEO and a Head of R&D, or a corporate board and the finance department.
A critical analytical observation is that IA reduction should be interpreted not as an optional efficiency project, but as a primary imperative of organizational and system design. Since IA is fundamental to management theory , the failure of system integration (such as the persistence of data silos) represents a fundamental failure of IA management rather than merely a technical glitch in IT deployment.
Furthermore, the bureaucratic exploitation feedback loop necessitates robust data mechanisms. Internal agents, akin to the bureaucracies studied in political science, may exploit their informational advantage against central management. The inducement to create and sustain IA can stem from explicit political motivations or more benign bureaucratic incentives. This exploitation manifests as manipulated performance reporting, inflated resource requests, or the hoarding of proprietary knowledge. Anticipating this exploitation mandates that central management implement stringent monitoring systems, such as advanced Business Intelligence (BI) and rigorous data governance frameworks, to adapt to and mitigate the agent's behavior.
The analysis of IA also reveals its dual nature within the enterprise. While mainstream economic thought views IA as a major source of market failure that disturbs the efficient allocation of resources and affects the perceived quality of goods and services , it is simultaneously recognized by other schools of economic thought as a fundamental source of market opportunities. Opportunities exist precisely because knowledge is not uniformly exhaustive and complete across all individuals. Internally, this translates into specialized departmental knowledge (e.g., proprietary R&D processes) being a key source of competitive advantage, yet simultaneously representing a structural IA that, if unmanaged, can generate internal conflict and inefficient capital transfer.

1.2. The Principal-Agent Dilemma and Internal Financial Consequences

The Principal-Agent problem is the archetypal framework for understanding internal IA, describing a conflict in priorities between the owner of an asset (the principal, e.g., shareholders or senior management) and the person delegated control (the agent, e.g., a manager or department head). This conflict gives rise to two primary forms of asymmetry.

Adverse Selection (Pre-Contractual IA)

Adverse selection is a market failure where "bad" results occur because of a disparity in information *before* a transaction or relationship is established. In the enterprise, this is pre-contractual IA. Examples include the inability of the principal to accurately assess the true skill or quality of a new hire or to gauge the intrinsic risk and potential of a novel R&D project before allocating funds. The classic analogy, Akerlof's 1970 essay "The Market for Lemons," demonstrates how IA causes lower-quality goods to dominate, leading to potential market collapse. Similarly, if investors (principals) cannot differentiate the true quality of innovative companies, the market can fail to produce equilibrium prices, leading to inefficient resource allocation.

Moral Hazard (Post-Contractual IA)

Moral hazard is post-contractual IA, arising when the agent’s actions, diligence, or efforts are

unobservable after the relationship is established. Internal instances include managerial shirking, manipulation of internal performance metrics, or reduced R&D expenditure effort following the award of a subsidy. This risk that the agent acts contrary to the principal's best interest constitutes agency costs.

Mitigation through Signaling and Monitoring

Mitigating the Principal-Agent problem requires aligning priorities, often through linking rewards to performance, and crucially, improving the flow of information. Principals can write contracts to align incentives, require regular reporting, hire external monitors or auditors, or, as a last resort, replace the agent. Michael Spence formalized the concept of signaling, whereby better-informed individuals can credibly transmit information to less-informed parties to avoid adverse selection. A deeper analysis shows that internal IA profoundly determines corporate capital allocation. Just as external stakeholders use a company’s financing decisions as a signal , internal corporate boards use the transparency or opacity of departmental data (e.g., R&D efficacy metrics) to allocate internal capital. When external credit markets suffer from IA, lenders may limit participation in high-type markets, rationing credit to prevent adverse selection. The internal environment mirrors this behavior: a high degree of IA (the inability of central management to assess high-quality projects) leads to the rationing of funding for high-risk, high-reward internal projects, prioritizing more certain, lower-yield ventures.
The agency problem is further complicated when IA intersects with other factors, specifically incomplete contracting and risk aversion. If managers (agents) are unable to be fully monitored, and the contract cannot perfectly specify the required output or effort, risk-averse agents may pursue safer, suboptimal efforts. This combination of IA, incomplete contracting, and risk aversion can lead to systemic underperformance in critical, ambitious endeavors such as basic and applied research.

IA in Capital Structure: The Pecking Order Theory

Internal IA between corporate managers and external investors drives fundamental decisions regarding capital structure. The Pecking Order Theory, introduced by Myers and Majluf (1984), is underpinned by IA and signaling principles. Managers, possessing superior internal information about the firm's true valuation, prefer to finance investment projects using internal financing first, debt second, and equity last. Issuing equity is the costliest option, as it sends a negative signal to the market—the inference being that management believes the stock is currently overvalued, leading to potential market reactions that negatively affect stock price. This financial behavior underscores how deeply internal IA is intertwined with the firm's external valuation and strategic communication.

Internal Information Asymmetry Typology and Corporate Impact

IA Mechanism	Internal Enterprise Analogue	Classic Economic Source	Typical Corporate Impact
Adverse Selection (Hidden Information)	Over-promising on project potential (R&D); misrepresenting agent skills in hiring.	Akerlof, The Market for Lemons	Inefficient resource allocation; innovation underpricing/overpricing ; agency costs.

IA Mechanism	Internal Enterprise Analogue	Classic Economic Source	Typical Corporate Impact
Moral Hazard (Hidden Action)	Managerial shirking; departmental data manipulation; reduced R&D effort post-funding.	Principal-Agent Theory	Reduced productivity; need for complex monitoring; systemic underperformance.
Monopoly of Knowledge/Data Silos	IT or specialized departments hoarding proprietary data or expertise; bureaucratic exploitation.	Stiglitz ; Bureaucratic IA	Hampered cross-functional decision-making; regulatory non-compliance ; reduced value co-creation.

II. Structural Mechanisms and Manifestation of Internal Asymmetry

The theoretical framework established in the preceding section finds its practical expression in concrete organizational and technological structures that either exacerbate or alleviate information imbalances.

2.1. Data Silos: The Primary Generator of Enterprise Information Asymmetry

Data silos represent the physical and cultural manifestation of organizational IA. These structures arise when departments optimize their operations using isolated computer systems, leading to a functional disconnect. The persistence of a "silo mentality" actively obstructs the ability of the firm to execute data-driven decisions effectively.

The empirically confirmed necessity of breaking this silo mentality is particularly acute regarding the intersection of Information Technology (IT) and marketing functions, where cooperation is essential for improved strategy. Research indicates that cross-functional cooperation enhances data exchange, facilitates the integration of new ideas, and enables the creation of new marketing tools, thereby improving overall decision-making.

A notable finding related to silo mitigation concerns the differing impact of functional expertise. While marketing business knowledge does not always translate into a direct, positive effect on data-driven decision-making, **IT business knowledge** demonstrates a strong, direct, positive effect. This suggests that understanding the architecture, accessibility, and structure of the underlying data—knowledge typically possessed by the IT function—is often more critical for overcoming entrenched silos than possessing functional business knowledge alone.

The existence of internal data silos creates a profound internal resource imbalance, where data is concentrated and hoarded rather than shared. This condition structurally impedes cross-functional collaboration required for modern corporate value co-creation. Value co-creation requires resource balance; silos intentionally create imbalance by concentrating data resources. Consequently, internal silos are inherently anti-cooperative, making sophisticated data-driven strategies, such as the comprehensive implementation of Customer Experience Strategy (CXS) reliant on cross-functional inputs, inherently difficult to execute

successfully.

2.2. Information Power Dynamics and Potential for Internal Dataveillance

The resource imbalance associated with IA fundamentally raises questions about who controls the balance of power and value co-creating interactions within the enterprise. When the firm centralizes and controls the resources necessary for producing value (i.e., data access, analytical tools, and interpretation), the interaction between management and departments becomes inherently unequal.

The emergence of Big Data has enabled enterprises to engage in practices known as dataveillance—the systematic capacity to capture, profile, and store detailed insights around patterns of individual consumption norms. While typically discussed in the context of consumer-firm relationships, the centralization of enterprise data via integrated systems (ERP and sophisticated BI) grants the principal (management) the ability to engage in comprehensive internal dataveillance. Management can track and profile employee activity, monitor productivity, and assess internal resource utilization, often without the full awareness or transparent consent of the agents contributing the data.

The implication of this centralization is that the locus of vulnerability shifts. While Big Data strategies traditionally heighten concern for *consumer* vulnerability , the internal application of these technologies shifts this vulnerability onto the *employee or agent*. The power imbalance resulting from centralized systems risks disempowering the user who contributes towards the data-driven insights. Unchecked monitoring capabilities, while useful for resolving Moral Hazard (tracking hidden actions), introduce a new ethical IA concerning transparency and fairness. The ethical concerns raised by external AI/Big Data—data privacy, transparency, and bias —apply equally internally. The firm has an ethical obligation to ensure that the information harnessed is utilized in a "free and fair manner" without disadvantaging the very agent who contributed the data or intellectual capital. This requires careful policy to manage the ethical IA frontier.

2.3. The Role of Management Accounting in Resolving Agent IA

Management accounting systems play a crucial role in formally attempting to resolve internal IA by providing structured, objective information for decision-making and control. These systems utilize contract design, monitoring mechanisms, and regular reporting to improve information flow and align incentives, serving as a direct countermeasure to the Principal-Agent problem.

Conceptual research has proposed sophisticated models to address the problem of IA in management accounting, particularly focusing on situations involving the non-negotiating behavior of transacting internal parties (principal and agent). One such model is based on the **dictator game**. This game-theoretic approach incorporates several key variables into the analysis:

1. The price elasticity of accounting information.
2. The quantum (volume and availability) of available accounting information.
3. The expected utility level achieved by the principal and the agent.

The goal of this modeling approach is to derive an optimal negotiating behavior that results in the systematic reduction of the prevailing IA.

The conceptualization of the "quantum of available accounting information" provides strong analytical justification for investment in data quality and governance. Improving the reliability

and comprehensiveness of this quantum directly enhances the utility achieved by the principal. This validates the strategic importance of Data Governance: ensuring high-quality, reliable data input is essential for the management accounting function to achieve the optimal negotiating behavior necessary for internal IA resolution.

III. Strategic and Technological Mitigation of Internal IA

The mitigation of internal IA demands not just procedural alignment but the strategic deployment of technological architectures that are explicitly designed to enforce transparency and data integrity.

3.1. Centralization for Transparency: The Synergy of ERP and BI Systems

The foundational technological response to enterprise IA is the integration of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) and Business Intelligence (BI) systems.

ERP systems function as the operational backbone of the organization, centralizing information from diverse business functions (finance, supply chain, HR) into a single, shared database. This centralization is the first critical step in mitigating departmental silos, providing a common "single version of truth" that all business units, such as accounting and sales, can rely upon. The unification of operational data inherently increases organizational transparency and eliminates informational disparities across departments.

BI tools complement this foundation by harnessing the centralized ERP data and transforming raw information into actionable, bite-sized insights for high-level, strategic decision-making. The synergy between ERP and BI allows management (the principal) to gain complete organizational visibility and improve oversight. This enhanced monitoring capacity directly reduces the risk of Moral Hazard by providing clear visibility into operational performance and reducing the unobservable nature of agent actions.

However, the analytical focus of this synergy is evolving. While traditional ERP/BI investments focused heavily on operational areas that were deemed "under control," such as accounting and finance, the current trend shows a strategic shift toward gaining a better understanding of the customer, market, and competitive dynamics using external data sources. This signifies that while foundational operational IA (e.g., standard internal reporting) is largely managed by established ERP/BI frameworks, the new challenge lies in resolving the IA associated with integrating dynamic, external market intelligence and customer behavior insights across internal organizational silos.

3.2. Data Governance and Lineage as Structural Integrity Tools

A robust data governance framework is an indispensable structural tool for systematically mitigating internal IA and associated risks. Without governance, organizations are exposed to regulatory non-compliance, data breaches, and poor decision-making fueled by faulty data. Governance directly targets adverse selection by guaranteeing the integrity and quality of the data used for internal transactions and decisions. Conversely, a lack of governance can lead to the accumulation of low-quality information in centralized repositories, risking the transformation

of data lakes into unusable "data swamps." This situation hinders insights, particularly for non-technical users, reinforcing expertise asymmetry and internal IA.
Data lineage and traceability are key components of governance. Lineage provides a clear audit trail that tracks the flow of data from its source to its final destination, clarifying how data changes throughout the organization. This transparency is vital for accountability, risk management, and regulatory compliance (e.g., GDPR and CCPA).
By implementing a rigorous governance framework, the firm makes a verifiable commitment to reliable data—an act that serves as a powerful *internal signaling mechanism*. Just as external financing choices signal management's perspective on valuation , rigorous data quality and lineage signal managerial competence and reliability internally. This reduces uncertainty among internal stakeholders, enhances trust, and accelerates strategic decision-making by mitigating the risk of adverse selection (avoiding "lemons" information).

3.3. Architectures of Transparency: Data Mesh vs. Centralized Data Lakes

The architectural approach chosen for data storage and access fundamentally determines the enterprise's internal IA profile. Centralized architectures, such as traditional data lakes, often face challenges related to complexity and scalability, risking the accumulation of low-quality information and the exclusion of users with limited technical expertise. This dynamic creates an *expertise asymmetry* between the technical specialists who manage the lake and the functional users who need to consume the data.
The Data Mesh architecture serves as a modern decentralized response to these centralization risks. Data Mesh divides stored data across business areas, promoting **domain ownership**. By shifting responsibility for data quality and curation to the business units closest to the source, this architecture attempts to resolve expertise asymmetry by making domain experts responsible for data quality. This strategy represents a deliberate attempt to manage IA by distributing knowledge responsibility and promoting autonomous access, moving away from a model where centralized control inadvertently reinforces information bottlenecks.

3.4. Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) for Absolute Internal Trust

Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT), which underpins blockchain, represents an innovative and powerful mechanism for IA mitigation through structural integrity. DLT provides a decentralized, secure, and transparent method for storing and updating records across a network. By leveraging cryptographic techniques, DLT ensures data integrity and reduces the need for numerous manual audits.
DLT is widely adopted in supply chains to tackle external IA, tracing product provenance, verifying authenticity, and mitigating quality fraud. Its application within the enterprise microcosm is equally transformative. DLT provides an immutable, transparent record of transactions, which fundamentally enhances trust among internal participants. Internally, this can secure critical records related to intellectual property transfers between R&D and manufacturing, internal financial transactions, and compliance traceability.
The most compelling application of DLT internally is its function as the ultimate Moral Hazard mitigation tool. Moral Hazard relies on the agent's actions being hidden or unobservable. DLT provides real-time visibility and immutability for transactional data. By making transaction histories tamper-proof and shared among relevant parties, DLT severely restricts an agent's

ability to engage in "hidden action" or manipulate data for self-serving outcomes. This technological capability fundamentally alters the cost-benefit analysis for monitoring systems, offering a level of trust certification superior to traditional centralized auditing processes. Furthermore, the integration of Blockchain (DLT) with Artificial Intelligence (AI) creates a robust synergy. Blockchain delivers the foundational trust and transparency necessary for IA elimination, while AI leverages the immutable data for advanced analytical capabilities and operational optimization.

Technological and Structural Mechanisms for IA Mitigation

Mechanism/Tool	Primary IA Target	IA Mitigation Strategy	Underlying Principle
ERP Systems	Data Silos (Monopoly of Knowledge)	Centralization of operational data; single source of truth.	Homogenizing Information/Power Balance
Business Intelligence (BI) Tools	Expertise Asymmetry; Moral Hazard	Translating raw data into accessible insights; enhanced monitoring.	Screening/Monitoring
Data Governance Frameworks	Adverse Selection (Poor Quality)	Enforcing data quality, lineage, and compliance traceability.	Signaling Data Reliability/Integrity
Data Mesh Architecture	Expertise Asymmetry; Centralization IA	Decentralizing data ownership and responsibility to domain experts.	Distributing Knowledge/Empowerment
Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT)	Moral Hazard (Hidden Action)	Immutable, transparent record-keeping; real-time visibility.	Certifying Trust/Proof of Action

IV. Strategic Consequences, Recommendations, and The Path to Data Symmetry

4.1. The Dual Strategic Role of Internal IA

Information asymmetry presents both inherent challenges and strategic opportunities for the enterprise. In the context of innovation financing, IA is critical because potential high-quality R&D projects inherently possess private information (hidden quality). To secure internal funding, these projects must generate credible internal signals, often through defined milestones, specialized contracts featuring vesting and dilution, or even internal acquisition strategies, to overcome adverse selection and prove their quality to the principal. This process prevents the corporate resource allocation mechanism from inadvertently funding low-quality "lemons" projects.

Moreover, while IA is traditionally linked to market failures, the Austrian economic perspective asserts that IA is a fundamental source of entrepreneurial opportunities. The non-uniform distribution of knowledge means that decentralized expertise (local IA) must be deliberately leveraged. The strategic challenge is designing governance and incentive systems that enable highly informed agents to credibly signal their high-quality opportunities to centralized principals without forcing them to disclose their complete private advantage, which often constitutes the

source of their innovation and competitive edge.

4.2. Fostering Knowledge Cooperation and Cross-Functional Transparency

While technological investments in ERP, BI, and DLT are necessary infrastructure, empirical evidence strongly indicates that **cooperation** and **cross-functional working** are the critical moderating effects that determine the success of data-driven decisions. Removing silos is not solely a technical migration problem; it requires profound changes in organizational culture.
The analysis demonstrates that the direct, positive effect of IT business knowledge on decision-making is significantly enhanced by high levels of cooperation. This structural relationship suggests that technology investment alone cannot achieve data symmetry. Technology must be paired with institutionalized cooperation to ensure that the technical expertise required to manage and navigate centralized data architecture (IT knowledge) is effectively transferred and utilized by functional users (e.g., marketing knowledge). Cooperation acts as the essential cultural multiplier that prevents technological IA solutions from becoming isolated technical achievements accessible only to specialists.
The deployment of Big Data Analytics (BDA) can serve as an institutional catalyst, facilitating the dismantling of organizational silos and promoting the sharing of information and expertise across departments. BDA has a significant and positive impact on knowledge sharing, validating the concept that analytical tools, when properly integrated into the workflow, actively break down informational barriers.

4.3. Recommendations for Executive Action: The Data Symmetry Roadmap

Based on the synthesis of economic theory, organizational dynamics, and technological capacity, the following four strategic pillars are recommended for managing IA in the enterprise microcosm:

1. Architectural Commitment to Trust and Transparency

All major capital investments in data architecture must be framed explicitly as IA reduction projects. While leveraging the efficiency of centralized systems (ERP/BI synergy) to create a single version of truth is essential , executives must strategically deploy decentralized architectures like Data Mesh where centralized control risks creating expertise asymmetry and data bottlenecks. Furthermore, for high-stakes internal records, such as those related to financial settlement, audit trails, and IP transfer, DLT or similar immutable technologies should be implemented to eliminate the potential for Moral Hazard and guarantee the integrity of data provenance.

2. Institutionalizing Data Governance as a Credible Internal Signal

Data Governance must be elevated beyond a simple compliance function. By implementing rigorous standards for data quality, accuracy, and lineage, the organization uses its commitment to governance as a credible internal signal of management reliability and trustworthiness. Data lineage must be maintained and auditable, serving as the foundation for accountability and

ensuring that resource allocation decisions are based on data that is protected from adverse selection risk.

3. Incentivizing Cross-Functional Symmetry

Organizational incentives and performance metrics must be redesigned to actively reward cross-functional cooperation and knowledge sharing, effectively mitigating the silo mentality. Recognizing the critical role of IT business knowledge in driving data-driven decisions , strategic programs must be initiated to facilitate the systematic transfer of technical data expertise to functional business leaders. This cultural shift ensures that the investment in centralized systems achieves its full potential by reducing the asymmetry between data expertise and data application.

4. Managing the Ethical IA Frontier

As the firm increasingly utilizes sophisticated BI and AI tools capable of internal dataveillance, clear and transparent policies must be established to govern how employee behavioral data and performance metrics are captured and used. Failure to ensure transparency and fairness regarding internal monitoring undermines trust—an essential component of long-term efficiency, echoing observations on how perceived fairness affects effort and outcomes. By managing this ethical IA frontier proactively, the organization preserves the necessary trust required for effective Principal-Agent relationships.

Works cited

1. EUR Research Information Portal - PURE.EUR.NL., https://pure.eur.nl/files/47920335/REPUB_112985.pdf 2. Information asymmetry - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Information_asymmetry 3. The Political Control of Bureaucracies Under Asymmetric Information - ResearchGate, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259971586_The_Political_Control_of_Bureaucracies_Under_Asymmetric_Information 4. The Political Control of Bureaucracies under Asymmetric Information - Caltech Authors, https://authors.library.caltech.edu/records/ehkpf-wkz33/latest 5. The Law of Information States: Evidence from China and the United States - Chicago Unbound, https://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2363&context=public_law_and_legal_theory 6. From market failures to market opportunities: Managing innovation under asymmetric information - EconStor, https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/146821/1/779287320.pdf 7. Principal-Agent Problem Causes, Solutions, and Examples Explained - Investopedia, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/p/principal-agent-problem.asp 8. Adverse selection and the lemons problem | Intermediate Microeconomic Theory Class Notes | Fiveable, https://fiveable.me/intermediate-microeconomic-theory/unit-9/adverse-selection-lemons-problem/study-guide/e6LN7T5g9CEx7k1i 9. Financing of Innovation under Information Asymmetry - University Digital Conservancy, https://conservancy.umn.edu/bitstreams/affb773f-9154-4a1c-9bcf-0c38abb203b2/download 10. The 2001 Prize in Economic Sciences - Popular information ..., https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/economic-sciences/2001/popular-information/ 11. Full article: Information asymmetry, risk aversion and R&D subsidies: effect-size heterogeneity and policy conundrums, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10438599.2022.2119563 12. Pecking

Order Theory | Definition + Finance Example - Wall Street Prep, https://www.wallstreetprep.com/knowledge/pecking-order-theory/ 13. Asymmetric Information and Credit Rationing in a Model of Search - MDPI, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4336/16/1/1 14. Pecking Order Theory, Information Asymmetry, and Signaling | by J. Renato Mannis, https://medium.com/@joserenatomannis/pecking-order-theory-information-asymmetry-and-signaling-2a1d2ea7d083 15. Pecking Order Theory - Overview, Illustration, Example - Corporate Finance Institute, https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/valuation/pecking-order-theory/ 16. Enterprise resource planning (ERP) vs. with business intelligence (BI) - Phocas Software, https://www.phocassoftware.com/resources/blog/what-does-erp-stand-for-and-how-it-compares-with-bi 17. Removing silos to enable data-driven decisions: The importance of ..., https://www.researchgate.net/publication/365764599_Removing_silos_to_enable_data-driven_decisions_The_importance_of_marketing_and_IT_knowledge_cooperation_and_information_quality 18. (PDF) Big data approaches and outcome of information asymmetry ..., https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327275267_Big_data_approaches_and_outcome_of_information_asymmetry_opportunities_for_future_research 19. Eliminating information asymmetry in supply chains: Blockchain-driven or not | Request PDF, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394964446_Eliminating_information_asymmetry_in_supply_chains_Blockchain-driven_or_not 20. Information Asymmetry in Management Accounting: A Conceptual ..., https://www.researchgate.net/publication/256041058_Information_Asymmetry_in_Management_Accounting_A_Conceptual_Modeling_of_Resolution 21. ERP and BI: The Essential Synergy to Enable Long-Term Success - Kleene.ai, https://kleene.ai/erp-and-bi-the-essential-synergy/ 22. How ERP and BI work together | SYSPRO Blog, https://www.syspro.com/blog/technology-enterprise-technology/how-erp-and-bi-work-together/ 23. The Benefits of Business Intelligence - BARC, https://barc.com/benefits-business-intelligence/ 24. The Role of Data Governance in Mitigating Risk - Semarchy, https://semarchy.com/blog/the-role-of-data-governance-in-mitigating-risk/ 25. Data Mesh vs. Data Lake: Which to Choose - Acceldata, https://www.acceldata.io/blog/data-mesh-vs-data-lake 26. What Is Distributed Ledger Technology (DLT) and How Does It Work? - Investopedia, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/d/distributed-ledger-technology-dlt.asp 27. Blockchain and AI Integration: Transforming Transparency in Supply Chain Management - European Economic Letters (EEL), https://eelet.org.uk/index.php/journal/article/download/1885/1678/2061 28. Eliminating information asymmetry in supply chains: Blockchain-driven or not, https://research.polyu.edu.hk/en/publications/eliminating-information-asymmetry-in-supply-chains-blockchain-dri