

## Optimizing the Task Scheduling Algorithm with LLM Assistance

---

### Step 1: Understanding the Problem

SwiftCollab's current API request handling system uses a **priority queue** to ensure high-priority requests (e.g., authentication, health checks) are processed first. However, the implementation faces **performance bottlenecks** when handling large workloads due to inefficient sorting operations.

#### Key Issues:

1. **Inefficient Sorting:** Using `List.Sort()` to order requests results in  **$O(n \log n)$**  complexity for each enqueue operation.
2. **Slow Dequeue:** Removing the highest-priority request takes  **$O(n)$**  time.
3. **Lack of Bulk Processing:** The system does not support **batch enqueueing**.
4. **No Thread Safety:** The queue lacks mechanisms to handle concurrent access safely.

**Goal:** Optimize the priority queue using a **binary heap (min-heap/max-heap)** to improve **insertion and removal efficiency**, support bulk processing, and ensure **thread safety**.

---

### Step 2: Reviewing the Initial Implementation

#### Given C# Code (Partial Implementation with Issues)

```
using System;
using System.Collections.Generic;

public class ApiRequest
{
    public string Endpoint { get; set; }
    public int Priority { get; set; }

    public ApiRequest(string endpoint, int priority)
    {
        Endpoint = endpoint;
        Priority = priority;
    }
}
```

```

public class ApiRequestQueue
{
    private List<ApiRequest> queue = new List<ApiRequest>();

    public void Enqueue(ApiRequest request)
    {
        queue.Add(request);
        queue.Sort((a, b) => a.Priority.CompareTo(b.Priority)); // O(n log n)
    }

    public ApiRequest? Dequeue()
    {
        if (queue.Count == 0) return null;
        ApiRequest request = queue[0];
        queue.RemoveAt(0); // O(n)
        return request;
    }
}

```

#### Problems in this Code:

- **Enqueue Complexity:** Sort() runs in  **$O(n \log n)$**  each time a request is added.
- **Dequeue Complexity:** Removing the first element shifts the rest, making it  **$O(n)$** .
- **Scalability Issues:** Large workloads make sorting increasingly expensive.
- **Concurrency Issues:** This queue is **not thread-safe** in multi-threaded environments.

---

### Step 3: LLM-Powered Optimizations

After prompting an LLM (e.g., Microsoft Copilot), the following suggestions were provided:

1. **Use a Binary Heap (Min-Heap) Instead of Sorting a List**
  - Enqueue operation improves from  **$O(n \log n)$**   $\rightarrow$   **$O(\log n)$** .
  - Dequeue operation improves from  **$O(n)$**   $\rightarrow$   **$O(\log n)$** .
2. **Implement Bulk Enqueue Support**
  - Allows efficient batch insertion instead of inserting one by one.

### 3. Use a Thread-Safe Priority Queue

- Utilize ConcurrentDictionary or lock mechanisms for safe multi-threading.

### 4. Optimize Memory Allocation

- Use SortedDictionary<int, Queue<ApiRequest>> to group same-priority requests.

---

## Step 4: Optimized Implementation

### Improved C# Implementation with Min-Heap & Thread Safety

```
using System;
```

```
using System.Collections.Generic;
```

```
using System.Threading;
```

```
public class ApiRequest
{
    public string Endpoint { get; set; }
    public int Priority { get; set; }

    public ApiRequest(string endpoint, int priority)
    {
        Endpoint = endpoint;
        Priority = priority;
    }
}

// Min-Heap based Priority Queue
public class ApiRequestQueue
{
    private readonly List<ApiRequest> heap = new List<ApiRequest>();
    private readonly object lockObj = new object();

    // Enqueue in O(log n)
    public void Enqueue(ApiRequest request)
```

```
{  
    lock (lockObj)  
    {  
        heap.Add(request);  
        HeapifyUp(heap.Count - 1);  
    }  
}
```

// Bulk Enqueue for efficiency

```
public void EnqueueBatch(IEnumerable<ApiRequest> requests)  
{  
    lock (lockObj)  
    {  
        foreach (var request in requests)  
        {  
            heap.Add(request);  
            HeapifyUp(heap.Count - 1);  
        }  
    }  
}
```

// Dequeue in  $O(\log n)$

```
public ApiRequest? Dequeue()  
{  
    lock (lockObj)  
    {  
        if (heap.Count == 0) return null;  
  
        ApiRequest topRequest = heap[0];  
        heap[0] = heap[heap.Count - 1];  
        heap.RemoveAt(heap.Count - 1);
```

```

        HeapifyDown(0);

        return topRequest;
    }
}

// Heapify Up to maintain Min-Heap property
private void HeapifyUp(int index)
{
    while (index > 0)
    {
        int parent = (index - 1) / 2;
        if (heap[index].Priority >= heap[parent].Priority) break;
        Swap(index, parent);
        index = parent;
    }
}

// Heapify Down to maintain Min-Heap property
private void HeapifyDown(int index)
{
    int leftChild, rightChild, smallest;
    while (true)
    {
        leftChild = 2 * index + 1;
        rightChild = 2 * index + 2;
        smallest = index;

        if (leftChild < heap.Count && heap[leftChild].Priority < heap[smallest].Priority)
            smallest = leftChild;
    }
}

```

```

        if (rightChild < heap.Count && heap[rightChild].Priority < heap[smallest].Priority)
            smallest = rightChild;

        if (smallest == index) break;

        Swap(index, smallest);
        index = smallest;
    }
}

// Swap helper function
private void Swap(int i, int j)
{
    (heap[i], heap[j]) = (heap[j], heap[i]);
}

}

// Main Program to Test the Optimized Queue
public class Program
{
    public static void Main()
    {
        ApiRequestQueue queue = new ApiRequestQueue();

        queue.Enqueue(new ApiRequest("/auth", 1));
        queue.Enqueue(new ApiRequest("/data", 3));
        queue.Enqueue(new ApiRequest("/healthcheck", 2));

        Console.WriteLine($"Processing: {queue.Dequeue()?.Endpoint}"); // Expected: /auth

        queue.EnqueueBatch(new List<ApiRequest>

```

```

{
    new ApiRequest("/payment", 2),
    new ApiRequest("/user", 1),
    new ApiRequest("/logs", 4)
});

while (true)
{
    var request = queue.Dequeue();
    if (request == null) break;
    Console.WriteLine($"Processing: {request.Endpoint}");
}
}

```

---

## Step 5: Analysis & Reflection

### Improvements from LLM Suggestions

Issue	Old Implementation	Optimized Implementation
<b>Enqueue Complexity</b>	$O(n \log n)$ (due to sorting)	<b><math>O(\log n)</math></b> (heap insertion)
<b>Dequeue Complexity</b>	$O(n)$ (list shifting)	<b><math>O(\log n)</math></b> (heap removal)
<b>Bulk Processing</b>	Not supported	<b>Batch insert in <math>O(k \log n)</math></b>
<b>Thread Safety</b>	Not thread-safe	<b>Uses lock for safe multi-threading</b>

### Lessons Learned from LLM Assistance

- Efficient Data Structures Matter:** Using **binary heaps** significantly improves the efficiency of priority queues.
  - Bulk Processing Optimization:** Batch operations can reduce computational overhead.
  - LLMs Provide High-Level Guidance:** While LLMs provide useful suggestions, **code validation and refinement** are crucial.
  - Thread Safety Needs Manual Handling:** LLMs suggested ConcurrentDictionary, but **using locks** was more appropriate for a heap.
-

## Conclusion

By leveraging **LLM-assisted insights**, we successfully optimized **SwiftCollab's API request scheduler**:

- **Replaced List.Sort() with a Min-Heap** for  **$O(\log n)$**  efficiency.
- **Added batch processing** to improve system throughput.
- **Ensured thread safety** to handle concurrent API requests.
- **Improved scalability** to handle large request volumes efficiently.

This **LLM-driven approach** showcases how **AI can enhance algorithmic optimization** while still requiring **human expertise to refine and validate suggestions**. 🚀