Dennis Kuzminer

CSCI-UA 310-001 PS1

1.

a.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n(\log_2 n)^2}{n^2 \log_2 n} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log_2 n}{n} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{\frac{1}{n\ln(2)}}{1} \to \frac{1}{n\ln(2)} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0$$

 \rightarrow This implies that g grows faster than f and f=o(g)

b.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n^2}{n(\log_2 n)} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{(\log_2 n)} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n\ln(2)}} \to n\ln(2) \to \text{D.S.} = \infty$$

 \rightarrow This implies that f grows faster than g and g=o(f)

c.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n(\log_2 n)^4}{n^{1.2}} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{(\log_2 n)^4}{n^{.2}} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{4(\log_2 n)^3}{.2n^2 \ln(2)} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \text$$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{12(\log_2 n)^2}{2n^2ln(2)ln(2).2}\to \text{L'Hopital}\to \lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{24(\log_2 n)}{2n^2ln(2)ln(2).2ln(2).2} \to \text{L'Hopital}\to$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{24}{2n^2 \ln(2) \ln(2).2 \ln(2).2} \to \text{D.S.} = 0 \to \text{This implies that g grows faster than f and}$$

f=o(g)

d.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{200n^2 + n^{1.5}}{(1/500)n^2} \to \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{200n + n^{.5}}{(1/500)n} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{200 + .5/n^{.5}}{(1/500)} \to \text{D.S.} =$$

 $\frac{200+0}{(1/500)} = 200 * 500 = 100000 \rightarrow$ This implies that f grows at the same rate as g and f

 $=\theta(\mathbf{g})$

e.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\log_{7}n}{\log_{5}n} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{\frac{1}{n\ln(7)}}{\frac{1}{n\ln(5)}} \to \frac{n\ln(5)}{n\ln(7)} \to \text{D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{1}{n\ln(7)}$$

 $\frac{\ln(5)}{\ln(7)}$ This implies that f grows at the same rate as g and $\mathbf{f} = \boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{g})$

f.
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n(\log_2 n)^{-1}}{n^5 \log_2 n} \to \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^5}{(\log_2 n)^2} \to \text{ D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \text{L'Hopital} \to \frac{.5n^5 \ln(2)}{2\log_2 n} \to \text{ D.S.} = \frac{\infty}{\infty} \to \frac{1}{2\log_2 n}$$

L'Hopital
$$\to \frac{.5ln(2)}{2} \frac{n^{.5}}{\log_2 n} \to \frac{.5ln(2)}{2} \frac{.5/n^{.5}}{1/nln(2)} \to \frac{.5^2ln(2)^2}{2} \frac{n}{n^{.5}} \to \frac{.5^2ln(2)^2}{2} n^{.5} \to D.S. \to \infty \to \infty$$

This implies that f grows faster than g and g=o(f)

g.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{5^n}{7^n} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} (\frac{5}{7})^n \to \lim_{n\to\infty} e^{n\ln(\frac{5}{7})} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} e^{n(-0.336472237)} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} 1/e^{n(0.336472237)} \to \text{D.S.}$$

= $0 \rightarrow$ This implies that g grows faster than f and **f**=o(g)

h.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{7^n}{5^{(n^2)}} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} (\frac{7}{5^n})^n \to \text{D.S.} = (\frac{7}{\infty})^{\infty} \to (0)^{\infty} = 0 \to \text{This implies that g grows faster}$$

than f and f=o(g)

i.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!}{(n+1)!} \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)} \to D.S. = \frac{1}{\infty} = 0 \to This implies that g grows faster than f and$$

f=o(g)

j.
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n}{2n+(-1)^n n^{-5}} \to \text{Divide all terms by } n \to \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2+(-1)^n n^{-5}} \to (-1)^n n^{-5} = 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{n\to\infty} = \frac{1}{2}$ This implies that f grows at the same rate as g and $\mathbf{f} = \boldsymbol{\theta}(\mathbf{g})$

2.

a.
$$\int_{1}^{n} ln(i)di \rightarrow [iln(i) - i] \Big|_{1}^{n} \rightarrow nln(n) - n - (1ln(1) - 1) = nln(n) - n + 1 \text{ which is}$$
essentially = $nln(n) + O(n)$

b.
$$\int_{1}^{n} iln(i)di \rightarrow IBP \rightarrow u = ln(i), \ v' = i \rightarrow \left[.5i^{2}ln(i) - \int .5idi \right]_{1}^{n} \rightarrow \\ .5n^{2}ln(n) - .25n^{2} - (5(1)^{2}ln(1) - .25(1)^{2}) \rightarrow .5n^{2}ln(n) - .25n^{2} + .25 = .5n^{2}ln(n) + O(n^{2})$$

3.
$$L = \lim_{i \to \infty} \frac{(i+1)^2}{2^{(1+i)}} * \frac{2^i}{i^2} \to \frac{i^2+2i+1}{2i^2} \to L'H \to \frac{2i+2}{4i} \to L'H \to \frac{2}{4} \to \frac{1}{2}$$

L is less than 1; therefore, the infinite series **converges** absolutely.

4.

a.
$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^{1.1}} di \rightarrow -10(1/i^{.1}) \rightarrow -10(1/\infty^{.1}) - -10(1/1^{.1}) = 0 - 10 = 10$$

Because the integral converges, we can also say that the series converges.

b.
$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{iln(i)} di \rightarrow ln|ln(i)| \rightarrow ln|ln(\infty)| - ln|ln(2)| \rightarrow \infty - .3665 = Divergent.$$

Because the integral diverges, we can also say that the series diverges.

5.

- a. The first for-loop creates a runtime of n. The second for-loop creates a runtime of n again, meaning that the first for-loop's run time will not matter as $n \to \infty$. The while-loop inside of the for-loop will consistently reduce the number of operations that need to be done in comparison O(n). For instance, if n = 10, then the first iteration of the for-loop will perform $10 \ (*\ 2)$ operations within the while-loop. In the second iteration, $5 \ (*\ 2)$. Then $3 \ (*\ 2)$, $2 \ (*\ 2)$, $2 \ (*\ 2)$, $1 \ (*\ 2)$, and so on. We can see that the number of iterations/operations of the while-loop is decreasing by some proportion of n as n grows. Therefore, we can simplify the runtime of this algorithm to n+nlogn. We can simplify, we get $\mathbf{O}(\mathbf{nlogn})$.
- b. If we run the program for an array of integers size 12, we will be adding one to indices A[i] every for-loop iteration. However, we do not add it to every index. We add one to every ith index. For instance, if i = 4, then we would only add one to A[4], A[8], and A[12]. At the end of the 12th iteration of the algorithm, we can see that A = [1,2,2,3,2,4,2,4,3,4,2,6]. Each value of A[i] actually **represents the number of factors of a given number i**.

6. n=leaves and m=internal nodes

Q0 \rightarrow For a 2-3 tree of height zero, n = 1 and m = 0; therefore, the assertion holds for h = 0, as $0 \le 0$.

Inductive step: Assume that $m \le n$ -1holds for all $h-1 \ge 0 \to Show$ it holds for h.

For a tree of height h, this would mean that the number of internal nodes would increase by n and the number of leaves would increase by at least $2 n_{h-1}$ and at most $3 n_{h-1}$.

New internal nodes: $m_{h-1} + n_{h-1} = m_h \rightarrow m_{h-1} = m_h - n_{h-1} \rightarrow$

$$m_h - n_{h-1} \le n_{h-1} - 1 \longrightarrow m_h \le 2n_{h-1} - 1$$

New leaves: $2 n_{h-1} \le n_h \le 3 n_{h-1} \rightarrow 2 n_{h-1} - 1 \le n_h - 1 \le 3 n_{h-1} - 1 \rightarrow$

$$m_h \le 2 n_{h-1} - 1 \le n_h - 1 \le 3 n_{h-1} - 1 \longrightarrow m_h \le n_h - 1$$

 $\therefore m_h \le n_h$ -1holds for all $h \ge 0$.

7.

a. Base case: k = 0

$$F2 = F1 + F0 \rightarrow 0 + 1 = 1 \rightarrow From definition$$

From sigma notation $\rightarrow 1 + 0 = 1$, and 1 = 1, so the claim holds for k = 0.

Inductive step: k+1 holds. Assume that the claim is true. $F_{k+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i$

$$F_{k+3} = F_{k+1} + F_{k+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} F_i \rightarrow 1 + F_{k+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i = F_{k+1} + F_{k+2} \rightarrow \text{which}$$

can be simplified to by canceling out $F_{k+1} \to F_{k+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i$

We can see that the claim holds for k+1. $\therefore F_{k+2} = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k} F_i$ holds for all $k \ge 0$.

b. Base case 1: k = 0

$$F2 = F1 + F0 \rightarrow 0 + 1 = 1 \rightarrow From definition$$

$$\phi^{(0+1)} \rightarrow 1.61803398875 \ge 1$$
, so the claim holds for $k = 0$.

Base case 2: k = 1

$$F3 = F1 + F2 \rightarrow 1 + 1 = 2 \rightarrow From definition. \phi^{(1+1)} = 2.61803399$$

Inductive step: k+1 holds. Assume that the claim is true. $F_{k+2} \le \diamondsuit \diamondsuit^{k+1}$

 $2.61803399 \le 2.61803399 \rightarrow$ We can see that the claim holds for k+1.

$$\therefore F_{k+2} \leq \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q}^{k+1}$$

c. Base case 1: k = 0

$$F2 = F1 + F0 \rightarrow 0 + 1 = 1 \rightarrow From definition$$

$$\phi^{\wedge}(0) \to 1 \le 1$$
, so the claim holds for $k = 0$.

Base case 2: k = 1

$$F3 = F1 + F2 \rightarrow 1 + 1 = 2 \rightarrow From definition. \phi^{(1)} = 1.61803398875$$

Base case 2: k = 2

$$F4 = F3 + F2 \rightarrow 1 + 2 = 3 \rightarrow From definition. \phi^{(2)} = 2.61803399$$

The claim holds for base case 1 and 2

Inductive step: k+1 holds. Assume that the claim is true. $F_{k+2} \ge \diamondsuit \diamondsuit^k$

$$F_{k+3} \ge \emptyset \stackrel{k+1}{\longrightarrow} F_{k+1} + F_{k+2} \ge \emptyset \stackrel{*}{\longrightarrow} \bullet \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} k_{k+2}$$
 is at least $\emptyset \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} \emptyset \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k-1}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} 0 \stackrel{$

 $2.61803399 \ge 2.61803399 \rightarrow$ We can see that the claim holds for k+1.

$$\therefore F_{k+2} \ge \mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}^k$$

8.

a.

```
FID FID FID FIDE
```

```
b. Base case n = 0
    G(n)=2F(n+1)-1 \rightarrow 1=2(1)-1 \rightarrow 1=1
    Inductive step: Assume G(k)=2F(k+1)-1 holds for all n up to k. Prove k+1 also
    holds: G(k+1)=2F(k+2)-1 \rightarrow
    G(k)+G(k-1)+1=2F(k)+2F(k+1)-1 \rightarrow
    2F(k-1+1)-1+G(k)+1=2F(k)+2F(k+1)-1 \rightarrow
    G(k)+1=2F(k+1) \rightarrow
    G(k)=2F(k+1)-1 \rightarrow
    ∴G(n)=2F(n+1)-1 holds for all n\ge 0
c. int fib(int n) {
            int fib[] = new int[n+2];
            for(int i = 0; i \le n; i++) {
                    if(i == 0)  {
                             fib[i] = 0;
                     } else {
                             if(i == 1) {
                                      fib[i] = 1;
                             } else {
                                      fib[i] = fib[i-1] + fib[i-2];
                             }
                     }
            return fib[n];
```

9.

- a. $i=0 \rightarrow \text{vec.append}(1)$ will call resize(1) which will set newsz to 1. Line 13 was executed 0 times.
 - $i=1 \rightarrow resize(2)$ will be called which will have line 13 be executed once as size is not yet updated.
 - $i=2 \rightarrow resize(3)$ will be called which will have line 13 be executed twice.
 - i=3 \rightarrow resize(4) will be called which will have line 13 be executed three times. It is clear to see that there is a pattern. vec.append is called n times and for every ith iteration, line 13 is called i times. This means that the formula for the number of times line 13 is called is essentially n * n (n(n-1)/2)(number of loops in the outer for-loop * number of loops in the inner for-loop). This means that the number of times line 13 is executed is $\Theta(n^2)$.
- b. $i=0 \rightarrow \text{vec.append}(1)$ will call resize(1) which will set newsz to 1. Line 13 was executed 0 times.
 - $i=1 \rightarrow resize(2)$ will be called which will have line 13 be executed once.
 - $i=2 \rightarrow resize(3)$ will be called which will have line 13 be executed twice.
 - $i=3 \rightarrow resize(4)$ will be called which will have line 13 not be executed.
 - $i=4 \rightarrow resize(5)$ will be called which will have line 13 be executed four times.

When i is a power of 2, only then will the nested loop execute.

 $2^{\lfloor \log_2(n) \rfloor} \rightarrow \text{Which is essentially } \mathbf{O(n)}$

Outer For Loop i=0	Inner For Loop line 13	You can rewrite this to make it so	Outer For Loop i=0	Redistributed series
1	1	that each 'i'	1	1
2	2	will have	2	1
3	0	only 1 other	3	1
4	4	execution.	4	1
5	0	This makes	5	1
6	0	O(n)	6	1
7	0		7	1
8	8		8	1