

<u>Help</u>





<u>Course</u> <u>Progress</u> <u>Dates</u> <u>Discussion</u> <u>Syllabus</u> <u>Schedule</u> <u>Files</u>

★ Course / Unit 2: Linear Regression / Assignment 2

()



State Data (OPTIONAL)

 $\hfill\square$ Bookmark this page

IMPORTANT NOTE: This problem is optional, and will not count towards your grade. We have created this problem to give you extra practice with the topics covered in this unit.

State Data (OPTIONAL)

We often take data for granted. However, one of the hardest parts about analyzing a problem you're interested in can be to find good data to answer the questions you want to ask. As you're learning R, though, there are many datasets that R has built in that you can take advantage of.

In this problem, we will be examining the "state" dataset, which has data from the 1970s on all fifty US states. For each state, the dataset includes the population, per capita income, illiteracy rate, murder rate, high school graduation rate, average number of frost days, area, latitude and longitude, division the state belongs to, region the state belongs to, and two-letter abbreviation.

Load the dataset and convert it to a data frame by running the following two commands in R:

data(state)

statedata = cbind(data.frame(state.x77), state.abb, state.area, state.center, state.division, state.name, state.region)

If you can't access the state dataset in R, here is a CSV file with the same data that you can load into R using the read.csv function: statedata.csv

After you have loaded the data into R, inspect the data set using the command: str(statedata)

This dataset has 50 observations (one for each US state) and the following 15 variables:

- **Population** the population estimate of the state in 1975
- Income per capita income in 1974
- Illiteracy illiteracy rates in 1970, as a percent of the population
- Life.Exp the life expectancy in years of residents of the state in 1970
- Murder the murder and non-negligent manslaughter rate per 100,000 population in 1976
- HS.Grad percent of high-school graduates in 1970
- **Frost** the mean number of days with minimum temperature below freezing from 1931–1960 in the capital or a large city of the state
- Area the land area (in square miles) of the state
- state.abb a 2-letter abreviation for each state
- state.area the area of each state, in square miles
- x the longitude of the center of the state
- y the latitude of the center of the state
- **state.division** the division each state belongs to (New England, Middle Atlantic, South Atlantic, East South Central, West South Central, East North Central, West North Central, Mountain, or Pacific)
- state.name the full names of each state
- state.region the region each state belong to (Northeast, South, North Central, or West)

Problem 1.1 - Data Exploration

0 points possible (ungraded)

We begin by exploring the data. Plot all of the states' centers with latitude on the y axis (the "y" variable in our dataset) and longitude on the x axis (the "x" variable in our dataset). The shape of the plot should look like the outline of the United States! Note that Alaska and Hawaii have had their coordinates adjusted to appear just off of the west coast.

statedata\$y	
○ statedata\$x	
I used a different variable name.	
Explanation To generate the described plot, you should type plot(statedata\$x, statedata\$y) in your R console. The firs variable here is statedata\$x.	st
Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt	
Answers are displayed within the problem	
Problem 1.2 - Data Exploration	
Dipoints possible (ungraded) Using the tapply command, determine which region of the US (West, North Central, South, or Northeast) is the highest average high school graduation rate of all the states in the region:	has
○ West	
North Central	
South	
Northeast	
Explanation You can find the average high school graduation rate of all states in each of the regions by typing the following command in your R console: tapply(statedata\$HS.Grad, statedata\$state.region, mean) The highest value is for the West region.	
Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt	
Answers are displayed within the problem	
Problem 1.3 - Data Exploration	
Dipoints possible (ungraded) Now, make a boxplot of the murder rate by region (for more information about creating boxplots in R, type poxplot in your console).	?
Which region has the highest median murder rate?	
Northeast	

✓
O North Central
○ West
explanation To generate the boxplot, you should type boxplot(statedata\$Murder ~ statedata\$state.region) in your R To onsole. You can see that the region with the highest median murder rate (the one with the highest solid line The box) is the South.
Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt
• Answers are displayed within the problem
Problem 1.4 - Data Exploration
points possible (ungraded) You should see that there is an outlier in the Northeast region of the boxplot you just generated. Which state loes this correspond to? (Hint: There are many ways to find the answer to this question, but one way is to use the subset command to only look at the Northeast data.)
O Delaware
Rhode Island
O Maine
○ New York ✓
explanation The correct answer is New York. If you first use the subset command: IortheastData = subset(statedata, state.region == "Northeast") Tou can then look at NortheastData\$Murder together with NortheastData\$state.abb to identify the outlier.
Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt
• Answers are displayed within the problem
Problem 2.1 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model
points possible (ungraded) Ve would like to build a model to predict life expectancy by state using the state statistics we have in our lataset.
Build the model with all potential variables included (Population, Income, Illiteracy, Murder, HS.Grad, Frost, and Area). Note that you should use the variable "Area" in your model, NOT the variable "state.area".
Vhat is the coefficient for "Income" in your linear regression model?
Answer: -0.0000218

Submit	You have used 0 of 3 attempts
1 Answe	ers are displayed within the problem
roblem	2.2 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model
	sible (ungraded) efficient for income x (the answer to Problem 2.1). What is the interpretation of the coefficient x?
O For a	one unit increase in income, predicted life expectancy increases by x
○ For a	one unit increase in income, predicted life expectancy decreases by x
O For a	one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income decreases by x
For a Explanation f we increa	one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by x
For a Explanation f we increase a secause x	one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by $ x $ asse income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x . is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by $ x $.
For a Explanation f we increase x is Submit	one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by $ x $ asse income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x . is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by $ x $.
For a Explanation f we increase x is Submit	one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by $ x $ ase income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x . is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by $ x $. You have used 0 of 1 attempt
Explanation f we increase a submit Submit Answer Problem points poss	a one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by $ x $ as income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x . is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by $ x $. You have used 0 of 1 attempt ers are displayed within the problem
Explanation f we increase a submit Submit Answer Problem Opoints possition points possit	a one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by x ase income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x. is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by x . You have used 0 of 1 attempt 2.3 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model sible (ungraded)
Explanation f we increase a submit Submit Answer Problem O points poss Now plot a solot(stated)	a one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by x nate as income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x. is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by x . You have used 0 of 1 attempt 2.3 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model sible (ungraded) graph of life expectancy vs. income using the command:
Explanation f we increase a submit Submit The points possible points possibl	a one unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by x name as income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x. is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by x . You have used 0 of 1 attempt 2.3 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model sible (ungraded) graph of life expectancy vs. income using the command: ata\$Income, statedata\$Life.Exp)
Explanation f we increase a submit Submit Troblem Opoints poss Now plot a colot(stated) Visually obs Life of	none unit increase in predicted life expectancy, income increases by x nase income by one unit, then our model's prediction will increase by the coefficient of income, x. is negative, this is the same as predicted life expectancy decreasing by x . You have used 0 of 1 attempt 2.3 - Predicting Life Expectancy - An Initial Model sible (ungraded) graph of life expectancy vs. income using the command: ata\$Income, statedata\$Life.Exp) serve the plot. What appears to be the relationship?

Submit

You have used 0 of 1 attempt

Dipoints possible (ungraded) The model we built does not display the relationship we saw from the plot of life expectancy with the following explanations seems the most reasonable? Income is not related to life expectancy. Multicollinearity	/s. income.
Which of the following explanations seems the most reasonable? Income is not related to life expectancy.	vs. income.
O Income is not related to life expectancy.	
Multicollinearity ✓	
Multicollinearity ✓	
▼ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Explanation	
Although income is an insignificant variable in the model, this does not mean that there is no a	association
between income and life expectancy. However, in the presence of all of the other variables, in	
add statistically significant explanatory power to the model. This means that multicollinearity ssue.	is probably the
oode.	
Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt	
Answers are displayed within the problem	
Droblem 21 - Drodicting Life Evacators - Defining the Model and An	alvzina
Problem 3.1 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Ana	aryzirig
Predictions	
O points possible (ungraded)	
Recall that we discussed the principle of simplicity: that is, a model with fewer variables is pre	
nodel with many unnnecessary variables. Experiment with removing independent variables fr nodel. Remember to use the significance of the coefficients to decide which variables to rem	_
one with the largest "p-value" first, or the one with the "t value" closest to zero), and to remove	
ime (this is called "backwards variable selection"). This is important due to multicollinearity is	
one insignificant variable may make another previously insignificant variable become significa	nt.
You should be able to find a good model with only 4 independent variables, instead of the orig	ginal 7 Which
variables does this model contain?	gillai 7. vvilleii
Income, HS.Grad, Frost, Murder	
HS.Grad, Population, Income, Frost	
Frost, Murder, HS.Grad, Illiteracy	
O Population, Murder, Frost, HS.Grad	
Explanation We would eliminate the variable "Area" first (since it has the highest p-value, or probability, wi	

LinReg = Im(Life.Exp ~ Population + Income + Illiteracy + Murder + HS.Grad + Frost, data=statedata) Looking at summary(LinReg) now, we would choose to eliminate "Illiteracy" since it now has the highest p-value of 0.9340, using the following command:

LinReg = Im(Life.Exp ~ Population + Income + Murder + HS.Grad + Frost, data=statedata)

Looking at summary(LinReg) again, we would next choose to eliminate "Income", since it has a p-value of 0.9153. This gives the following four variable model:

LinReg = Im(Life.Exp ~ Population + Murder + HS.Grad + Frost, data=statedata)

This model with 4 variables is a good model. However, we can see that the variable "Population" is not quite significant. In practice, it would be up to you whether or not to keep the variable "Population" or eliminate it for a 3-variable model. Population does not add much statistical significance in the presence of murder, high school graduation rate, and frost days. However, for the remainder of this question, we will analyze the 4-variable model.

Submit

You have used 0 of 1 attempt

1 Answers are displayed within the problem

Problem 3.2 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing Predictions

0 points possible (ungraded)

Removing insignificant variables changes the Multiple R-squared value of the model. By looking at the summary output for both the initial model (all independent variables) and the simplified model (only 4 independent variables) and using what you learned in class, which of the following correctly explains the change in the Multiple R-squared value?

0	We expect the "Multiple R-squared" value of the simplified model to be slightly worse than that of the initial model. It can't be better than the "Multiple R-squared" value of the initial model.
0	We expect the "Multiple R-squared" value of the simplified model to be slightly better than that of the initial model. It can't be worse than the "Multiple R-squared" value of the initial model.
0	We expect the "Multiple R-squared" of the simplified model to be about the same as the intial model (we have no way of knowing if it will be slightly worse or slightly better than the Multiple R-squared of the intial model).

Explanation

When we remove insignificant variables, the "Multiple R-squared" will always be worse, but only slightly worse. This is due to the nature of a linear regression model. It is always possible for the regression model to make a coefficient zero, which would be the same as removing the variable from the model. The fact that the coefficient is not zero in the intial model means it must be helping the R-squared value, even if it is only a very small improvement. So when we force the variable to be removed, it will decrease the R-squared a little bit. However, this small decrease is worth it to have a simpler model.

On the contrary, when we remove insignificant variables, the "Adjusted R-squred" will frequently be better. This value accounts for the complexity of the model, and thus tends to increase as insignificant variables are removed, and decrease as insignificant variables are added.

Submit

You have used 0 of 2 attempts

Answers are displayed within the problem

Problem 3.3 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing Predictions

0 points possible (ungraded)

Using the simplified 4 variable model that we created, we'll now take a look at how our predictions compare to the actual values.

Take a look at the vector of predictions by using the predict function (since we are just looking at predictions on the training set, you don't need to pass a "newdata" argument to the predict function).

Which state do we predict to have the lowest life expectancy? (Hint: use the sort function)

0	South Carolina
	Mississippi
0	Alabama ✔
0	Georgia
sort(pr in your	ation simplified 4-variable model is called "LinReg", you can answer this question by typing redict(LinReg)) R console. The first state listed has the lowest predicted life expectancy, which is Alabama. state actually has the lowest life expectancy? (Hint: use the which.min function)
0	South Carolina
	Mississippi
0	Alabama
	Georgia
which.	n find the row number of the state with the lowest life expectancy by typing min(statedata\$Life.Exp) into your R console. This returns 40. The 40th state name in the vector ata\$state.name is South Carolina.
6 A	nswers are displayed within the problem
	em 3.4 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing ctions
-	s possible (ungraded) state do we predict to have the highest life expectancy?
	Massachusetts
0	Maine
	Washington ✔
0	Hawaii

Explanation

but can find the row number of the state with the highest life expectancy by typing hich.max(statedata\$Life.Exp) into your R console. This returns 11. The 11th state name in the vector atedata\$state.name is Hawaii. Submit	achusetts
Hawaii Hawaii)
xplanation ou can find the row number of the state with the highest life expectancy by typing thich.max(statedata\$Life.Exp) into your R console. This returns 11. The 11th state name in the vector latedata\$state.name is Hawaii. Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt Answers are displayed within the problem Problem 3.5 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing Predictions points possible (ungraded) aske a look at the vector of residuals (the difference between the predicted and actual values). Florida Indiana Illinois Illinois Illinois Indiana Illinois Illinois Applanation ou can look at the sorted list of absolute errors by typing ort(abs(model\$residuals)) to your R console (where "model" is the name of your model). Alternatively, you can compute the residuals aroughly by typing ort(abs(statedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) typour R console. The smallest absolute error is for Indiana. Or which state do we make the largest absolute error?	ington
ou can find the row number of the state with the highest life expectancy by typing hich.max(statedata\$Life.Exp) into your R console. This returns 11. The 11th state name in the vector tatedata\$state.name is Hawaii. Submit You have used 0 of 1 attempt Answers are displayed within the problem Problem 3.5 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing tredictions points possible (ungraded) aske a look at the vector of residuals (the difference between the predicted and actual values). or which state do we make the smallest absolute error? Maine Florida Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Indiana	ii
Answers are displayed within the problem Problem 3.5 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing Predictions points possible (ungraded) ake a look at the vector of residuals (the difference between the predicted and actual values). Provided the smallest absolute error? Maine Florida Indiana Illinois Indiana Illinois Indiana Indiana Illinois Indiana India	I the row number of the state with the highest life expectancy by typing statedata\$Life.Exp) into your R console. This returns 11. The 11th state name in the vector
Problem 3.5 - Predicting Life Expectancy - Refining the Model and Analyzing Predictions points possible (ungraded) ake a look at the vector of residuals (the difference between the predicted and actual values). or which state do we make the smallest absolute error? Maine Florida Indiana Indiana Illinois Illinois Indiana Indi	You have used 0 of 1 attempt
points possible (ungraded) ake a look at the vector of residuals (the difference between the predicted and actual values). or which state do we make the smallest absolute error? Maine Florida Indiana Illinois Indiana Indi	rs are displayed within the problem
Indiana Ind	
explanation ou can look at the sorted list of absolute errors by typing ort(abs(model\$residuals)) oto your R console (where "model" is the name of your model). Alternatively, you can compute the residuals nanually by typing ort(abs(statedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) or your R console. The smallest absolute error is for Indiana. or which state do we make the largest absolute error? Hawaii	
ou can look at the sorted list of absolute errors by typing ort(abs(model\$residuals)) Ito your R console (where "model" is the name of your model). Alternatively, you can compute the residuals nanually by typing ort(abs(statedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) I your R console. The smallest absolute error is for Indiana. Or which state do we make the largest absolute error? Hawaii	5
○ Hawaii	k at the sorted list of absolute errors by typing odel\$residuals)) console (where "model" is the name of your model). Alternatively, you can compute the residuals typing otedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model)))
	ate do we make the largest absolute error?
	ii
Maine	

Explanation	
	k at the sorted list of absolute errors by typing
	odel\$residuals)) console (where "model" is the name of your model). Alternatively, you can compute the residuals
manually by	
manually Dy	typing
sort(abs(sta	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model)))
sort(abs(sta	
sort(abs(sta	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model)))
sort(abs(sta	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) nsole. The largest absolute error is for Hawaii.
sort(abs(sta in your R cor	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model)))
sort(abs(sta in your R cor	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) nsole. The largest absolute error is for Hawaii.
sort(abs(sta in your R cor Submit	atedata\$Life.Exp - predict(model))) nsole. The largest absolute error is for Hawaii.



edX

<u>About</u>

<u>Affiliates</u>

edX for Business

Open edX

Careers

News

Legal

Terms of Service & Honor Code

Privacy Policy

Accessibility Policy

Trademark Policy

<u>Sitemap</u>

Cookie Policy

Your Privacy Choices

Connect

<u>Idea Hub</u>

Contact Us

Help Center

<u>Security</u>

Media Kit



© All Rights Reserved















© 2024 edX LLC. All rights reserved. 深圳市恒宇博科技有限公司 <u>粤ICP备17044299号-2</u>