

Student Information

Name : Deniz Polat

ID : 2237790

Answer 1

a)

As stated in our book, (Chapter 3.3.1), expected (mean) value is calculated with the formula:

$$\sum_x xP(x)$$

With that information, we can calculate the expected values of dices as follows:

E(blue): $2 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 + 4 * 1/6 = 2/6 + 2/6 + 2/6 + 2/6 + 3/6 + 4/6 = 15/6 = 2.5$

E(yellow): $1 * 1/6 + 1 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 = 1/6 + 1/6 + 2/6 + 2/6 + 3/6 + 3/6 = 12/6 = 2$

E(red): $1 * 1/6 + 1 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 2 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 + 3 * 1/6 + 5 * 1/6 = 1/6 + 1/6 + 2/6 + 2/6 + 3/6 + 3/6 + 3/6 + 5/6 = 20/6 = 3.33$

b)

As stated in the book, for any 2 random variables X and Y, $E(X+Y) = E(X) + E(Y)$. By using this rule and expected values found for part(a), we can say that:

$E(\text{red}+\text{red}+\text{yellow}) = E(\text{red}) + E(\text{red}) + E(\text{yellow}) = 2.5 + 2.5 + 2 = 7$

$E(\text{yellow}+\text{yellow}+\text{blue}) = E(\text{yellow}) + E(\text{yellow}) + E(\text{blue}) = 2 + 2 + 2.5 = 6.5$

As expected value of 1st option is greater than expected value of second option, I would choose rolling 2 red and 1 yellow to maximize total value.

c)

If it is certain that blue's value will be 4, then we would take $E(\text{blue})$ as 4. Calculating the expected value of 2nd option again, we get:

$E(\text{yellow}+\text{yellow}+\text{blue}) = E(\text{yellow}) + E(\text{yellow}) + E(\text{blue}) = 2 + 2 + 4 = 8$

which has a greater expected value than 1st option right now. In this case, I would choose rolling 2 yellow and 1 blue.

d)

Let A be the event that the rolled die is red and B be the value of die is 3.
Here, what we need to find is conditional probability of A given B,

$$P\{A | B\} = \frac{P\{A \cap B\}}{P\{B\}}$$

$$P\{A\} = \frac{1}{3} \text{ (As it is stated in the question that each color has equal probability in random choosing)}$$

$$P\{B\} = \frac{1}{3} * \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{3} * \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} * \frac{3}{8} = \frac{7}{24} \text{ (P(blue,3) + P(yellow,3) + P(red,3))}$$

$$P\{A \cap B\} = \frac{1}{3} * \frac{3}{8} = \frac{1}{8} \text{ (P(red,3))}$$

$$P\{A | B\} = \frac{P\{A \cap B\}}{P\{B\}} = \frac{\frac{1}{8}}{\frac{7}{24}} = \frac{3}{7}$$

e)

Options that the total value will be 6:

1) red: 3, yellow: 3

2) red: 5, yellow: 1

For option 1, possibility of red dice's being 3 is 3/8 and yellow dice's being 3 is 1/3. Possibility of them taking these values together is 3/8 * 1/3 = 1/8.

With the same calculation logic, red could be 5 with the possibility 1/8 and yellow could be 1 with the possibility 1/3, which makes 1/8 * 1/3 = 1/24 together.

As we could get the value both with option 1 OR option 2, the possibility of two dices' total value is 6 is 1/24 + 1/8 = 1/6.

Answer 2

a)

By looking at 3rd entry in table, we see that $P(0,2) = 0.17$.

b)

As there are no such rows in given table, the probability, $P(2,0) = 0$.

c)

Let T denote possibility of Istanbul and Ankara's having 2 electric outages in total. Then,
 $P_T(2) = P\{X + Y = 2\} = P\{x = 0 \cap Y = 2\} + P\{x = 1 \cap Y = 1\} + P\{x = 2 \cap Y = 0\} =$
 $P(0, 2) + P(1, 1) + P(2, 0) = 0.17 + 0.11 + 0 = 0.28$

d)

$$P_A(1) = P(1,0) + P(1,1) + P(1,2) + P(1,3) = 0.6$$

(We sum all possibilities where Ankara has electric outage)

e)

Let A define electric outages in Ankara and I define the same for Istanbul. Then;

$$P_A(0) = P(0,0) + P(0,1) + P(0,2) + P(0,3) = 0.4$$

$$P_A(1) = P(1,0) + P(1,1) + P(1,2) + P(1,3) = 0.6$$

$$P_I(0) = P(0,0) + P(1,0) = 0.2$$

$$P_I(1) = P(0,1) + P(1,1) = 0.24$$

$$P_I(2) = P(0,2) + P(1,2) = 0.39$$

$$P_I(3) = P(0,3) + P(1,3) = 0.17$$

f)

We know that random variables X and Y are independent if

$$P_{(X,Y)}(x,y) = P_X(x)P_Y(y)$$

for all values of x and y (Definition 3.4)

By using this definition, let's check whether the electric outages in Ankara and Istanbul are independent or not, by checking whether their joint pmf factors into a product of marginal pmfs.

Let A define electric outages in Ankara and I define the same for Istanbul.

$$P_{A,I}(0,0) = 0.08 \text{ where } P_A(0)P_I(0) = 0.4 * 0.2 = 0.08$$

$$P_{A,I}(0,1) = 0.13 \text{ where } P_A(0)P_I(1) = 0.4 * 0.24 = 0.096$$

$$P_{A,I}(0,2) = 0.17 \text{ where } P_A(0)P_I(2) = 0.4 * 0.39 = 0.156$$

$$P_{A,I}(0,3) = 0.02 \text{ where } P_A(0)P_I(3) = 0.4 * 0.17 = 0.068$$

$$P_{A,I}(1,0) = 0.12 \text{ where } P_A(1)P_I(0) = 0.6 * 0.2 = 0.12$$

$$P_{A,I}(1,1) = 0.11 \text{ where } P_A(1)P_I(1) = 0.6 * 0.24 = 0.144$$

$$P_{A,I}(1,2) = 0.22 \text{ where } P_A(1)P_I(2) = 0.6 * 0.39 = 0.234$$

$$P_{A,I}(1,3) = 0.15 \text{ where } P_A(1)P_I(3) = 0.6 * 0.17 = 0.102$$

As seen, there are some pairs (a,i) violating the formula for independent random variables. As a result, we see that the electric outages in Ankara and Istanbul are dependent.