

Introduction to Software Testing Chapter 9.2 Program-based Grammars

Paul Ammann & Jeff Offutt

<http://www.cs.gmu.edu/~offutt/softwaretest/>

Applying Syntax-based Testing to Programs

- Syntax-based criteria **originated** with programs and have been used mostly with programs
- **BNF criteria** are most commonly used to test compilers
- **Mutation testing** criteria are most commonly used for unit testing and integration testing of classes

BNF Testing for Compilers (9.2.1)

- Testing **compilers** is very complicated
 - Millions of **correct** programs !
 - Compilers must recognize and reject **incorrect** programs
- **BNF criteria** can be used to generate programs to test all language features that compilers must process
- This is a very **specialized** application and not discussed in detail

Program-based Grammars (9.2.2)

- The original and most widely known application of syntax-based testing is to **modify programs**
- **Operators** modify a **ground string** (program under test) to create **mutant programs**
- Mutant programs must compile correctly (**valid strings**)
- Mutants are **not tests**, but used to find tests
- Once mutants are defined, **tests** must be found to cause mutants to fail when executed
- This is called “**killing mutants**”

Killing Mutants

Given a mutant $m \in M$ for a ground string program P and a test t , t is said to **kill** m if and only if the output of t on P is different from the output of t on m .

- If mutation operators are designed well, the resulting tests will be very powerful
- Different operators must be defined for different programming languages and different goals
- Testers can keep adding tests until all mutants have been killed
 - *Dead mutant* : A test case has killed it
 - *Stillborn mutant* : Syntactically illegal
 - *Trivial mutant* : Almost every test can kill it
 - *Equivalent mutant* : No test can kill it (same behavior as original)

Program-based Grammars

Original Method

```
int Min (int A, int B)
{
    int minVal;
    minVal = A;
    if (B < A)
    {
        minVal = B;
    }
    return (minVal);
} // end Min
```

6 mutants

Each represents a
separate program

With Embedded Mutants

```
int Min (int A, int B)
{
    int minVal;
    minVal = A;
    Δ 1 minVal = B;
    if (B < A)
    Δ 2 if (B > A)
    Δ 3 if (B < minVal)
    {
        minVal = B;
    Δ 4 Bomb ();
    Δ 5 minVal = A;
    Δ 6 minVal = failOnZero (B);
    }
    return (minVal);
} // end Min
```

*Replace one variable
with another*

Replaces operator

*Immediate runtime
failure ... if reached*

*Immediate runtime
failure if B==0, else
does nothing*

Syntax-Based Coverage Criteria

Mutation Coverage (MC) : For each $m \in M$, TR contains exactly one requirement, to kill m .

- The RIPR model:
 - *Reachability* : The test causes the **faulty statement** to be reached (in mutation – the **mutated** statement)
 - *Infection* : The test causes the faulty statement to result in an **incorrect state**
 - *Propagation* : The incorrect state **propagates** to incorrect output
 - *Revealability* : The tester must **observe** part of the incorrect output
- The RIPR model leads to **two variants** of mutation coverage ...

Syntax-Based Coverage Criteria

1) Strongly Killing Mutants:

Given a mutant $m \in M$ for a program P and a test t , t is said to **strongly kill** m if and only if the **output** of t on P is different from the output of t on m

2) Weakly Killing Mutants:

Given a mutant $m \in M$ that modifies a location l in a program P , and a test t , t is said to **weakly kill** m if and only if the **state** of the execution of P on t is different from the state of the execution of m on t immediately after l

- Weakly killing satisfies **reachability** and **infection**, but not **propagation**

Weak Mutation

Weak Mutation Coverage (WMC) : For each $m \in M$, TR contains exactly one requirement, to weakly kill m .

- “Weak mutation” is so named because it is **easier to kill** mutants under this assumption
- Weak mutation also requires **less analysis**
- A few mutants can be killed under weak mutation but not under strong mutation (**no propagation**)
- Studies have found that test sets that weakly kill all mutants also strongly kill most mutants

Weak Mutation Example

Mutant 1 in the Min() example is:

```
int Min (int A, int B)
{
    int minVal;
    minVal = A;
    Δ 1 minVal = B;
    if (B < A)
    {
        minVal = B;
    }
    return (minVal);
} // end Min
```

With one or two partners :

1. Find a test that **weakly kills** the mutant, but not strongly
2. Generalize : What **must be true** to weakly kill the mutant, but not strongly?
3. Try to write down the **conditions** needed to (i) **reach** the mutated statement, (ii) **infect** the program state, and (iii) **propagate** to output

Weak Mutation Example

```
minVal = A;  
Δ 1 minVal = B;  
  if (B < A)  
    minVal = B;
```

1. Find a test that **weakly kills** the mutant, but not strongly

A = 5, B = 3

2. Generalize :What **must be true** to weakly kill the mutant, but not strongly?

B < A // minVal is set to B on for both

3. RIP conditions

Reachability : *true* // we always reach

Infection : $A \neq B$ // minVal has a different value

Propagation : $(B < A) = false$ // Take a different branch

Equivalent Mutation Example

Mutant 3 in the Min() example is equivalent:

```
int Min (int A, int B)
{
    int minVal;
    minVal = A;
    if (B < A)
    Δ 3  if (B < minVal)
        {
            minVal = B;
        }
    return (minVal);
} // end Min
```

With one or two partners

1. **Convince** yourselves that this mutant is **equivalent**
2. Briefly explain **why**
3. Try to **prove** the equivalence
Hint : Think about what must be true to kill the mutant

Equivalent Mutation Example

```
minVal = A;  
if (B < A)  
  Δ 3 if (B < minVal)
```

1. **Convince** yourselves that this mutant is **equivalent**
2. Briefly explain **why**

A and **minVal** have the same value at the mutated statement

3. Try to **prove** the equivalence

Hint : Think about what must be true to kill the mutant

Infection : $(B < A) \neq (B < \text{minVal})$

Previous statement : $\text{minVal} = A$

Substitute : $(B < A) \neq (B < A)$

Contradiction ... therefore, **equivalent**

Strong Versus Weak Mutation

```
1  boolean isEven (int X)
2  {
3      if (X < 0)
4          X = 0 - X;
5      if (double) (X/2) == ((double) X) / 2.0
6          return (true);
7      else
8          return (false);
9  }
```

Δ 4

X = 0;

Reachability : $X < 0$

Infection : $X \neq 0$

($X = -6$) will kill mutant 4
under weak mutation

Propagation :

$((\text{double}) ((0-X)/2) == ((\text{double}) 0-X) / 2.0)$

$\neq ((\text{double}) (0/2) == ((\text{double}) 0) / 2.0)$

That is, X is not even ...

Thus ($X = -6$) does not kill the mutant under
strong mutation

Why Mutation Works

Fundamental Premise of Mutation Testing

If the software contains a fault, there will usually be a set of mutants that can only be killed by a test case that also detects that fault

- This is not an absolute !
- The mutants guide the tester to an effective set of tests
- A very challenging problem :
 - Find a **fault** and a set of **mutation-adequate tests** that do **not** find the fault
- Of course, this depends on the mutation operators ...

Designing Mutation Operators

- At the **method level**, mutation operators for different programming languages are similar
- Mutation operators do one of **two things** :
 - Mimic typical programmer **mistakes** (incorrect variable name)
 - Encourage common test **heuristics** (cause expressions to be 0)
- Researchers design lots of operators, then experimentally **select** the most useful

Effective Mutation Operators

If tests that are created specifically to kill mutants created by a collection of mutation operators $O = \{o_1, o_2, \dots\}$ also kill mutants created by all remaining mutation operators with very high probability, then O defines an **effective** set of mutation operators

Mutation Operators for Java

1. **ABS** — Absolute Value Insertion
2. **AOR** — Arithmetic Operator Replacement
3. **ROR** — Relational Operator Replacement
4. **COR** — Conditional Operator Replacement
5. **SOR** — Shift Operator Replacement
6. **LOR** — Logical Operator Replacement
7. **ASR** — Assignment Operator Replacement
8. **UOI** — Unary Operator Insertion
9. **UOD** — Unary Operator Deletion
10. **SVR** — Scalar Variable Replacement
11. **BSR** — Bomb Statement Replacement

Full
definitions ...

Mutation Operators for Java

1. ABS — Absolute Value Insertion:

Each arithmetic expression (and subexpression) is modified by the functions *abs()*, *negAbs()*, and *failOnZero()*.

Examples:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

```
Δ1 a = abs (m * (o + p));
```

```
Δ2 a = m * abs ((o + p));
```

```
Δ3 a = failOnZero (m * (o + p));
```

2. AOR — Arithmetic Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the arithmetic operators $+$, $-$, $*$, $/$, and $\%$ is replaced by each of the other operators. In addition, each is replaced by the special mutation operators *leftOp*, and *rightOp*.

Examples:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

```
Δ1 a = m + (o + p);
```

```
Δ2 a = m * (o * p);
```

```
Δ3 a = m leftOp (o + p);
```

Mutation Operators for Java (2)

3. ROR — Relational Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the relational operators ($<$, \leq , $>$, \geq , $=$, \neq) is replaced by each of the other operators and by *falseOp* and *trueOp*.

Examples:

if ($X \leq Y$)

$\Delta 1$ if ($X > Y$)

$\Delta 2$ if ($X < Y$)

$\Delta 3$ if (X *falseOp* Y) // always returns false

4. COR — Conditional Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the logical operators (and - $\&\&$, or - $\|\|$, and with no conditional evaluation - $\&$, or with no conditional evaluation - $\|$, not equivalent - \wedge) is replaced by each of the other operators; in addition, each is replaced by *falseOp*, *trueOp*, *leftOp*, and *rightOp*.

Examples:

if ($X \leq Y \&\& a > 0$)

$\Delta 1$ if ($X \leq Y \|\| a > 0$)

$\Delta 2$ if ($X \leq Y$ *leftOp* $a > 0$) // returns result of left clause

Mutation Operators for Java (4)

5. SOR — Shift Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the shift operators <<, >>, and >>> is replaced by each of the other operators. In addition, each is replaced by the special mutation operator *leftOp*.

Examples:

```
byte b = (byte) 16;
```

```
b = b >> 2;
```

Δ1 `b = b << 2;`

Δ2 `b = b leftOp 2; // result is b`

6. LOR — Logical Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the logical operators (bitwise and - &, bitwise or - |, exclusive or - ^) is replaced by each of the other operators; in addition, each is replaced by *leftOp* and *rightOp*.

Examples:

```
int a = 60; int b = 13;
```

```
int c = a & b;
```

Δ1 `int c = a | b;`

Δ2 `int c = a rightOp b; // result is b`

Mutation Operators for Java (5)

7. ASR — Assignment Operator Replacement:

Each occurrence of one of the assignment operators (`+=`, `-=`, `*=`, `/=`, `%=`, `&=`, `|=`, `^=`, `<<=`, `>>=`, `>>>=`) is replaced by each of the other operators.

Examples:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

$\Delta 1$ `a += m * (o + p);`

$\Delta 2$ `a *= m * (o + p);`

8. UOI — Unary Operator Insertion:

Each unary operator (arithmetic `+`, arithmetic `-`, conditional `!`, logical `~`) is inserted in front of each expression of the correct type.

Examples:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

$\Delta 1$ `a = m * -(o + p);`

$\Delta 2$ `a = -(m * (o + p));`

Mutation Operators for Java (6)

9. UOD — Unary Operator Deletion:

Each unary operator (arithmetic +, arithmetic -, conditional !, logical~) is deleted.

Examples:

```
if !(X <= Y && !Z)
```

Δ1 if (X > Y && !Z)

Δ2 if !(X < Y && Z)

10. SVR — Scalar Variable Replacement:

Each variable reference is replaced by every other variable of the appropriate type that is declared in the current scope.

Examples:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

Δ 1 a = o * (o + p);

Δ 2 a = m * (m + p);

Δ 3 a = m * (o + o);

Δ 4 p = m * (o + p);

Mutation Operators for Java (7)

// BSR — Bomb Statement Replacement:

Each statement is replaced by a special Bomb() function.

Example:

```
a = m * (o + p);
```

Δ1 *Bomb()* // Raises exception when reached

Mutation Operators

- Mutation operators exist for several **languages**
 - Several programming languages (*Fortran, Lisp, Ada, C, C++, Java*)
 - Specification languages (*SMV, Z, Object-Z, algebraic specs*)
 - Modeling languages (*Statecharts, activity diagrams*)
 - Input grammars (*XML, SQL, HTML*)

Summary : Subsuming Other Criteria

- Mutation is widely considered the **strongest** test criterion
 - And most **expensive** !
 - By far the most test requirements (each mutant)
 - Usually the most tests
- Mutation **subsumes** other criteria by including specific mutation operators
- Subsumption can only be defined for **weak mutation** – other criteria only impose local requirements
 - Node coverage, Edge coverage, Clause coverage
 - General active clause coverage: **Yes–Requirement on single tests**
 - Correlated active clause coverage: **No–Requirement on test *pairs***
 - All-defs data flow coverage